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MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Now we'll call the
meeting to order. Board of
Commerce and Industry. August
23, 2017. It's roughly 9:34.
Ms. Sorrell, if you will call
the roll call, please.
MS . SORRELL:
Robert Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Robert Barham.
MR. BARHAM :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Representative Abramson.
Millie Atkins.
MS . ATKINS :
I'm here.
MS . SORRELL:
Daniel Schexnaydre on behalf of Abramson. Okay. Millie Atkins.

MS. ATKINS:
I'm here.

MS . SORRELL:
Mayor Brasseaux.
MR. BRASSEAUX :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Representative Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Major Coleman. Ricky Fabra.
MR. FABRA:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Manny Fajardo. Jerry Jones.
MR. JONES :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:

Senator Ward for Senator
Martiny.
MR. MARTINY:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Robby Miller.
MR. MILLER:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Chabert.
MS . CHABERT:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Anne Villa for Secretary Pierson.

MS. VILIA:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Scott Richard.
MR. RICHARD:
Here.

MS. SORRELL:
Darrel Saizan. Danny
Schexnaydre. Ronnie Slone.
MR. SLONE :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Bobby Williams.
MR. WILLIAMS:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Steve Windham.
MR. WINDHAM:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
MR. WILSON :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
We have a quorum.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Sorrell. I hope everyone has had a chance to review the minutes and enter a motion to approve them. By
representative Carmody, and
seconded by Mr. Moller. All in
favor indicate with an aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
Next we have up to Quality
Jobs program presented by Eric Burton.

MR. BURTON :
Good morning. I have five
new applications to present.
The first one is 20151178, Audubon Engineering Operations,

LLC in Jefferson Parish.
20151287, Express Lien, Inc.
dba Zien in Orleans Parish.
20161943, General
Informatics, LLC in East Baton
Rouge Parish.
20151041, Pin Oak Holdings,
LLC in St. John the Baptist
Parish.
20150189, Epic Piping, LLC in

Livingston Parish.
This concludes the new
applications for Quality Jobs.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Burton.
Are there any questions on
any of these applications from
the Board members? Are there any
comments from the public
concerning any of these
applications?
Is there a motion to approve?
Made by Dr. Wilson and seconded
by Mr. Slone. All in favor
indicate with an aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay. Motion carries.

MR. BURTON :
Next item on the agenda is going to be the Quality Jobs

Renewals. We have three.
20120965, Intralox, LLC. in

Tangipahoa Parish.
20120517, Laitram Machinery,
Inc. in Jefferson Parish.
20120766, Methanex USA LLC,
Methanex USA Services LLC, and
Methanex Louisiana, LLC in
Ascension Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you. Are there any
questions by any of the Board members for these renewals for
the Quality Jobs Program? Any
comments from the public
concerning any of these
applications? Is there a motion
to approve? Made by Ms. Atkins.
Seconded by Ms. Villa. All in
favor indicate with an aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay. Motion carries.

MR. BURTON :
We have four specials for

Quality Jobs. First one is going to be a Request for a Change in

Name only from the following contract.

Contract No. 060743 from
Danos \& Curole Marine
Contractors, LLC to Danos, LLC in
Lafourche Parish.
Next one is going to be
request for a change in location only of the following contract.

20110996, the Schumacher Group of
Louisiana, Inc. and TSG Resources
Inc from 901 Hugh Wallis Road to
102 Asma Boulevard, both in
Lafayette Parish.
20130849, IBM Corporation.
Changing from 5353 Essen Lane in
Baton Rouge to 100 North Street
in Baton Rouge in East Baton
Rouge Parish.
And the final items is going
to be Request to Cancel the
following contract.
20151546, Complete Logistical

Services, LLC in Orleans Parish.
The company requested to cancel
the contract due to not meeting
the minimum hourly healthcare
requirements. No benefits have
been received under this
contract.
MR. WINDHAM:
You read my mind on that
question.
Are there any questions from any of the Board members concerning these special
requests? Any comments from the public? Is there a motion made by Mayor Brasseaux and seconded by Bobby Williams.

All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries. Thank you, Mr.
Burton.

MR. BURTON :
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Next on the agenda we have
Ms. Becky Lambert with the
Restoration Tax Abatement
Program. Ms. Lambert.
MS . LAMBERT :
Good morning. I'm presenting
seven new applications for
Restoration Tax Abatement. The
first one is application No.
20160908 for 1428 Ursulines
Avenue, LLC in Orleans Parish.
20161825, Abita Guest House,
LLC, St. Tammany.
20121067, Jeff and Alissa
Schmidtke, Orleans.
20161636, L'Auberge Placage,
LLC, in Orleans.
20161778, MJMT Properties,
LLC in Calcasieu.
20170038, Nu Homes, LLC in
Lafourche.
20131388, the Natchez, New

Orleans, LLC in Orleans.
This concludes the new
applications.
MR. WINDHAM:
I assume all of these are the proper endorsements from the
locals.
MS . LAMBERT :
These all have endorsements
from the locals, governing authority and all have met conditions of the rules from the program.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Lambert. Are
there any questions from any of the Board members? Any comments on any of these applications from the public? Is there a motion? Made by Mr. Miller. Seconded by Dr. Wilson.

All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.

MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion Carries.
MS . LAMBERT :
That concludes the
Restoration Tax Abatement Program
applications for this session.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Lambert.
Next we have filling in Frank
Favaloro.
MR. FAVALORO:
Favaloro.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Favaloro filling in for
Joyce Metoyer on the Enterprise
Program. Mr. Favaloro.
MR. FAVALORO:
Yes, sir. We have ten new
applications for the Enterprise Zone Program.

20151315, AFS Logistics, LLC.
20130182, Cajun Constructors,
LLC.
20150087, CWLC, LLC dba

Candlewood Suite.
20141311, KW Restaurants, LLC.

20140963, NMC Operating
Company, LLC.
20151855, Performance
Contractors, Inc.
20150953, Rampart Mixed-Use Complex, LLC dba Homewood Suites.

20130358, Shintech Louisiana, LLC.

20141472, Slumber Corners NOLA, LLC.

And 20130903, Willis Knighton
Medical Center, Inc.
That completes the
applications.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Favaloro. Mr. Adley.

MR. ADLEY:
Just one question. The restaurants, the KW Restaurants was submitted in, what, January 13 of '17. I thought the
legislature did something with
restaurants. I know we went
through this each time. What the
is effective date when that
began?
MR. FAVALORO:
The advance was filed prior
to the legislative change, I
believe in 2014 -- '13. Excuse
me. '14.
MR. ADLEY:
So it didn't have anything to
do with the submitted date?
MR. FAVALORO:
No, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
And, so, the date we should
look for is when -- it actually
takes effect is when?
MR. FAVALORO:
Is the date when the advance
was filed.
MR. ADLEY:
No. No. The legislative
date when we won't be seeing the
restaurants anymore.
MR. FAVALORO:
As of 20 and -- restaurants
were taken -- I got to find it on
the list. I'm sorry.
MR. ADLEY:
Just send me a text or e-mail
so I can mark that down.
MR. FAVALORO:
Sure. Will do.
MR. ADLEY:
I need that date at this
time. Thank you.
MR. FAVALORO:
Yes, sir.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Adley. Are
there any other questions from
any of the Board members? Any
comments from the public concerning any of these

Enterprise Zone applications? Do we have a motion to approve.

Made by Mr. Slone. Seconded by
Ms. Atkins.

All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MR. FAVALORO:
There are eight Contract
Termination Requests.
20121306, International Matex
Tank Terminals, LLC. Contract period, 1/1/2013 through

12/31/2017. Requested Term date, 6/30/2017. On all of these, the program requirements have been met and no additional jobs are anticipated.

20110009, Red Stick
Hospitality, LLC. Contract period 9/1/2011 through 8/31/2016. Requested term date, 12/31/2015.

20120765, Poydras Home. Contract period 4/15/2012 through

4/14/2017. Requested termination
date 12/31/15.
20100779, Berry Contracting,
LP. Contract term 3/1/2012
through 2/28/2017. Requested
term date 12/31/15.
20110777, The Fresh Market.
Inc. in Orleans. 6/2/2011
through 6/1/2016. Requested
term, 12/31/14.
20130031, Intelligent
Transport Systems, LLC. 1/9/2013
through 1/8/2013. Requested
term, 7/8/2015.
20131200, Laxmi of Broussard,
LLC. 10/8/2013 through 10/7/18.
The requested term date of
10/7/2016.
20131432, Shree Jay Ambe,
LLC. Contract term 2/1/14
through 1/31/2019. Requested
term date 12/31/16.
That concludes the contract
termination requests.
MR. WINDHAM:

Are there any other questions
from any of the Board members
concerning the termination of the
Enterprise Zone contracts?
Comments from the public
concerning these terminations.
Is there a motion to approve
the termination of these
contracts? Mr. Moller. Seconded
by Mr. Slone.
All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MR. FAVALORO:
There is actually one
contract of cancellation. The
first four have been deferred.
The deferrals are 20100873, 20120271, 20120269 and 20130937.

MR. WINDHAM:
Requested by the company?

MR. FAVALORO:
Yes, sir.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve
the deferral of these --
consideration of these? Made by
Dr. Wilson. Seconded by Ms.
Atkins.
All in favor indicate with an
aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion Carries.
MR. FAVALORO:
The one that is up for
cancellation is 20120968,
mortgage contracting services,
LLC in Lincoln Parish. Company
did Not meet the EZ program
requirements.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a company
representative here? Are there
any questions by any of the Board
members concerning this
cancellation? Any comments from
the public?
All in favor -- I'm sorry.
Is there a motion to approve the
cancellation of these contracts?
Made by Ms. Villa. Seconded by
Ms. Atkins.
All in favor indicate with an
aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion Carries.
MR. FAVALORO:
That concludes the Enterprise
Zone Program.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Favaloro, you did a fine job.

Next we have the Industrial
Tax Exemption Program presented
Ms. Kristin Cheng. Good morning.

MS . CHENG:
Good morning. I have 44 new applications. I do want to note that Certainteed Corporation and Certainteed Corporation Roofing

Products Group, 20160946 and
20160945 would like to be withdrawn.

MR. WINDHAM:
I am sorry. Can you say that again.

MS . CHENG:
Certainteed Corporation and
Certainteed Corporation Roofing
Products Group. 20160946 and
20160945 are being withdrawn by
the company.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you. Mr. Adley. I'm sorry. Ms. Cheng, hold on a second. Mr. Adley.

MR. ADLEY:
ITEP applications. I want to suggest, Mr. Chairman, the first group of applications, the
advanced notice file prior to
6-24 that we could do those
in-globo. We just have some
questions about some of the
reporting of the jobs. If you
can allow me any other questions
just to deal with those. Once
those are dealt with, I believe we'd probably be in a position to
approve in-globo.
MS . CHENG:
Can I make a correction
before you do that because --
MR. WINDHAM:
Please, Ms. Cheng.
MS . CHENG:
20151912, Entergy Louisiana
is listed as St. Landry Parish.
It's actually Acadia Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Which one is that?
MS . CHENG:
20151912, Entergy Louisiana,
LLC is listed as being in St.
Landry Parish. It's actually in

Acadia Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Okay. All right. Thank you.
We'll check that correction.
So, Mr. Adley, I will take that as a motion to approve in-globo subject to questions, comments from the public.

MR. ADLEY:
Yeah. That's correct.
There's not any objections to them, but I think the way we layout our form here may need to be changed. And these questions may help us get there as well. I'm hoping.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Got to second a second. Dr. Wilson. All right.

Now, Mr. Adley, you have a question.

MR. ADLEY:
Yeah. Just before these comments, I just have a question for each one of them. They are
very brief. Is Dow -- someone
representing Dow here.
MR. WINDHAM:
Please step forward and
identify yourself.
MR. ADLEY:
I have to admit to the Board
and everyone here to show my
ignorance this morning, while I
looked at the numbers on these applications in the past, $I$ just assume they were all new. I now realize that when $I$ look at the number and $I$ see a letter A, B, $C, D$ or whatever behind it, that's -- that's ongoing project for the same project --

MS . CHENG:
Yes.
MR. ADLEY:
-- as I understand it.
MS . CHENG:
Correct.
MR. ADLEY:
And there were four of them
in this group that were ongoing,
and how they listed those jobs
was a question that $I$ have. At
Dow you listed zero jobs, and
this is the second part of this
project has got an $A$ behind it,
which is probably, $I$ think, the
appropriate way to list it.
What were those jobs
associated with the initial
project? Do you know what they were?

MR. WINDHAM:
Can you identify yourself for
the record.
MS. DALE:
Yes. Roma Dale with Dow
Chemical Tax Energy. The
initials should have been filed
with that advance in 2012, and --
I don't know if $I$ have
everything, but -- the initial
phase does not appear to have
included any increase in
employment.

MR. ADLEY:
Okay. So the numbers, the
same number that you had at the
very beginning, zero -- all being
zero.
MS. DALE:
Yes.
MR. ADLEY:
Okay. Thank you. And BASF.
Is there someone from BASF?
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, ma'am. A
representative from BASF, please.
Step forward and identify yourself.

MR. BAILEY:
John Bailey, site controller, BASF Geismar Louisiana plant.

MR. ADLEY:
This appears to be the second part of an ongoing larger project.

MR. BAILEY:
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:

And there are 43 jobs shown
with it.
MR. BAILEY:
Right.
MR. ADLEY:
So my question is with the
initial application, how many
jobs were with the initial
application.
MR. BAILEY:
The initial application was 43.

MR. ADLEY:
So it's the same 43 as the
initial.
MR. BAILEY:
Yes.
MR. ADLEY:
Okay. Thank you, very much.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
The third is Sabine Pass.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative for

Sabine Pass. Step forward and
identify yourself.
MS . CARTER:
Good morning. I'm Andrea
Carter. I'm a senior tax counsel
for Shell.
MR. ADLEY:
Andrea, you got a D behind yours, which we listed the 5th, I guess. The first one will have -- I see one lady shaking her
head, but I think they're wrong.
If it doesn't have $A$, it's the
first one. Then you got $A, B, C$ and D. That's four more, so this
would be the 5th application
dealing with this particular project.

MS . CARTER :
Actually, for contract
20110659 that was a front end contract that we entered into in anticipation of placing liquid fraction service. As we readied the facility, then we've had four
contracts, the A, B, C and D. We
have not placed assets and
service under that front end
contract of 0659 .
MR. ADLEY:
That's exactly what $I$ mean.
You say it well. And you show
253 jobs.
MS . CARTER:
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
Is that 253 for part D or is
that 253 from the initial
contract.
MS . CARTER:
That would be from the
initial contract. As we place
assets and service then we're adding to our operations staff, our maintenance staff, and we've opted to go with accumulative number in speaking with LED staff. That seems the most accurate way to reflect the total impact of the project as it's
being developed.
MR. ADLEY:
Thank you very much.
MS . CARTER:
You are welcome.
MR. ADLEY:
The last question $I$ have is
for Westlake Chemical.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
from Westlake Chemical. Please
step forward and identify
yourself.
MS . ELLENDER:
Hi. My name is Angela
Ellender with Westlake Chemical
Corporation.
MR. ADLEY:
Ma'am, your second
application, the 20140297-A, which means this is the second part of this particular project shows 35 jobs. My question is is that 35 jobs with this $A$ or is that 35 from the beginning.

MS. ELLENDER:
Would be the total. 35 jobs
for the total 2014297.
MR. ADLEY:
With your initial application
would be correct. Okay. Thank
you very much.
MS. ELLENDER:
Thank you.
MR. ADLEY:
Mr. Chairman, the question, the reason I ask them, if you go down the page now to new permanent jobs where we list the new jobs.

MR. WINDHAM:
Yes.
MR. ADLEY :
There is a total of 507.
That includes all of the jobs the 35, the 253, the 43, and zeros that have already been counted in the initial application, and then when they come back a second, third or 4th, if we keep adding
it in that column they keep
getting added again and again and again.

MR. WINDHAM:
Correct.
MR. ADLEY:
That does not give us a clear figure of what jobs are there, so what $I$ wanted to suggest, and not objecting for approval of any of these, suggest to LED that under the jobs column that we would add another column so that we break this up into two pieces. One column would have what the initial jobs were, with the initial application, when you have multiple applications with the same project. The other column will list if there are any jobs being added along the way. If not, we'll have zeros there so we don't double count when we total them. Because clearly there is what looks like, and I
recognize that something has
probably been going on for a long
time, but we believe in this
Governor's office that being
transparent, very clear, very
accurate with the numbers is the best approach, and, so, if you put the two columns of companies instead of keep adding the same number, they will start putting zero over there where it belongs and then we'll have an accurate count.

Is it possible to do that in the department?

MR. WINDHAM:
I think the computers would
have to be reprogramed. Ms.
Villa, I think you might be able to help with that, and I would
also like to bring up another
point related to a similar
concept. Ms. Villa.
MS. VILIA:
So in response to Mr. Adley's
request, we can most certainly
get with our firm that develops
the fast lane database for us.
We're actually going through a rewrite of the complete system and we are actually going to be called next generation as we plan to deploy in about May, June of next year, and so we are in the midst of going through some reconfigurations, and we can certainly put this into place for the next generation. We can talk to see what we can do for this
generation. Of course, any
allocation of dollars that we put to making these changes because they could be potentially costly to make program changes and could also potentially delay our next generation, so we're trying not to make changes, but we can see internally what we could potentially do when we export the data.

MR. ADLEY:
If nothing else, when you get
one of these applications going
forward, if you don't do anything
but put an asterisk by it and a note at the bottom of the page, now, these aren't really new jobs being added today. I mean, what has happened is if I were walking in off the street today and looking at this agenda, I would think with this ITEP I've added 507 new jobs. In reality, I've added less than half of that because half of these jobs are added with the initial
application, and so we just trying to get to a point where we have got very accurate data to work with and so --

MS. CHENG:
I can check with any new --
the applicants for any other new
applications that come forward
and verify which jobs were
actually created as a result of that specific phase of the project.

MR. ADLEY:
Not going to ask you to go
back and try to vet out
everything that's been done over
the years, but going forward, if
somebody comes in -- for
instance, if Dow comes in next
time to beat -- not Dow. They
are at zero period, but if one of
the others comes in, whether it's
Sabine or Westlake with another project that you put an asterisk, do something so we can identify that this is not really 507 , it's 507 less that were already
created.
MS . CHENG :
That's fine.
MR. WINDHAM:
So along those same lines,
Mr. Adley, I would like to ask
staff because I think I know the
answer, the investment amount
that is shown on here is not
necessarily the investment
amount, it's only the amount of
exemption application, so in
light of making sure that you are
transparent, $I$ think on the same
sheet, and I have asked this
before, if we show the investment
amount, it could be $\$ 8$ million, and the exemption amount request is only $\$ 6$ million, $I$ think it's
important to know that because at
$\$ 2$ million is either replaced or
something else, for whatever
reason, doesn't qualify for this
program, so it does, in fact, go
on the rolls or already part of
another exemption. I believe that's just as important so we get a whole picture.

MR. ADLEY:
I don't disagree with that.
Anyway that you want to get that
information is all right with me

I'm just trying to make sure that when we report -- we created $X$ number of jobs using this program, I want to make sure I have got an accurate number. That's what I'm looking for. And if we count jobs two or three times, it's going to be impossible to get that number.

So going forward, I think it would be very, very helpful if we can do that, and certainly help us better understand if I'm looking at that column, makes me catch this is a third or the fourth, and if I'm looking at zero jobs over here, $I$ can go back and say, oh, but it's the beginning, they created this many. That's very helpful.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you, Mr.
Adley. Yes. I am sorry.
MR. SLONE :
Just a quick question. We
are saying the first, second
third or fourth. Steve, can you
explain whether or not those
alphabets are also -- I'm sorry
-- Mr. Chairman, whether or not
they also correspond with phasing
in a project.
MR. WINDHAM:
I would have to ask staff,
but I believe they do.
MS . CHENG:
That's correct. And assets
become operational, companies are
to file their applications so
that they fall in a correct tax
year, so that's why there are
phases to projects that may be a
five year long project, but a
building is complete in 2017,
they are going to have to file
the application for that
building.
MR. SLONE :
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:

Certainly. Any other
questions by any of the Board
members? Yes, sir.
Representative Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:
Mr. Chairman, $I$ just wanted
to ask in that we're asking staff
to, I guess, change the way that
we report, if you follow along
with the columns that show not
only the jobs, new permanent jobs
and salaries, follow the next
column, which is construction.
MR. WINDHAM:
Yes.
MR. CARMODY:
Is it the same scenario
there? Are we showing a total
number of 14,383 construction
jobs created, and those are
duplicate numbers.
MR. WINDHAM:
Well, I do believe if we look specifically at Westlake, not to pick on Westlake, but if you look
at theirs, one is the original
application, the second one is A.
They both have 35 and they both
have 1,400 , so $I$ would have to
suggest that the answer is yes.
MR. CARMODY:
Which I would think that if we were going to try to make sure
that we are accurate --
MS . CHENG :
We will verify old and new
construction jobs.
MR. CARMODY:
Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. WINDHAM:
Any other questions or
comments from the Board members concerning the new applications?

All right. There is a motion on the floor. Properly seconded it.

Are there any comments from
the public concerning any of
these applications? Yes. Please
step forward and identify
yourself.
MR. MISE:
My name is Gary Mise and I'm
just a member of the public.
MR. CARMODY:
All right. Mr. Mise.
MR. MISE :
And my question is related to some of the same application with the multi-part project, and I guess my question is is the staff -- is it made clear when these projects are filed that there is a -- they are specific projects with beginnings and end on the front end, and I guess the reason I'm asking that question is because we would like to, as a part $B$ to the project, Cameron Parish -- I guess out of just a place of information, $I$ know the rules have changed, so it would behoove a company to -- at this point to continue to do -- to do
investments under the older rules with the ten year exemption
rather than the newer rules with
the eight year exemption, so, I
guess, $I$ wanted to make sure
there was some kind of measures
taken to -- I just didn't -- I
want clarification that -- that how does the staff assure the public that there is some way that --

MR. WINDHAM:
Termination point.
MR. MISE :
Right.
MR. WINDHAM:
Kristin, can you answer that
question?
MS . CHENG:
I do verify when I receive advances that it doesn't seem like something that can just be an open ended project, and when applications come in, I do compare the advance to the
project description that is on
the application, and if $I$ have a
question about it, $I$ do question
the company.
MR. MISE:
Is there ever a site visit to
compare the application
description to what the project
is?
MR. WINDHAM:
Absolutely. Yes. Yes. I
know that. There are site visits.

MR. MISE :
Okay. Got it. That was what my question was.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you.
MR. MISE:
Okay. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
I just want to compliment you. I don't know what possessed
you to start looking at the ITEP, but the concept you just mentioned is pretty important.

Under the Governor's executive order there are certain dates and deadlines and there are new rules to comply with, and he has made a really interesting point, and I do appreciate your efforts, I'm glad you are doing it, but you could create a box, you are
correct. A company could create
a box and then start tying
everything back in with the original and you could literally avoid the new rules, and you tell me watching closely for that. That's a real good catch. I never -- I never really thought about that. I want to compliment you. Thank you. MR. MISE:

Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, sir.

MS . CHENG:
Just for y'all's information, we are not allowing advances that
just have open ended
descriptions, like additions or
whatever, so --
MR. WINDHAM:
So they have to be specific
to a project?
MS . CHENG:
They have to be specific to a year or project. That has to be an actual project.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you, Ms.
Cheng. There's been a motion.
There's been a second.
Any other comments from the public?

All right. With that, call the question, all in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:

All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
Next, Ms. Cheng we have
advance notifications filed prior
to 6-24 deferred.
MS . CHENG :
It was deferred because $y$ 'all
had requested additional
information regarding what the
flight simulator was used for,
and making sure aviation
manufacturing process.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
here from Flight Safety
International?
MS . CHENG :
I believe I had a phone call with them and they were not able to make it to this meeting. They say they would try. They requested that it be deferred to October.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Is there a motion
to allow for the deferral to
October? Made by Mr. Jones.
Seconded by Ms. Atkins.
Any comments from the Board?
Any comments from the public
concerning that deferral?
All in favor indicate with an
aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion Carries.
All right. No advance filed
for MCA application prior to
6/24/2016. Deferred from
6/28/2017 meeting. Please
proceed.
MS . CHENG:
These are applications that
we had inhouse before the
Executive Order that did not have advance notifications.

This first one, 20161171,
CellXion, LLC DBA Sabre

Industries Building System by
CellXion, they were deferred at
the last meeting because they
have jobs, which is what the
Executive Order required for
applications that were pending at the time of the Executive Order, but y'all had requested a better job -- not job, a better project
description.
MR. WINDHAM:
Yes.
MS . CHENG:
And they were able to provide them, and they are here, too.

MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
from CellXion, LLC here? Please
step forward and identify
yourself.
MR. BRODERICK:
I am Jessie Broderick with
Summit Credits. I have been --
gotten with CellXion and gotten
with them on this particular
project. I wanted to let you know that the description that was given was just kind of a mis -- under a miswritten from their standpoint.

If you look at the actual assets that are included in this application, this is an expansion of their building. They expanded their building and also put in a brand new line to produce products for first solar, which is a solar panel company, and so the description of the project was just a -- written incorrectly. This is an
expansion of their facility to produce product.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you. Mr. Adley.

MR. ADLEY:
The production line is in the
new building.
MR. BRODERICK :

Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
Okay. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Any other questions from the
Board members? Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER:
You did this as an MCA
instead of expansion because it
was under $\$ 5$ million.
MR. BRODERICK:
That is correct. That is
correct.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you. Any other
questions? Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
Before you move to the
others. I thought I heard the staff say these do not have to comply with the new rules or not?

MS . CHENG:
In the Executive Order it
says any applications that are
pending at the time would have --

MCA's that were pending at the
time would have to have new jobs.
MR. ADLEY:
That is correct. So the
reason $I$ assume we deferred was
lack of explanation, lack of
showing of jobs.
MS . CHENG:
There were jobs on the agenda
last time as well. They just --
y'all just wanted a better
project description.
MR. ADLEY:
Well, I see three of them
have zero jobs now.
MS . CHENG :
Well, two of them were
withdrawn by the company -- the
two Certainteed's were withdrawn.
MR. ADLEY:
Okay. So they are gone now?
MS . CHENG :
They are gone.
MR. ADLEY:
Okay.

MS . CHENG:
And one of them has a
representative here explaining
that there were jobs created but at -- different entity holds the jobs. They hold the assets.

MR. WINDHAM:
So we are going to take these
one by one.
MR. ADLEY:
Okay.
MR. WINDHAM:
Take these one by one. So,
any other questions or comments
regarding this application? Any
comments from the public
concerning the application by
selection? Is there a motion to
approve? Yes. Made by Mr. Adley.
Seconded by Ms. Villa.
All in favor indicate with an
aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:

All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries. Thank you, sir.
MS . CHENG:
Next we have 20161257, PHH
Monomers, LLC.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
from PHH Monomers in the
audience? Please step forward
and identify yourself, Mr.
Zerangue. Zatarain. Zatarain, I'm sorry. Trying to throw you off your game.

MR. ZATARAIN:
I've appeared before every
meeting since the Executive
Order.
MR. WINDHAM:
For 30 years.
MR. ZATARAIN :
At least he didn't call me Mickey, which is my brother.

Yeah. If you recall the last meeting of $P H H$ was part of PPG, PHH at the PPG facility never had
their own employees. They were
always PPG employees. The
facility was sold to Axial, so
now we have Axial employees, so
the last -- the last meeting it
was deferred, so I can come back and find how many people actually worked on the project because PHH never had any employees. And I got the response back that on

January 1, 2015 there were 32
full time people working at the
facility. At the end of 2015
there were 36 . The four
additional employees were sent to
me, their names, and I
immediately sent them right to
Kristin on July 17th, so they do
have employees full time. They
added four in 2015.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
The 32 employees worked for
who.

MR. ZATARAIN:
Axial is the name of the company itself. They employ the employees. There were formally PPG employees, and when Axial bought the most of the PPG plant, those employees put a different name on them and they became Axial.

MR. ADLEY:
I'm trying to put together why Axial wouldn't be applying for the exemption. Are you telling me PHH owns the property?

MS . CHENG:
The owner of the assets --
MR. ZATARAIN :
Yes. The owner who actually
owns and who files the
renditions, who has to pay the taxes on it, is an entity called PHH Monomers, but they only -and this is very common in chemical plants. Different parts of the plants may have a
different --
MR. ADLEY:
How many of the 32 are new
jobs related to this one ITEP?
MS . CHENG :
I think he said there were 36 jobs now, and four were related.

MR. WINDHAM:
Four new ones.
MR. ZATARAIN :
Four new ones. And the names of those four employees were submitted to Kristin right away.

MR. ADLEY :
So there were four new jobs.
So you have four new jobs with
this one.
MR. ZATARAIN:
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
Thank you.
MR. ZATARAIN:
And this will be the last application they will file as an MCA .

MR. WINDHAM:
So let me ask staff on this.
So as we move forward on the --
in the new world that we're going
to be living in, we're going to
have to gather or you guys are
going to be gathering information
if there is an owner even though
he is not operating it.
MS . CHENG:
Correct. We are going to
have to receive job information.
MR. WINDHAM:
So in that case, who is this
CEA going to be with?
MS . CHENG:
Potentially both.
MR. WINDHAM:
Both of them. Okay. All
right. Any other questions for
Mr. Zatarain?
All in favor -- I am sorry.
Thank you.
Any other comments from the public concerning PHH Monomers?

Is there a motion to approve?
Made by Ms. Villa. Seconded by
Dr. Wilson.
All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MS . CHENG:
And finally we have 20161002,
Stollhaven New Orleans in
Plaquemine Parish. At the
previous meeting they did not
have new job information included on their application but they had it with them, they submitted it to me after the last meeting, and I was able to add it to this agenda. There were three new jobs.

MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:

And you were able to vet the
jobs to confirm?
MS . CHENG:
They provided documentation.
MR. ADLEY:
What caught my attention, you said after the meeting they gave
it to me so now I can tell you
they got three.
After they gave it to you, how did we vet it out to insure there were three?

MS . CHENG :
They provided their --
MR. ADLEY:
I'm going back to the
Chairman's question. I am trying to get back to how that happened.

MS . CHENG:
They provided the ES4, which has the filings with the

Workforce Commission about which jobs were created.

MR. ADLEY:
Okay. Thank you.

MR. WINDHAM:
Any other questions from any of the Board members? Oh, I am sorry. Is there a representative
here? Do we need a
representative for this? No.
Any other questions by the
Board members? Is there a motion? Made by Mr. Slone.

Seconded by Mr. Miller.
All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MS . CHENG :
We have 29 renewals. These
are timely renewals, and Calumet
Lubricants, Calumet Packaging and
Calumet Shreveport Lubricants \&
Waxes would like to defer their five applications to the October meeting. That's 20130463,

20130462, 20131050, 20130465 and
20130466 .
MR. WINDHAM:
We are on renewals. We have advances filed with the original application, no advance filed with the original application.

Is there a motion to accept for the request for deferral?

Made by Representative Carmody.
Seconded by Dr. Wilson.
Any questions from the Board.
Comments from the --
MR. ADLEY:
The only question, so you
don't object to the renewals that
the Governor's office made the decision on all of the renewals that they grandfathered them in, is the number of full time jobs reported. When I look at the 4,331, that total -- is that a total from all of the prior reports or is that the actual total from the initial
applications?
The exercise we went through
a moment ago where we show we were reporting two or three times, does this number include the total we have been using in the past or is this an actual number?

MS . CHENG:
It's an actual number that
they report on their renewal
request. However, you do see
that some are duplicates, so they may be double counted as well.

MR. ADLEY:
I would ask you to do the same with this that we've done with the others so that we make sure we are looking at the correct number. When we renew these I would think I'm getting four thousand jobs that I'm keeping in hand. In fact, there is probably somewhat less than that. So if you could -- when
you work through the process, if you can do the same thing for the renewals, that would be helpful.

MS . CHENG :
Okay.
MR. ADLEY:
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you, Mr.
Adley. Is there a motion -- I am sorry. Oh, sorry. Thank you, Mr. Jones.

All in favor of deferrals of those five, please indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries. Thank you, Mr.

## Jones.

MR. JONES :
You are welcome.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Please proceed.

MS . CHENG :
We have the remainder of the renewals.

MR. WINDHAM:
Remainder of the renewals.
MS . CHENG:
That were not the ones that were deferred.

MR. WINDHAM:
The ones that were not deferred, correct. Ms. Villa makes a motion to approve them in-globo. Seconded by Mr. Slone. Subject to any questions.

Are there any questions on any of the renewals by any of the Board members? Are there any questions or comments from the public concerning any of the renewals on this agenda?

MR. JONES:
Mr. Chairman, just to be cheer, we are doing both with advance and new advance?

MR. WINDHAM:

That is correct. All in
favor indicate with an aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries. All of the
renewals are approved.
MS . CHENG:
We have six late renewals.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Please proceed.
MS . CHENG:
20120202, Louisiana Pigment
Company, LP in Calcasieu Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative for
Louisiana Pigment Company?
Please step forward and identify
yourself.
MS . CHENG :
They also have late renewals on the addenda. That's 20110185 and 20120204.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. We'll take all
three of those together if that's
all right with the Board.
MR. YOUNG :
My name is Joe Young. I'm
with Louisiana Pigment.
MR. WINDHAM:
I am sorry, your name again.
MR. YOUNG:
Joe Young.
MR. WINDHAM:
Joe Young.
MR. YOUNG:
Yes, sir.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Young, these were late.
Are there any questions for Mr.
Young regarding the tardiness?
MR. ADLEY:
I mean, the obvious question is why were they late, but before you answer, the Board has adopted as a precedent that we've used for all late requirements, we only have three options, as I understand it, to approve it,
disapprove it or create a
penalty, and we created a penalty of, I think, 20 percent, is
that --
MS . CHENG :
One year out of five.
MR. ADLEY:
One year out of five, which is 20 percent. So knowing that in advance, $I$ am just asking why was this late out of curiosity? From my position, we pretty well adopted a policy. We want to listen.

MR. YOUNG:
In the past, Lori Webber
handled these and we were always
notified with documents to file
the extensions, and then Lori
retired and they had significant changes in the program, and we were not, and I was patiently waiting so as not to be a pain in anyone that is super busy with all of this, and so then I called
and got in touch with Kristin, so
we promptly put it all together
and filed it in.
MR. ADLEY:
All right. Albeit, I hate
that it happened that way, but
there had been a number of
companies who said exactly the same thing, and our response has always been the benefit of the program is for you, it's not for them. It's a huge tax break for you. It's a something that you should be on top of, so at least
the position in the past and
Board to go anywhere they want
to, but our position would be if
we would adopt the same policy with these that we have done with
others in the past and shorten the exemption from five years to four.

MR. YOUNG :
Yes, sir.
MR. WINDHAM:

So, Mr. Adley, is that a
motion?
MR. ADLEY:
I would move, at the appropriate time, that we treat them which we have those in the past.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Is there a second. Yes. Seconded by Dr. Wilson.

Are there any questions by any of the Board members for Mr.

Young? Any comments from the public concerning the motion on the table?

All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a no. Motion carries.

MR. YOUNG:
Thank you.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Young.
MS . CHENG :
We have 20080018, Valero
Refining-Meraux in St. Bernard
Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
from Valero with us today. Mr.
Zatarain, please step forward and
identify yourself. I got you right that time.

MR. ZATARAIN:
I'm Chuck Zatarain, and this
is actually not my application.
My brother Mick has a separate company from mine, and he handles
his own clients and I handle mine. He is having his ear operated on this morning, so he asked me if $I$ come up and explain that there is a change of personnel at the Valero Refinery in St. Bernard Parish and they were late getting it back and as
soon as he got it, he filed it right away.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Is there a motion to move forward with the one year restriction?

MR. ADLEY:
I would make -- I would make
the same motion, particularly
knowing that Valero was extremely
large compared to the one small guy that missed it out there that we just applied the same thing
to. A company of Valero's size,
I mean, this is very important to
them. Clearly should not have missed it. And I would move that we do the same thing, we make it

80 percent or four years of the five.

MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a second? Seconded by Mr. Miller.

Any other comments from the
Board. Questions. Comments or
questions from the public?
All in favor indicate with an
Aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MR. ZATARAIN:
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you.
MS . CHENG:
Now I have 20120574 and
20120575, BP Lubricants USA Inc.
in West Baton Rouge.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
from BP here? Please step
forward and identify yourself.
MR. POLICK :
Scott Polick. I work for BP.
I'm a tax advisor representing BP
Lubricants.
MR. WINDHAM:

All right. And the reason you
were tardy on these two was?
MR. POLICK:
I hate to sound like a broken record, but $I$, too, was spoiled by Lori Webber and the fact that she always sent us stuff in advance, and in addition to the fact that the program was under quite a bit of turmoil at that time, really wasn't sure what was going to happen in the future, I simply dropped the ball. I got in touch with Kristin, and we filed in January. I believe we were basically one month late. Fully aware of the penalty, fully prepared to accept it if the

Board will move forward and
approve everything.
MR. ADLEY:
Certainly a lot -- it's
certainly a lot better than the option of denying it all
together. I think the Board has
been really gracious. I make the same motion.

MR. WINDHAM:
Motion made by Mr. Adley.
Seconded by Mr. Slone.
Restricted by one year. Any
other questions from the Board?
Comments from the public?
All in favor indicate with an
Aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion Carries.
MR. POLICK :
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you.
MS . CHENG:
I have six change in name
only requests. This is for
Conagra Foods Lamb Weston, Inc.
Their new name is Lamb Weston,
Inc. and they are in Richland

Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Need to read the numbers.
MS . CHENG :
20091007, 20091007A,
20091007B, 20121293, 20150309 and
20160791.

MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to accept
the name change? Made by Dr.
Wilson. Seconded by Mr. Ad -- I am sorry. No. Mr. Barham. Two
Robert's on my mind.
Any other -- any questions
from the Board members? Any
comments from the public?
All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS :
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay. Motion carries.

MS . CHENG:
I have 29 contract transfers.

Asap Industries, LLC: 20080396,
20090400, 20100147, 20120563,
20120566, 20130512, 20130513,
20140570, 20140571, 20150589,
20150490 , and 20161060 are being
transferred to ASAP Industries
Manufacturing, LLC, and they are
in Terrebonne Parish.
Do you want me to go through
all of these.
MR. WINDHAM:
Do through them all.
MS . CHENG:
ASAP Industries, LLC.
Contract 20120565 and 20120567
are being transferred to PDQ
Partnership, LLC in Terrebonne
Parish.
PHH Monomers, LLC. 20090173, 20100417, 20110449, 20130318 and 20161257 are being transferred to Eagle US 2, LLC in Calcasieu Parish.

Norris International
Services, LLC. Contract 20141535
is being transferred to North
Industries, LLC in Iberia Parish.
And EOG Resources Railyard
Louisiana, LLC. 20130643,
20130644, 20130645, 20130646,
20130647, 20130648, 20130649,
20130650 and 20130651 are being
transferred to NuStar Logistics,
LP in St. James Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to accept
transfer of these tax exemption
contracts? Made by Mr. Williams.
Second by Mr. Slone.
Any comments or questions
from the Board? Comments or
questions from the public
concerning these transfers?
All in favor indicate with an
aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.

MS . CHENG:
I have seven partial contract transfers.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right.
MS . CHENG :
A.S.A.P Industries

Manufacturing. Contract 20120566
is transferring to \$252,786 to
PDQ Partnership in Terrebonne
Parish.
A.S.A.P Industries

Manufacturing, LLC. Contract
20130512 is transferring $\$ 135,776$
to PDQ Partnership, LLC in
Terrebonne Parish.
A.S.A.P Industries

Manufacturing, LLC. 20140570 is
transferring $\$ 95,450$ to $P D Q$
Partnership, LLC in Terrebonne Parish.

> A.S.A.P Industries

Manufacturing, LLC. Contract 20140571 is transferring $\$ 613,587$
of assets to PDQ Partnership in

Terrebonne Parish.
A.S.A.P Industries

Manufacturing, LLC. 20150489 is
transferring $\$ 227,498$ of assets
to PDQ Partnership in Terrebonne
Parish. A.S.A.P Industries
Manufacturing, LLC. 20161060 is
transferring $\$ 23,394$ in assets to
PDQ Partnership, LLC in
Terrebonne Parish.
Danos and Curole Marine
Contractors, LLC. 20110406 is
transferring $\$ 190,635$ of assets to Danos and Curole, LLC in St.

Mary Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you. Is
there a motion to approve the
partial transfers of these
contracts? Made by Ms. Atkins.
Seconded by Mr. Miller.
Any other -- any questions
from any of the Board members?
Comments or questions from the public?

All in favor indicate with an
aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MS . CHENG:
And I have three contract cancellations.

Brookfield District Energy USA, LLC. Contract 20111216.

The assets -- this is in Orleans
Parish. The assets were
abandoned in place. Company
requests cancellation.
Dresser-Rand Services, LLC.
060229 in LaSalle Parish. LED
was notified by parish assessor that the facility has been closed, and I notified the company by e-mail and by phone. The e-mail bounced back. The phone line has been disconnected, so staff requests cancellation.

Shaw Alloy Piping Products,
LLC. Contract: 20090431. The
assets have been removed from
that site in Bossier Parish. The company requests cancellation.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you. Is there a motion to approve the cancellation. Made by Dr. Wilson.

Seconded by Ms. Atkins.
Any questions from the Board concerning the cancellations of these contracts? Any comments from the public concerning the cancellation?

All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay. Motion carries.

MS . CHENG:
Now I have three special
requests from Houston Plating \&

Coatings. Contract 20111111,
20150319 and 20160819 in
Lafayette Parish. They were --
their manufacturing facility has
been idle as of 2016, and the
Board approved one year
continuation and they are coming
back to request an additional
year.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
from Houston Plating \& Coatings
in the audience. Please step
forward and identify yourself.
Describe the horizon economically
for your company.
MR. HOWARD :
My name is William Howard.
I'm Chief Executive Officer.
MR. WINDHAM:
Can you tell us what the
economic horizon looks like for
your company.
MR. HOWARD :
We're on the mend. Our
business is almost exclusively connected to the energy industry manufacturers, and we're seeing some improvement as a result of exploration and production budget increases, and it looks as if in 2018 we should be getting back to normal activity.

MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any questions from any of the Board members concerning this request? Mr . Adley.

MR. ADLEY:
The decision how they come
back each year, when was that made?

MS . CHENG :
Last year on June 24 th of 2016.

MR. ADLEY:
On June 24th?
MS . CHENG:
Yes.
MR. ADLEY:

The day of the Executive
Order?
MS . CHENG:
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
I think what we have done with these that are sitting idle,

I know we have been through this
a couple of times where we've
asked the company -- not to only
come back and report, but we've
asked them to come back to the
local governing authorities. They
are the ones that are being
exempted from the property tax,
and we've asked them to go back
and get documentation, $I$ believe,
from them that they are in
support of continuing the
exemption. I believe that is
what we've done. I know we
suggest that that is what we
should do with this application
unless there is something
different that I'm missing.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER:
Sir, you said that 2018 looks
like things are going to turn
around. Have you done any
manufacturing in '17 or are you
still completely stopped right now.

MR. HOWARD :
In Louisiana we're completely
stopped. We maintained trucking operations from Louisiana to our

Houston plants to maintain
customer relationships here as
best we can, but we've not done
any manufacturing.
MR. MILLER:
Haven't brought anybody back to work and done any jobs?

MR. HOWARD :
We had one person that's
coordinating the distribution
activities.
MR. MILLER:

All right. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Miller.
So, Mr. Adley, is that a
motion to approve this subject to obtaining of the support from the three local bodies?

MR. ADLEY:
It would be from the sheriff, the school board and the parish and any cities are affected, which is exactly the same thing that was in -- that's in the new rule. And my guess is if you can go to them and tell them you expect to be going in 2018, 1 don't think they are going to have any particular problem with it. But I think that is the proper approach. We would then be following to the rule, and I mean following the rule is very important, and so I would move to do that.

MR. WINDHAM:

Is there a second?
MR. ADLEY:
Subject to approval receiving
that documentation from them.
MR. WINDHAM:
Seconded by Mr. Richard. And
for ease of process, they can
just bring that documentation
back to the staff. He doesn't
have to come back here to give it to us.

MR. ADLEY:
I think in the past we've had
them come back, have we not? A
staff cannot -- I think what
Richard has made clear to us, and we've asked him that question before, is that the staff cannot legally make the decision. It's got to go before the Board, and I'm not speaking for them, but I think that's what he said.

MR. WINDHAM:
What I am saying, though,
they can receive the paperwork
and present it to us.
MR. ADLEY:
Yes. That's correct. They
can do that as long as it's
presented to us. I think that's
fine.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Any other
questions or comments? Mr.
Miller.
MR. MILLER:
Just to clarify, that
requirement of the locals was
June 24th, and I believe you were
-- this happened at that marathon
meeting on June 24 th. We had not
established how we would handle
this, so I think Mr. Adley is
absolutely correct that
subsequent to meetings of June
24th we ask for these local, so
Houston Plating \& Coating didn't
have that requirement. They
didn't know. We started doing
that afterwards, but I do agree
with you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Any other comments from the
Board members?
MR. FABRA:
Mr. Chairman.
MR. WINDHAM.
Yes.
MR. FABRA:
I just have one question.
When your plant is fully in
operation, how many permanent
jobs do $y^{\prime a l l}$ employ?
MR. HOWARD :
We had -- when it was fully
operational, we had about 40 full
time jobs there.
MR. FABRA:
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you. Mr. Miller, are you back on?

All right. Are there any other questions by the Board members? Comments from the

```
public?
```

All in favor indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MS . CHENG:
And this concludes the
Industrial Tax Exemption portion
of the agenda.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Cheng. Next
we have --
MS . CHENG :
We have --
MR. WINDHAM:
Other business. Industrial
Tax Exemption Appeals.
MS . CHENG:
We have six appeals; however,
Calumet Lubricants and Calumet
Shreveport Lubricants \& Waxes,
20170189, 20170190, 20170191 and

20170192 would like to defer to
the October meeting.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to allow
for the deferrals of the appeals?
Made by Dr. Wilson. Seconded by
Representative Carmody.
All in favor of the deferral
of these appeals, please indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion Carries.
MS . CHENG :
I have ASH Industries, Inc.
Application 20170187 in Lafayette Parish. LED received a request by ASH Industries to appeal the decision of the Board of Commerce and Industry at the June 28, 2017 meeting to deny their request for tax exemption.

MR. WINDHAM:

I believe everyone has a
package from ASH.
Is there a representative
from ASH Industries, LLC in the
audience? Please step forward
and identify yourself.
MR. SPENCE :
I'm Hardy Spence, President
of ASH Industries in Lafayette,
Louisiana.
MR. WINDHAM:
Yes. All right. Please state your case.

MR. SPENCE:
We -- the program changed and
I was notified that we needed to
be here before the committee, and
I was at a trade show in
Philadelphia. We do 15 trade
shows a year around the nation
because the nature of our
business is not native to
Louisiana, so we're bringing projects back to Louisiana to make the products and bring that
money home. We wanted to defer
to June, and before the June
meeting, $I$ was told that we
needed to have resolutions from
the full Board and not statements
of support just from the Parish
President or the head of the
school board, so we sought a
deferral in June so that we can
get full resolution of the bodies
in Lafayette and that was when
our appeal was denied.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
This is an MCA?
MS . CHENG :
This is an MCA that was filed
after the Executive Order.
MR. ADLEY:
And legal counsel said it was
in violation of the Executive
Order and the rules. I got that.
But you said something that's
interesting to me, and I want to
apologize, you called me and
talked to me on the phone and I
had said, are you creating jobs?
You said, yeah. I don't think
you will have a problem. I
didn't know you were on appeal.
I want to apologize to you.
MR. SPENCE :
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
You didn't say anything about
the appeal. So my question is, you said you came prior to June and they told you to go get the local approval.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
Did they tell you at that time that after June there would be no MCA's.

MR. SPENCE:
Our work was complete by June of 2016. The equipment we purchased and put in place

```
were --
```

MR. ADLEY:
The Executive Order says
clearly anything that was in
process would not be accepted after June, and I'm just trying to find out if you were told that when you went back to the local government. What happened there.

> MS . BOATNER:

Rhonda Boatner with Didier
Consultants. There were two
applications that for MCA's that were approved in April of 2016.

One for -- I'm sorry, April of 2017. They were for Century Link and for FMT Shipyards that were for the same exact type of project as my client, and so that's why we're asking because there has been a precedent set that those type of applications were approved, and his is exactly as what it was approved on April 26th .

MR. SPENCE:
That's in the last tab of
your packet.
MR. ADLEY:
Right. I had lengthy
discussions with legal counsel
with the Governor and others on
this very issue. Those
applications still sit on his desk and have not been approved yet. Our position is the rules are what the rules are regardless of whatever has happened prior to this point. That the rules and the legal counsel for LED was pretty adamant about those rules.

It's at least my position
representing him that if they violate the rules, we are not going to support that, at least I'm not able to do that sitting on this committee. And the rules are very clear on MCA.

MS . BOATNER:
According to your April 26

Board meeting there were two exactly the same applications that were approved.

MR. ADLEY:
And I called staff and asked them, I said, I need to know what differences there were. There were slight differences, but most of them deal with timing as they gave it to me. Companies who, and, like in his case, albeit he was off at a trade show, made that decision not to be there and move forward with this project and got caught in that time delay. There is a difference between this project and the others.

Now, I think when I looked at it I found the same difference with most of them that. I do appreciate your argument, I do. I get it, but we have to take each one of these individually as they are presented to us based
upon what they did and the timing of which they did, and this one is different.

MS . BOATNER:
I understand. But this
spending occurred prior to June 24 of 2016.

MR. ADLEY:
I got you.
MS . BOATNER:
The same as Century Link and
FMP Shipyards.
MR. ADLEY:
The timing of how he handled
it was significantly different.
MR. WINDHAM:
Ms. Villa.
MS. VILLA:
The only thing that $I$ might
add is that the Board is now abided by the rules that became effective June 20th, and so -- of 2017, so now the Board should abide by those rules. In April we were going by the Executive

Order, and the Board chose to make the decision to move forward with those two, and sent it on to the Governor for a signature with an explanation that the Board was not abiding by the Executive Order, and that has -- since Senator Adley has stated, they are still sitting on the Governor's desk.

MR. WINDHAM:
Any other questions by any of the Board members concerning this specific appeal? Any other statements you would like to make?

MR. SPENCE:
I think this program is
created for companies like ASH
Industries. We started three
decades ago making parts out of plastic that was made here in the state. 70 percent of the nation were all plastic leaves the state and goes elsewhere for
production.
I brought with me today two products that we brought back to the state from other places; one is overseas in Thailand, and the other is in another state that has plenty of money that they need to share with Louisiana. We have used a small amount of money, $\$ 700,000$ in order to create $\$ 31,000$ of payroll a month, and that is -- that
equipment is directly attributable and sole reason why we have that payroll, and the economics of this project are important to us. It's been extremely expensive to startup, and we have high hopes that this would be used for the basis of a new manufacturer group in ASH Industries.

This IT program is important to us. I'm sorry I got caught up in a timing. We are not a large
company. I don't have any extra
people, and when $I$ couldn't be here before the Board in April, I
had no choice. I had a
significant investment in these
trade shows to try and bring that business back.

MR. WINDHAM:
Certainly.
MR. ADLEY:
Can I ask --
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
Staff, these four that are on
appeal, how many others out there
could there be like this that if
these were approved or is this
finite? Is this it?
MS . CHENG:
Depending on the timing of the projects, it would be any of the ones that were denied at the last meeting in June because they all had jobs that were created,
but it really does depend on the timing of their projects.

MR. ADLEY:
Yeah.
MR. WINDHAM:
And that was one of the questions that we discussed last time about when the assets were placed in service. The rules, even the Executive Order at that point in time, companies made those investments and it was prior to that they were playing, for lack of a better word, under the rules at that time and they made the expenditure and if it was put into service by then, they had no way of knowing that the Executive Order was going to come along and deny them participation. They didn't know that. If they had known that, maybe they wouldn't have spent the money, maybe they would have filed in advance.

MR. ADLEY:
I think, for what it's worth, the Executive Order had been out there for a long time. It's the rules that you have to go through this process that takes some time to get it in the rule, but the Executive Order was out there back in June of '16.

MR. WINDHAM:
But they spent the money prior to that. That's why I'm running into the philosophical of it. You tell me that the rules are there and I spent the money on March 31st --

MR. ADLEY:
Mr. Chairman, allow me. I'm going to suggest to you for me for the Governor, because it clearly violates the rules, I'm going to record my vote as no. The Board can clearly vote the other way if you so choose, and you can send it to his desk for
his decision because that's where it goes from here.

MR. WINDHAM:
Certainly.
MR. ADLEY:
That is going to be clearly up to you. I am in the position that Mr. House told us at the last meeting that it violated the rules. It violates the rules and it violates that Executive Order, and my position is to vote no.

Now, every Board member can vote however you see fit if you choose to send it to him, I'm sure he is not going to mind dealing with it. He is a big boy and he can handle it. But for me, I'm going to stick to the rules and.

MR. WINDHAM:
Oops. I am sorry.
MR. ADLEY:
The time to debate all of
this was back when we were
writing those rules after the

Executive Order, and they are what they are.

MR. WINDHAM:
Senator Chabert.
MR. CHABERT:
Give us a real quick synopsis of what it is that ASH does.

MR. SPENCE :
This is real exciting. We -we take ideas from people. I had
a tendon anchor in my pocket and
I lost it. But we make everything
from microscopic parts from
reattaching parts of your body,
laparoscopic surgical tools. This
white part here is for
transporting kidneys, and this is
for transporting kidneys and

## livers.

Typically our process has
been injection molding, and this
money was used for a new
rotational molding group.
Rotational molding is where we
replace the plastic in a mold.

We rotate the mold under heat and then pull --

MR. CHABERT:
Got you. So basically you
are a chemical company.
MR. SPENCE:
No. No. No, sir.
MR. CHABERT :
I mean, excuse me. I said
that wrong.
MR. SPENCE :
We're manufacturing.
MR. CHABERT :
You are a manufacturing

## company.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT :
That utilizes the industrial
chemical complex.
MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT:
That is located here.
MR. SPENCE :

Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT:
That is located here because of the Mississippi River, correct?

MR. SPENCE:
Well, it's here because of the petroleum.

MR. CHABERT :
I am with you. Just stick with me. Help me help you.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT:
You are an engineering, aren't you?

MR. SPENCE :
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT:
I can tell. So basically this is a chain reaction of a synergistic thing that we are trying -- this is such the right thing to do, and for government to get in the way of government
just because of rules, and I respect the Governor and $I$ work with him as much as I can. I certainly respect my colleagues, Mr. Adley; however, you are talking about a company that's here that's benefitting from a strategic location on a natural water asset of which a chemical company is here for that asset and the chief natural gas that this state is providing, okay, so, I mean, it is a -- it is a perfect thing.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT :
It is what we are striving for in terms of economic development in our state.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir. This green cooler brought back from Thailand and we use 3 million BTU's of gas to operate that machine.

MR. CHABERT:
So, in essence, you are kind of doing value added
manufacturer, wouldn't you say?
MR. SPENCE :
It's as raw as --
MR. CHABERT :
Isn't that like the thing
that LED preaches every day.
That is what everybody preaches.
We need more value added
manufacturing in this state.
MR. SPENCE:
Well, that's why we moved here three decades ago. In addition to it being home, there wasn't a whole lot here.

MR. CHABERT :
And just to get back to the crux of why we are having this appeal is because you weren't able to appear because you were actually engaged in a trade show to grow your business.

MR. SPENCE :

Yes, sir. Most of our
business comes from elsewhere, and we -- there's lot of medical development handling on the east coast and we had to be there.

MR. CHABERT :
So if you would have had a home run out of the park at that trade show.

MR. SPENCE :
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT:
You would have gotten more business.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT :
You would have created more jobs in Louisiana.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT:
So the Governor is trying to penalize you for doing your job, for doing what your business is
intended to do? I mean, that
sounds nuts to me, but maybe
that's why I'm a strong
conservative republican; isn't
that right? Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any other questions
from any of the Board members?
Is there a motion for an action?
MR. CHABERT:
Yes. Mr. Chairman, what's
the -- what's the appropriate -or, staff, what is the
appropriate motion? I move to
approve that we accept the appeal
of ASH Industries.
MR. WINDHAM:
So, what would the
appropriate motion be?
MS. CLAPINSKI:
I think the motion needs to
be approval for -- well, approval
of the appeal and then a
reconsideration of their
application for the exemption.
MR. CHABERT:
I move that.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Is there a second to that? Motion has been made by

Senator Chabert. Seconded by
Senator Ward. I'm sorry. Mr.
Adley. Yes.
MR. ADLEY:
After being chastised by my colleagues who sat behind me for so long doing the same thing, and my colleague to my right that did the same thing, I'm going to ask that, sensing the feel of this committee, I at least want the Governor's vote to be recorded no. If you have to do a roll call or do, that's fine. If you don't, that's fine. But at least as his representative, $I$ have to have that vote as no.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Adley.

Are there any other questions or comments from any of the Board members? Are there any questions or comments from any of the public? Yes. Please step forward and identify yourself.

MS . HANDLEY:
My name is Diane Handley, and
I am with Together Louisiana that's here in Baton Rouge. I would like to thank Senator Adley and Ms. Villa for their comments. The Governor was very explicit in his Executive Order that applications with no advanced notice would be held to the Executive Order effective immediately and it would not receive a contract of exemption. It would be arbitrary and capricious and against the rules that you promulgated if you approve these requests.

I believe this Board was correct in denying before -- this
business before and following the
rules as you did that required
that the contract -- and that
requires that the contract be
denied again. This is a land
with the rule of law, not of men.
You can't come up and change the
rules just because you like
something or you don't like
something. We set the rules or
we don't, and what $I$ understand
is that if you ask the question
would you have spent the money or
not knowing the rules were
different, they spent the money.
It's done. So if this is an
incentive to get them to do
something that they would not be
able to do otherwise without that
money, it was done, they did it.
They spent the money without that
knowledge. So this entire
enterprise of reforming a program
that needs reform more than any
other program, I think, in the
state at this time, rest on the
foundation of trust that this
Board will act legally and
inherent -- an inherence to your
own rules, please do not
undermine that trust.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, ma'am.
MR. BAGGER :
Roderick Bagger with Together
Louisiana. I would like to talk
in two parts. On the pragmatic
side, what happens if you make
this vote and it's actually
approved, just practically. The
can of worms has opened up. And
then, secondly, it's more on the
principle because Senator
Chabert, that's what I understand
your eloquent and persuasive
remarks to be directed toward.
Practically every single entity
that was denied is going to be
back up here for an appeal next
month, two months from now, and
now you are going to have to
justify this vote in their eyes,
and they are all valued
employers, and they are all
producing valuable products,
maybe not as elegant as something
to transport an organ. I mean,
how wonderful. That will all be
here and if you do what it sounds
like some are recommending, you
will have undermined the most
basic and simple of the reform
measures that somebody should not
be able to apply for routine
investments that they have to
make anyway. That was the most
non-contested of the reform
measures, and you would have done
so in direct contravention of
your own rules, which is illegal.
This Board is empowered by the
constitution to grant exemptions
based on its determination of
what is in the best interest of
the state. Your rules determine
that officially. To act against that is arbitrary and capricious and unconstitutional for that reason.

Now, the principle of the matter. This is unlike anything that happens in any other state in this country where exemptions are weighed on the basis of merits of the recipients instead of what they yield, our
constitution authorizes tax
exemptions not because we
appreciate somebody but in order to bring an economic development benefit. There is a quid and a pro and a quo. And an incentive or investment that's already been made cannot be incentivized by an exemption that happens after the fact that we've gotten into this pattern and this habit of approving things after the fact but that we're weighing not what are these public dollars, public
dollars that would go to schools,
that would go to sheriff's
departments, that would go to
police departments, that would
hire people in those local
entities, what does it bring for the public. Any time exemptions like this across the country have been challenged, courts have determined the outcome on what they call a but for analysis. If the exemption hadn't been
provided would they have done it anyway, and sometimes that's hard to tell, but when the exemption already took place, there is no justification for providing public dollars of public subsidy for a private enterprise that is doing what private enterprises do, which is invest in their own companies. So we ask you to not set that precedent. It will lead to probably more expenditure on
lawyers than is actually being
provided in exemptions. More
important, it would start to
undermine the fabric of trust, as
Ms. Handley said, around this
entire enterprise of performing
ITEP, which has deep support
across this state outside of the
community that is lobbying for
because they materially benefit
for. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Bagger.
Senator Sharby \{sic\}.
MR. CHABERT:
Chabert.
MR. WINDHAM:
I am so sorry.
MR. CHABERT:
That's all right. It's not
as difficult as Zatarain.
Y'all, I appreciate your
passion and $I$ respect your
argument; however, are y'all
familiar with like Citizen
United. The ruling Citizens

United that gave basic citizenship with corporations.

Do you agree with it?
MR. BAGGER :
Well, there was a prior case
that gave citizenship to
corporations.
MR. CHABERT :
Let's just use that one for
the sake of argument because I think it's going to prove -- it's kind of the point of what I'm getting at.

MR. BAGGER :
Go ahead and tell us the implications of whether we are hearing -- I will tell you whether we agree.

MR. CHABERT :
There are no real
implications. The concept of giving citizenship to a corporation I think is crazy to me. I'm against this, and I'm not a fan of that. No offense to
my good friends and colleagues
out there representing these massive corporations. I worked for a fortune 500 company. I get
it. I mean, big companies are
big companies; however, they are not us. They are not normal
citizens. I don't equate a small
company that was created in a
garage in Lafayette, Louisiana
that is trying in a very adverse
political and economical
environment in this state to try
to keep growing their jobs and
keep talking about public money,
public money. They pay for
public money. Those jobs are the
taxes that all of those things
you were talking about, the tax
money, those people that they
employ in Lafayette. The job
creations that you cited;
schools, sheriff, that is
government work. Those jobs that
you were talking about growing
that public tax money, that's all government work. I support
schools. I support sheriff. I
support the firemen, yeah, that's
still all government work. All
right. And the only way those
people have jobs, okay, is if
people grow businesses, employ
every day citizens, right, and
grow our tax base because at the
end of the day, our tax base --
and I'm all for cutting down
exemptions. That's why I am
serving on this Board even though
I'm a strong conservative, all
right, to support a lot of these
reforms. I get you, but at the
same time there is a human
element to this where things need
to be considered, and if we got
to go to court to fight for them
and we lose, so be it, okay, but we can't look at the overall tax structure in our state and say we're going to garner the
majority of our revenue end from
sales tax and income tax.
Would you agree with that
that that's how we get the
overwhelming majority of the tax
revenue into this state is
through sales tax and income tax.
It's like 70 percent. This isn't up for argument. I mean, that's what it is.

So if we don't have people in
jobs to utilize the revenue that
they make on that job to pay the
sales tax then our economy is
never going to go anywhere.
We're never going to be able to
employ teachers. We are never
going to be able to hire that
additional sheriff in the high
crime area in Orleans Parish or
Terrebonne Parish or wherever it may be. So I get you. I am with you. I want to help you, okay, but at the same time putting a
lick on a fortune 500 company is
one thing in the rule of law and
the spirit of law and all of that stuff, and $I$ don't want to get in
the back and forth, but if we got
to, we got to, all right.
But putting a licking on a
local small Louisiana company
that is in business doing value added manufacturing, what we are striving to do in this state, just based on precedent and all of this other, you know, legal mumbo-jumbo even though you are trying to say that this Board, even though it's fully tasked with the ability to do this, you know, and if it's not then we go to court. We do that everyday here, you know, unfortunately during legislative session.

We vote on stuff that -- and it's a joke but it's reality. We vote on unconstitutional stuff every day, and a lot of it gets challenged and a lot of it gets
proven to be unconstitutional, and lot of it gets proven to be constitutional, but we got to make those votes.

That is what this Board is entitled to do, and I'm sure you got a comeback, so I will yield.

MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
Just to -- after hearing
those comments, I just wanted to remind the Board, for what it's worth, that when we started this process, we always get into these arguments, and a guy like Hardy comes up, I talk to him on the phone, he's a small guy, we want to help him. The next
application is Bancroft and not some little guy and there will be a whole lot more to follow that. I just want to remind you that we were the only state in America doing it the way we did it, and
that's a fact. And if we reduce what we give to them under this
program all of the way to 70
percent, we'd still be No. 1 in the country. It was what we give.

What is really alarming for all of us and $I$ know it is for these two senators and house members sitting here, that they are going to end the next year with this huge budget hole, and I just want everybody here to understand half of the state general funds, over half of the state general fund goes to local government, so someone has got to ask that question. Business is always say, why do you that? You need to stop doing that, and $I$ agree with them, but we do it because we took away all of the local governments tax base in the property tax to the tune of literally billions every year, so
all be it this is one, and you
are going to see more, I'm just asking you to remember this is going to be a very important
issue before it's all over, and the impact will be pretty dramatic. It does have one more step in the process, I get it.

I appreciate your comments.
I appreciate you coming to the table. I worry greatly about -you said, well, if I did -- if something happened to one before this am $I$ going to end up in some lawsuit over this, and then on
the other hand if I started
violating the rules then I'm
looking at another lawsuit, and
neither one is very appealing,
and so that's why I've clearly
have been directed, just follow the rules. That's my goal.

MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER :

My question is for Mr.
Spence. I appreciate the work
your company does. I wasn't
familiar with it until this issue
came up. It says here you made this investment of $\$ \mathbf{6 8 3}, 570$, and we are talking about annual tax relief of $\$ 8,675$.

The question is would you still have made this investment if you weren't expecting to get this $\$ 8,675$ in tax relief?

MR. SPENCE :
Under the old rules we made
the investment with the
anticipation that we would have
this tax abatement because that
is the way the rules worked.
We're not a large company. We
don't have legal staff.
MR. MOLLER :
I know. I understand.
MR. SPENCE:
We made this investment in
anticipation of the tax
abatement.
MR. MOLLER:
But would you have made the investment had there not been \$8,675 of tax relief offered.

MR. SPENCE:
I haven't looked it at that way. The numbers are real tight.

We lost a lot of money this year on this program, but we have high hopes for the future and this tax abatement is a tremendous help.

Now, I would like to say that I did go before the local governments. They are extremely excited about what we are doing in Lafayette, and the school
board, which just lost an election and lost a tax election, lost tremendous amount of money, stood behind us with the exception of one vote because they completely understood that the money they were giving up was more than made up by the $\$ 31,000$
of monthly payroll we added to
the economy.
MR. MOLLER:
Yeah. I understand. And I am
anticipate -- I appreciate the
work you do. I mean, my concern
is, you know, I heard the words
"arbitrary and capricious" and
that tells me there's going to be
a lot of these other companies
that got denied who is going to
be suing the state so that we
would be granting, you know,
$\$ 8,675$ of tax relief that
contravenes the rules that we
passed, and I think that's my
concern.
MR. SPENCE:
Right.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER:
I think you might have
answered one or two of them.
This is created new jobs.

MR. SPENCE:
Absolutely. Directly. This
wasn't other people, other
places. This created new jobs at
our facility because of this
equipment.
MR. MILLER :
Right. And then you just
clarified that you do have the
support that would be requested.
MR. SPENCE :
One hundred percent.
MR. MILLER :
We all have it in front of us.

MR. SPENCE:
The sheriff and the school
board and the parish council. And they were -- actually, I was nervous because they were great meetings because a lot of those people did not know what we were doing and a lot of those people --

MR. MILLER:

Great opportunity of exposure
to the company.
MR. SPENCE :
Yes, sir.
MR. MILLER :
And then for us there is no
doubt that the new rules would say to deny this. I don't know that we, as a Board, could think of every opportunity, every option, everything that could come before us to say that rule applies. We live -- we all live by rules and we are a nation of laws, and I get it, but there is always an exception, and we shouldn't create laws for the exemption. We should have laws that work and there will be a few exemptions here and there, so I don't know how we could have known this was going to happen. If these other folks come up with
a whole bunch of new jobs created
like ASH has done, and the local
support like ASH has done, maybe we do need to reconsider them.

And then, lastly, that is
when -- lastly, Senator Adley,
you made the comment publicly and privately about half of the state revenue going to local
government, and as local
government, because $I$ am the
Parish President of Tangipahoa
Parish and I sit there every day
begging the state for a few
pennies here and there, I would
have to challenge you on that
half of the money going to local
government. If you throw in the
school system you might have an
argument but please start saying
that this is the school system's,
not the local government. There
is no way when Tangipahoa Parish
government supports -- I'm not
going to do it here. There are
several state agencies that the parish government supports to
operate in Tangipahoa Parish, so
I have to counter you on that 50
percent of the state revenue going to local government.

MR. WINDHAM:
Senator Chabert, I believe
you are next.
MR. CHABERT :
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And once again, I'm glad y'all jumped on that, and Mr. Miller pointed out that we do have letters of support from the Lafayette Parish School Board, the mayor, President's office, the sheriff's office, and $I$ want to make it very aware to people because the economy in my area, Terrebonne, Lafourche mirrors Lafayette.

It's almost the same economy with half of the population.

Last year in 2016 Lafayette experienced the greatest job loss in the United States of America. Over 9,400 jobs lost. Okay.

9,400 jobs lost. That's an
incredible impact to that region, to our state, okay, to our country, right. Just -- just to be clear, they are probably on pace, just like Houma/Terrebonne to experience a similar job loss unfortunately in 2017. Okay.

And here is a company that's
making it, all right, in spite of that, and that is probably why you have a local support, and I agree with the Parish President that, you know, we are a nation of laws, we are a state of laws,
the rules are important.
If this Board could not
reconsider of an interpretation
or a decision that it's
previously made then there is no need for the Board. We'll just put up a computer system and it -- we'll just handle it that way and automate that and lose a bunch of jobs there, too.

So we are going through -- I
mean, a tremendous time of change nationally, statewide, certainly
in this reform in this here
government, which I support.
Voted for just about every
doggone performance government wants, okay, but the second the government starts literally getting in the way of businesses doing business, locally, small businesses, everything that we
preach every time every
politician takes a stump, every
single one. John Bel Edwards,
Bobby Jindal, Bobby Chabert,
every one, we are becoming the
antithesis of what we say. And I
think Together Louisiana is a
great organization. I support a
lot of the stuff that $y^{\prime}$ all do
and $y^{\prime}$ all are on the right track,
but I will go back to my Citizens
United comment, all right.
Shell Oil, okay, is not the
same as Chauvin Oil with two
boats that operating out of the
bayou. They both got all of the
-- but they don't do the same
thing. Okay. A small little
manufacturing company like this
in Lafayette, Louisiana is doing value added is not the same thing as BASF. You know BASF. You may not know what they do, but they make a lot of the products you make better or whatever their saying is, but they are not the same. They may do the same but they ain't the same. So I agree with Mr. Moller to the extent I don't know if he is going to vote with me or not, but, you know, there is some times to make some exceptions and this may be one of them. Thank you.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Senator. Mr.
Adley.
MR. ADLEY:

I thought I was through until the President called my name, so -- I'm going to tell you you are correct that the majority of the money goes to local government, the biggest chunk goes to our school boards, rightfully so, but that's a little over $\$ 3$ billion out of $\$ 4$ billion. That leaves another billion.

Now, $I$ don't have the numbers in front of me, but $I$ do have somewhat of a memory and $I$ can tell you our parish
transportation funds, revenue sharing, the additional TTF funds and supplemental pay is only the beginning of what we do and we do all of those things because this is the right thing to do. We should do that for them because we took away their tax base, and it has been going on for years. Everyone in local government, maybe not your parish, but
everyone that I meet in local
government says let me take care of myself and so I'm trying to do
that. I'm doing my very best at
every one of these meetings to get to a point to where you have that choice to decide of whether or not you want to give away that tax base. That's what this is
really about, and every meeting we get to the same discussion, but the facts are the facts. A majority of going to local
government. Even though the
largest chunk goes to education.
There ain't no question about it,
but it's the majority and it's --
it is the ITEP. It is the reason that happens.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Adley.
Senator Ward.
MR. WARD :
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You
know, $I$ probably view this stuff
a lot different than a lot of people.

Two years ago I authored the bill that changed things. I don't know how significant it was, but it certainly changed things in terms of how the ITEP program and the industrial tax exemption, all of those things worked together. My co-pilot on that was Senator Chabert. But, you know, at some point common sense has to play a role in all of this and that's where a lot of times government fails. You have to be able to look at everything and make decisions, and in this particular case or in all of these cases I think it's vitally important that the local governments have a say.

Well, in this particular case
I have a book full of the local governments saying they want this to go forward, and, you know, if
all we do is have a set of cookie cutter rules and we make -- we don't -- what's the point of having these meetings, so we don't need that if we go that route, and I think that every circumstance is different, and I think this particular circumstance lends itself to us making an exception because you had somebody that was out there trying to better their business, trying to grow their business, which in turn would help the community, would allow them to employ more people, and for us to block him on this based on him
having to miss one of the meetings while he is out there trying to make his company better to better serve the community, I think -- I think that would be a loss on what the rules are set
for, and so, you know, I think
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```
    circumstances where we do need to
    deviate from -- from the rules
    and make an exception so -- so
    these things -- he can continue
    to grow his company and he can
    continue to provide the jobs in
    the Lafayette region.
    It's certainly not -- not
    something that I take without a
    lot of thought, but we certainly
    have to make exceptions from time
    to time, and I think this is
    certainly one that requires one
of those exceptions.
    MR. WINDHAM:
    Thank you, Senator Ward. Mr.
Jones .
    MR. JONES :
    I have a question for Ms.
Kristin. Do you have an ASH
document in front of you. I'm
looking at the -- the tabs aren't
numbered, but it looks like the
next to last one, actually. And
    basically it looks like it was
```

page 17 of a prior agenda where the ASH project was considered, and it shows an investment -- are
you with me?
MS . CHENG :
Uh-huh (affirmative response).

MR. JONES :
Okay. An investment of \$683,570, and a first year exemption of $\$ 8,675$.

MS . CHENG:
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
Is that a one year exemption?
MS . CHENG :
That's a one year.
MR. JONES:
How many years would they be able to get that exemption under ITEP.

MS . CHENG :
Up to ten.
MR. JONES :
Up to ten. So the previous
representation this was an $\$ 8,000$
benefit is really more like an 86
to 90,000 benefit. It is a ten
year exemption; is that right? I
mean, is that -- is that --
MS . CHENG :
It's an estimate, but
correct.
MR. JONES :
Yeah. Okay. I mean, the
theory is right, is it? If it's only eight year exemption it's eight times $\$ 8,600$ ?

MS . CHENG :
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
Okay. So, basically, you
know, a ten plus percent savings on an investment can be significant in a small company is the point. I just want to -earlier it was said it was an \$8,600 exemption. No, it's really not. It's more like a $\$ 90,000$ exemption.

And this goes back to the
philosophy of reform, and, again,
I served in this Board. This is
my 9 th or $10 t h$ year on this
Board. We've seen a lot of
things come and go. Reform is
always a good thing. It's always
good to re-look and see where we
are and where we're going. It's
always difficult when you change
the rules in the middle of the
stream for people who have made
business decisions five years ago
based on assumptions the way
things were going to go.
The thing $I$ am concerned and
have been concerned about since
we've been going through the
reform is that Louisiana -- I
remember -- Mr. Adley may
remember this, but he would be
the only one in the room, but
there was a very embarrassing
thing that my friends in
Shreveport, and I was part of
that group, did years and years and years ago. We took out a full page ad in the USA Today
begging McDonald Douglas to come to Shreveport, and the reason we did that is because Louisiana had no significant way to incentivize companies who come to the state, and this was in 1980s, and we were -- we were losing to Texas. We were losing to Georgia. We were losing to everybody in the -- in the Gulf Coast because our incentive -- our package, if you will, was just not enough and they were some people would go elsewhere. It's -- I think it's wrong thinking or at least incomplete thinking to say that decisions are made on ITEP alone or decisions are made based on the Mississippi River alone. It's a package. It's a complete package, and what the incentive programs that have been developed
by this state do it adds to the package, and when we can enhance the package, we bring more business to the state. When we can't enhance the package, those businesses where Louisiana falls on the fence, they are going to go for places more attractive. That's the way it works. When the packages are otherwise similar and the incentives are better someplace else, they are going to go someplace else.
That's the way business works.
This is my concern. We've
changed the rules on the
businesses that are here. Okay. That's fine. They will learn to live with it. But what I'm afraid of is ten years from now, 15 years from now this Board may not have appeals to worry about because we have now -- we've now taken a situation where the package is not going to be as
attractive, and when we say that this is money that the local government needs, we do need those -- local government does need the money, but they need -they need the plants there before they can even -- even if it's in year nine, year ten, year 11, year 12, year 15, year 30 that they are getting taxes paid, if the companies don't come they will never get those benefits, so

I'm just saying, we made a
decision and that's fine, we're going to learn to work with it, but $I$ hope and pray that ten or 15 years from now y'all look back on the statements $I$ made and say Jones was an idiot, he was wrong.

I hope that's what you say, but I don't think you will.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. BAGGER :
Mr. Chair, could we make a
suggestion just as a possibility
to get on the table.
Our concern is entirely
around a precedent, right. It's not around this company, and the precedents is routine maintenance getting an exemption. This Board has approved $\$ 23$ billion in
exemptions over the last 20 years when the companies subsidized cut jobs by 21,000 jobs. That's the record so far, and most of that is because -- but it's not the small companies, it's the big ones. A possibility would be to amend the rules and allow him to submit an advance notice.

There's a technicality that you can't do that because the project is finished. But that kind of an amendment that keeps the spirit of the Executive Order, that
keeps the rules that you have abided by is -- is far more of a technicality and far less likely to lead to hundreds, literally
hundreds of applicants who are now saying what about me, what about me and lawsuits, and it would require, $I$ don't know, another two months or so but the exemption would be backdated.

If he's already got the resolution, that's, by far, is the most onerous part. If you submit an advanced notice, go through the process at it's been described in the rules, you then, you know, meet everybody halfway, probably save a lot of money on lawyers and save the Governor the situation of probably having to veto this or not sign it because of the Executive Order in which case he doesn't get the exemption anyway.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you for the thought.
We'll explore that further.
I believe we would have Mr.
Richard who would like to make a
statement.
MR. RICHARD:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
While I certainly appreciate as a member of this Board every business entity that comes to the table and certainly appreciate the dialog we've had here today varying philosophies on economic development in Louisiana, I think because of the rule changes and the rule -- the rules have been adopted and the fact that this Board has previously voted to deny the exemption, $I$ think it's important to get it on the record, I would like to hear from -- from the LED legal counsel or a representative from LED as to their perspective one more time before we take a final vote as to how do the rules apply so we have it on the record. Not from a member of the Board, but from a member of the staff that we count
on to advise the Board. Thank
you.
MS. CLAPINSKI :
Can you tell me does that
question --
MR. WINDHAM:
Please identify yourself.
MS . CLAPINSKI :
Danielle Clapinski, staff attorney at LED.

MR. RICHARD:
Obviously the rules that were
-- I don't want to speak to the
rules because I'm a member of the Board. I'm asking a member of the staff, legal counsel, an attorney, to come up to the Board and clearly explain why the rules call for denial of this exemption.

Mr. Chairman, I certainly
understand that there is an appeals process in the rules. I get that. That's why we're going through this exercise, but I
think it's important for the
Board members to hear from LED
legal counsel as to why the rules
call for denial on the record.
MS . CLAPINSKI :
In section 505 of the rules, provision $C$, says "miscellaneous capital additions which do not
have a pending contractual
application as of June 24, 2016
or those with pending
applications as of June 24, 2016
but do not provide for new jobs
are not eligible for the property
tax exemption," and I believe
that is the provision of the
rules upon which this Board
denied those applications at the
last meeting.
MR. RICHARD:
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
We have Representative
Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
know we have a motion and a
second on the floor and this
discussion certainly is
interesting, but I would like to
go ahead and call a question.
MR. CHABERT :
Object.
MR. WINDHAM:
Objection by Mr. -- Senator
Chabert. I'm sorry. And I
honestly don't know how that works.

MR. CARMODY:
Go to the vote.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. We will vote on
the call of the question. Is
there any objection?
MR. CHABERT :
Yeah. I object.
MR. WINDHAM:
I am sorry. So there is an
objection. So now we vote on
calling the question.

MR. CHABERT:
Yay is to call the question.
Nay is against.
MR. WINDHAM:
Yay is the call the question.
Nay is against calling the question.

All in favor indicate with an
aye calling the question.
PARTIAL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. CHAIRMAN :
I think we are going to have
to do a roll call. Melissa,
please step forward and do the
roll call.
MS . SORRELL:
Robert Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
No.
MS. SORRELL:
Robert Barham.
MR. BARHAM :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:

Representative Schexnaydre
for Abramson is not here.
MR. WINDHAM:
Can you speak up, Melissa.
MS . SORRELL:
Millie Atkins.
MS . ATKINS:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Mayor Brasseaux.
MR. BRASSEAUX :
Nay.
MS . SORRELL:
Representative Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Yvette Cola.
MS COLA:
Yes.
MS . SORRELI:
Major Coleman is absent.
Ricky Fabra.
MR. FABRA:
Yes.

MS. SORRELI:
Manny Fajardo. Manny Fajardo
is absent. Jerry Jones.
MR. JONES :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Ward for Senator
Martiny.
MR. MARTINY:
No.
MS . SORRELI:
Robby Miller.
MR. MILLER:
No.
MS. SORRELL:
Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Chabert.
MR. CHABERT:

No.
MS . SORRELL:
Anne Villa for Secretary
Pierson.
MS. VILIA:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Scott Richard.
MR. RICHARD:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Darrel Saizan.
MR. SAIZAN :
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Daniel Schnexnaydre is
absent. Rodney Slone.
MR. SLONE:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Bobby Williams.
MR. WILLIAMS :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Steve Windham.

MR. WINDHAM:
No.
MS. SORRELL:
Dr. Wilson.
MR. WILSON :
No.
MS . SORRELL:
We have 13 no's. 7 yes's.
MR. WINDHAM:
So the motion fails, so we
will continue our discussions. I
believe the mayor is on deck.
MR. BRASSEAUX :
I can understand the rules,
but I want to go on record as
being a mayor from one of these six municipalities in Lafayette Parish. When you get a vote of the school board that just lost a tax election, Lafayette consolidated counsel and the sheriff, I think I also saw a letter from Lafayette -Louisiana -- Lafayette Economic
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facility is located in a
neighboring community, it's not
in mine, but I think sometimes
there are exceptions to the rules
and I think this may be one of them.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mayor. Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER :
Just a quick question. Do you -- I hope you know this. Do you know the amount of property tax you pay in Lafayette Parish now or your total property tax bill that you paid.

MR. SPENCE:
I'm not positive. It's significant. It's very large.

MR. MILLER:
Any ballpark? Everyone understand that you are not getting --

MR. SPENCE:
My wife would know.

MR. MILLER :
I understand that. There is a --

MR. SPENCE:
And that was part of the
reason why they were
enthusiastic. You understood this was an expansion of jobs, and I had the numbers at those meetings. I just don't have them with me now.

MR. MILLER:
Like everyone else, I respect of the opinions and discussion points, they are very good, but I think, and it seems like there is an understanding by the general public and maybe others that when you get an exemption like this that ASH Industries is paying zero property tax in your parish, and I know that -- I know that that's not correct, but I think it needs to be kind of said, and if you have been to our meetings
before, it's typically one of my
questions, how much property tax
do you pay now, and $I$ was just
hoping would you know that
answer. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Senator Chabert.
MR. CHABERT :
Thank you. To counsel, so
previously along the lines of
some of the questions that Mr .
Richard had and sort of piggyback with him on that one, you earlier
stated the way we need to
reconsider the vote, right,
wasn't that you.
MS . CLAPINSKI :
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT:
And that is totally in
accordance with --
MS. CLAPINSKI :
That's typically how the Board handles an appeal, yes, sir.

MR. CHABERT:
I know you are not a judge, and I'm not asking you to
litigate this case should it go before the judicial system, but the question would be did we
break the law in voting --
reconsidering the vote of this
Board based on an executive rule, right, executive rule, right?

MS . CLAPINSKI :
If the rule --
MR. CHABERT:
Legislature didn't vote on
it?
MS . CLAPINSKI :
No. That's correct. This
body put the rules in place.
Yes, sir.
MR. CHABERT :
Thank you. Wait. Just to be clear before you take me off, there's a difference between breaking the rule of law and a difference between making a rule
that you created as a Board, so we're clear on that.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Senator Chabert.
Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES :
Danielle -- Mr. Bagger, I appreciate your suggestion on amending. Is that -- is that a potentiality.

MS . CLAPINSKI :
I think it would have to be
-- it would depend upon the nature in which you drew that up.
An advance serves a purpose for
LED in the sense that it puts the
department on notice that a
company is intending or
considering doing a project, so if you are talking about opening up the rules entirely and how we treat advances generally, $I$ don't think that is probably a workable option. If you are discussing that the Board would potentially
like to make some sort of consideration for companies like ASH and those similarly situated and it was drafted narrowly, $I$ think the rules for ITEP are the Board's rules, and if that's something that $y^{\prime}$ all chose to move forward with, I think you are certainly within your right to do that.

MR. JONES :
Mr. Chairman, given that answer, and I'm brainstorming here, so work with me, what I would like to see us do perhaps is defer the action on this
appeal and ask staff to help develop that kind of motion for a technical rule change.

MS. CLAPINSKI:
It would not be technical.
It would have to go through the entire roll promulgation. I just want to make sure everyone is clear on what that would entail.

MR. JONES :
I'm not asking for something insignificant, but ASH may be providing for us a -- a peephole -- a teachable moment, thank you, of the distinctions we may need to learn to make. And sometimes the rules are made to be fine tuned. It may be this is one of those times we do some fine tuning.

And, Mr. Bagger, $I$ don't want to speak for you, but I think his suggestion is something that points us in the right direction.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Mr. Jones, we will take it into consideration. Mr. Moller.

MR. MOLLER :
I have to confess to being genuinely torn around this issue because you certainly have abided by the spirit of the Executive Order. You are creating jobs and
you've kind of gotten local
approval for this tax exemption, which would incline me to be
sympathetic, but it also does go against the rules that this Board spent almost a year working on, and so $I$ was wondering if it would be appropriate to offer a substitute motion along the lines of what Mr. Bagger suggested to allow to actually file in advance or would that be --

MS. CLAPINSKI:
Under the rules as they exist
now, ASH is not eligible to file
an advance on this project. It
has to be filed prior to any cost being incurred.

MR. MOLLER:
Okay. All right.
MR. WINDHAM:
Answers that question, I
guess. Senator Chabert.
MR. CHABERT:
Yes. And in spirit with what
everyone is saying, I agree. I really like the concept of compromise. Anybody that knows me, $I$ am a compromise king, $I$ love it, okay. However, given the situation with this, I think the compromise may have to occur after we would take action upon this particular case.

MR. WINDHAM:
I would agree. Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
I have listened very
carefully so that $I$ can report back. I am very intrigued by the idea of being able to find some way to do it, but I think the point is well made that trying to do it in the middle of this one probably doesn't work because what it does here is it creates another precedent, and that regardless of how we write the rules, it puts us in the same boat. Some company comes and
says, you know, you did it for
him, you won't do it for me, and
you say no and he gets his
lawyers to work, and next thing
you know, you are in court
dealing with that issue, or you
say yes and these good folks
sitting out there behind them, we
find ourselves in court with
them.
It is the precedent issue
that -- that's a problem. To
address something for the future,
I think it might have some merit
and I -- as Chairman of the Rules
Committee, I certainly want to
look at that and I appreciate
those comments. I mean, there's
a way to figure out how to do it.
I don't know how you do it here.
I don't.
MR. WINDHAM:
Ms. Malone.
MS . MALONE :
The potential of rule change,
could we consider a rule change
under Section 505 for those
applications for those companies who have spent their money prior to June 24 but if they comply as ASH has with the rules that we've
set forth -- I mean, they would have filed for an advance and
followed and complied with
everything had that been in
place, so can we add?
MS . CLAPINSKI :
I think that's an area of the
rules that probably would make sense if you make that kind of a change to put it. I think some of the things you have to consider is what is your complete number of people you have those that have filed their MCA's and have been denied. You have got probable a whole collection of others that never filed because the Executive Order clearly stated they wouldn't be allowed,
and so I think you also have to consider what else is opened up.

I'm not saying you can or can't do it, but I'm saying that your
number may not be -- depending on
how you define that as limited as
you think.
MR. WINDHAM:
Senator Ward.
MR. WARD :
Is there any option to adopt
some type of emergency rule to
give us the flexibility in a
particular situation like this.
MS . CLAPINSKI:
I think if it rose to the
standard that an emergency will
require if it's something that is
an imminent need. That is a
possibility that the department
would have to look at whether
this rises to that level, and I'm not sure if it will or won't.

MR. WARD:
How long would it take to
look at something like that.
MS. CLAPINSKI :
Not very long. I don't want
to say here without the standards
sitting in front of me.
MR. WARD :
I got you.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. The Board is
clear. Are there any other
comments from the public
concerning this motion on the
floor to reconsider the denial of
ASH's application.
MR. JONES :
Call for question.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Mr. Jones has called for the question. Is there any objection? So I know that this will require a roll call vote. I assume it will, so, Melissa, be prepared.

MR. JONES :
Mr. Chairman, can we be clear
on the motion so we'll make sure
that we are voting in the right
direction.
MR. WINDHAM:
Yes. Ms. Clapinski, can you
restate Senator Chabert's motion.
MR. CHABERT :
Because he said that.
MS. CLAPINSKI :
I believe what I said was
that you would have to prove the
appeal and that would require a
reconsideration of their
application for approval of exemption.

MR. WINDHAM:
So it's a two step process.
MS. CLAPINSKI:
Yes, sir.
MR. WINDHAM:
So approval of the appeal
will allow the Board to
reconsider its original denial.
MR. JONES :
So a yay vote is to grant the
appeal, essentially; and a nay
vote is to deny the appeal.
MR. WINDHAM:
That is correct. The yay
vote is to grant the appeal, and
a nay vote is to deny the appeal.
All in favor of granting the appeal indicate with an aye.

PARTIAL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a nay.
PARTIAL BOARD MEMBERS:
Nay.
MR. WINDHAM:
Let's do a roll call. Melissa.

MS . SORRELL:
Robert Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Robert Barham.
MR. BARHAM:
Yes.

MS. SORRELL:
Daniel Schexnaydre for
Representative Abramson. Millie
Atkins.
MS. ATKINS:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Mayor Brasseaux.
MR. BRASSEAUX :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Representative Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:
Yes.
MS. SORRELL:
Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Ricky Fabra.
MR. FABRA:
Yes.
MS. SORRELL:
Jerry Jones.
MR. JONES :

Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Ward.
MR. WARD :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Robby Miller.
MR. MILLER:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER :
No.
MS . SORRELI:
Senator Chabert.
MR. CHABERT :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Anne Villa.
MS. VILIA:
Yes.

MS . SORRELL:
Scott Richard.
MR. RICHARD:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Darrel Saizan.
MR. SAIZAN :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Ronnie Slone.
MR. SLONE :
Yes.
MS. SORRELL:
Bobby Williams.
MR. WILLIAMS :
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Steve Windham.
MR. WINDHAM:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Dr. Wilson.
MR. WILSON :
No.
MS . SORRELL:

We have five no's. And 15
yes's.
MR. WINDHAM:
So the motion to reconsider the denial is approved. Is there a motion to be entertained?

MR. CHABERT :
Motion for approval.
MR. WINDHAM:
Senator Chabert has a motion for approval. Is there a second? By Representative Carmody.

Would that be a substitute motion? I would have to ask legal counsel on that one.

MS. VILIA:
The question that $I$ have can we motion to defer so that we, legal, can look at the emergency rules for the next Board meeting so that these are in the same situation as ASH can be reconsidered.

MS. CLAPINSKI:
Yes. I think that's a
substitute motion to defer and
that would basically mean it's
sitting in the position as any
other new application, you've
overturned your denial and it's
sitting there.
MS. VILIA:
Okay.
MR. RICHARD:
I will second that motion.
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion has been made by Ms.
Villa to defer. It's been
seconded by Mr. Moller.
I have two motions on the table, so $I$ guess $I$ vote on the second one.

Do you have any objection to deferring, Senator Chabert?

MR. CHABERT:
Yes.
MR. WINDHAM:
Okay. So we'll vote on the
second one first.
Is there any discussion
concerning the deferral of this
application? Any comments from
the public?
All in favor of deferring
action on this application
reconsideration indicate with an
aye.
PARTIAL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
PARTIAL BOARD MEMBERS:
Nay.
MR. WINDHAM:
Roll call vote, Ms. Melissa.
I think they do, but $I$ don't know
if people want to be on the
record.
MS . SORRELL:
Robert Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Robert Barham.
MR. BARHAM:

No.
MS . SORRELI:
Millie Atkins.
MS. ATKINS :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL :
Mayor Brasseaux.
MR. BRASSEAUX:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Representative Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:
No.
MS . SORRELI:
Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Ricky Fabra.
MR. FABRA:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Jerry Jones.
MR. JONES :
No.

MR. WINDHAM:
That would be a no?
MR. JONES :
No.
MS . SORRELL :
Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Ward.
MR. WARD:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Robert Miller.
MR. MILLER:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Chabert.
MR. CHABERT :
No.
MS . SORRELL:

Anne Villa.
MS. VILLA:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Scott Richard.
MR. RICHARD:
Yes, ma'am.
MS . SORRELL:
Darrel Saizan.
MR. SAIZAN:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Ronnie Slone.
MR. SLONE :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Bobby Williams.
MR. WILLIAMS :
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Steve Windham.
MR. WINDHAM:
No.
MS . SORRELL:
Dr. Wilson.

MR. WILSON:
Yes.
MS. SORRELL:
16 yes's. 14 no's. I am
sorry. 14 yes's. 6 no's.
MR. WINDHAM:
So the motion is deferred. I am sorry. Yeah. The appeal is granted. Action on the -- for approval is deferred, and I do not know what happens from here, Senator Chabert. I don't know what happens with yours. It just dies. Okay.

MR. CHABERT :
It's deferred.
MR. WINDHAM:
It's deferred.
MR. JONES :
But -- but --
MR. WINDHAM:
Wait. Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES :
But the motion to second is
still on table at the next
meeting.
MR. CHABERT:
Yeah.
MR. WINDHAM:
Say that again.
MR. JONES :
The motion to second is still
on the table.
MR. WINDHAM:
Oh, okay. I got you. I
understand. All right. Thank you very much for your time. Please work with us and understand where we are.

MR. SPENCE:
Yes, sir. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Ms. Cheng.
MS . CHENG:
We have Bancroft Bag in
appeals for application 20170183.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative
from Bancroft Bag here? Please
identify yourself.

MR. ALEXANDER :
My name is Jerry Alexander.
I'm with Bancroft Bag in West
Monroe, LA.
MR. WINDHAM:
I am sorry. State your name again.

MR. ALEXANDER:
Jerry Alexander.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Mr. Alexander.
MR. ALEXANDER:
I am not an engineer. I'm a
business graduate from Louisiana
Tech, but we have a similar
request, so it's been interesting
to hear the comments that are made.

Basically Bancroft Bag is a company that's been in business since 1924. Our company is currently a female owned company. The second generation passed away
a couple of years ago. He has
three daughters that are now
running the company. We employ
over 400 people in Ouachita
Parish, and we're in an industry
that's been declining by three and a half percent a year for the
last several years, and because of that, we've -- some of our competitors have gone away, but we've had to change our course of action and one of the markets that we went after was food and medical, and to do that we had to have a third party certification, which happened to be an AIB,

American Institute of Banking, and to do business with large companies. To do that we have
made a lot of expenditures to --
for us to pass that third party
certification.
The -- we are aware of the
rule change and accept that, but we are asking for help on what
happened before the Executive
Order was issued. We did create
an additional six jobs that pays
approximately $\$ 200,000$ to comply
with this certification, and
we're in an industry that's
struggling.
I did bring some products
that we made. We are a paper bag
manufacturer. We buy corrugated
products from Spring Hill,
Louisiana, International Paper.
We buy paper from Hood Packaging
in St. Francisville, Louisiana.
We buy film from two companies in
Ouachita Parish, and so we spend
a lot of money in the state. We
employ a lot of people, and we
are just asking for similar help
as my predecessor here.
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any questions by
any of the Board members for Mr.
Alexander. Mr. Barham.
MR. BARHAM:
Unless I'm confused, the
previous testimonies brought
confirmation from all of the
local government entities in the parish that they were in support. Do you have that.

MR. ALEXANDER:
We -- what $I$ have with me
today is just a confirmation that
we supplied the information
requested by Ms. Cheng, and I'm
not sure that -- I don't see that
that was information that was
requested, but my understanding
is prior that we have supplied
everything that was requested.
MS . BOATNER:
Ronda Boatner with Didier
Consultants. We can surely go to
the locals and get this
information as he -- as Mr.
Alexander stated, this wasn't
required in the request from LED,
but going forward, if it will
help with his argument or his story, we will be willing to go to locals to get the support from
them.
MR. BARHAM:
Well, at least for me it would because what we want to have is a statement that you did create jobs and you have that full support of the local governing bodies and that would -- that would certainly tip the scale for me. I can't speak for anybody else on the Board, but I want to see that.

MR. ALEXANDER :
We can provide whatever information you would like to see.

MR. BARHAM:
I wouldn't feel much differently than the previous business if you had the same -you were in the same situation, so I guess I'd move to defer as we did the previous one.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. There is a motion
by Mr. Barham to defer. And there
is a second by Ms. Malone.
So first we have to -- we
have to approve the
reconsideration, so we are going
to go backwards.
MS . CHENG:
I believe he is deferring the
appeals.
MR. WINDHAM:
Deferring the appeal
entirely. Okay. Sorry. All
right. Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES :
Are we going to act on the
deferral?
MR. WINDHAM:
I didn't know if you have any comments or --

MR. JONES :
I was wanting to get more
facts and situation, so let's act on the deferral and $I$ will ask my questions for the next meeting.

MR. WINDHAM:

Any question on the motion?
MR. BARHAM:
We're going to have to go
down this road anyway. I withdraw
my motion and we can get the
information that the others would
require and at that time, I --
MR. WINDHAM:
The motion has been
withdrawn. Ms. Malone, I assume
you will withdraw your second.
So go back to Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES :
Bancroft, I'm -- and I may
have missed it. I was trying to
locate your -- or materials you
apparently your -- the action on your situation was the same time happened at ASH, and I was looking at tables, but what was the -- what led to the problem here. What happened?

MR. ALEXANDER:
Well, the problem was we followed the rules that's been in
place for decades and supplied everything that's been accepted in the past, so seems that the precedent was set and apparently when the Executive Order was issued, I'm not sure if we just were late on it, but we -- we had done everything that we had done in the past, and I'm not sure what we --

MR. JONES :
At what point did you realize you had missed the deadline.

MR. ALEXANDER :
I'm looking at some
information from our financial --
our Vice-President of Finance, and she had a letter to Ms.

Cheng, and basically that was
dated on June 14th, and it was --
and then we were -- Ms. Cheng
asked for information that project details, when the
expenditures occurred, any other
items that were discussed such as
jobs that were created include the start and end dates of all individual projects and with the application that they included, and to have that information presented by Friday, May 26th, and --

MR. BARHAM:
Of what year?
MR. ALEXANDER :
I am sorry. 2017. So all of
that was -- was supplied in a
timely manner, and at the time we
thought we had -- met all of the requirements.

MS . CHENG :
Can I clarify. This
application originally went to the Board in April, and because there were jobs listed on it it was deferred in the group to June because the Board wanted to allow them time to come back with the information that $I$ requested from them, which they did get to me,
but local approval was never
suggested, no.
MR. WINDHAM:
Okay. Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES :
My problem is $I$ don't have a timeline. I'm --

MS . CHENG :
So, this was considered an
MCA that was completed in 2016, which means it would have been due 90 days from completion, which was -- it was filed on time for an MCA when they were
allowed. Then it went to the
Board in April. It was deferred
because they had jobs. The Board
chose to deny all that had no jobs and deferred all of the ones that were showing jobs to allow for the companies to come back in June with additional information.

In June, all were denied with or without jobs and now this appeal is before you.

MR. WINDHAM:
The reason $I$ believe they were denied is because that's when the rules went into effect.

MS . CHENG:
Correct.
MR. WINDHAM:
So because of the rules were in effect they couldn't be approved the Board denied them all.

MR. JONES:
So by deferring them we did
them harm. Y'all, these
decisions have consequences. We
shouldn't make them lightly.
By deferring -- by deferring
because there was a piece of information missing, you may have just cost these people, how much money, ten times $\$ 17,000$ a year that they were otherwise entitled to under the rules.

So, I like the idea of
deferring because if we're going
to handle these appeals and I
think that the point has been well raised that these -- I think
it's going to have to be fact specific, and I would like to defer this and I would ask Mr.

Alexander and Ms. Cheng, whoever,
I would like to see more detailed
in writing about how this
happened and when it happened because $I$ don't think if we're going to handle these appeals the way I think we ought to handle appeals, $I$ think they are fact specific and I don't think we ought to do it by memory. I don't think we ought to do it by -- I think this is the way it was -- it's clear, Mr. Alexander needs a better understanding of how things happen so that this Board can make a decision that is based on facts, not speculation, or this is the way I think it
happened. I would ask for a
better record before we will
consider this appeal. I move to defer.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Mr. Jones has moved to defer. Seconded by

Representative Carmody.
Any comments from the public concerning this? Mr. Bagger.

MR. BAGGER :
You can feel the worms
starting to spill out of the can.
Miscellaneous capital additions
were never the intent of the
Industrial Tax Exemption program.
It was intended as a program to
attract or expand industry,
manufacturing industry.
Miscellaneous capital additions,
by definition, are routine
capital expenditures, the kind of thing that every firm has to make and things that firms have to make shouldn't get a public
subsidy, are not legally capable
of getting a public subsidy
because the constitution
prohibits giving public dollars
to private entities unless
there's some public good
involved.
One of the fundamental
contributions of the Executive Order in the rules of the past is to address that dysfunction that
is unique to Louisiana
subsidizing companies and routine
expenditures. We have created a
tool to be able to see what's
happening with companies, and
it's on our website, Together BR.
This company, and this is not in
any way an indictment, any of
this. It is suggesting that we
look at what our -- this program
has brought about because this is
the only program where people
tend to say, well, a lot of money
is being spent, let's assume it's
doing a lot of good.

Bancroft has received \$4.6 million in exemptions over the last 20 years. One a year, 20 total. In the first year they applied they had 552 employees, full time employees per their own applications. They currently, per their own applications, have -- sorry. They had 436 originally and they now have 302, so with $\$ 4.6$ million in subsidies there's been a net job reduction of 134 jobs.

That's not the exception in
this program, it's the norm.
Mostly what we are subsidizing is
capital investment, and if that
has any affect economically it's
to hasten the process of
capitalization, increased
productivity and displacement of
labor, so we're spending billions of dollars to lose jobs.

This is an example of a company that is doing good things
and making investments just like everybody else in their industry and the trend in that industry is toward greater capital investment and less need for people, so they are not doing anything wrong, but there is no argument for a public subsidy. For routine investment
that a company has to make they
have to make in this location, they already made, and if they have an affect on jobs, it's to decrease them, so this precedent is extremely troubling for us.

MR. WINDHAM:
I do have to agree with a couple of things that you said in there, though.

You said that the
miscellaneous capital additions weren't considered additions, but the constitution said additions in it. It doesn't define what
the additions are.
When I worked in this
program, I know that companies would make additions during the year that they had not planned. An opportunity came up to buy a new machine so that they could be more productive. So they may go out and spend $\$ 1$ million to purchase that machine. It wasn't planned so there was no need for an advance notification. If they had known it was going to come up, I believe they would have filed advances.

I wasn't there in 1936 , so $I$
can't say what they intended to do with it, but it says in there additions, so --

MR. BAGGER :
And these are not. They are not additions. They don't add production, they don't add jobs. I understand that someone has described that they are -- they are routine capital expenditures and they are applied for every
year on the year like clockwork.
MR. WINDHAM:
Wait. That's not what I heard Mr. Alexander describe. He was doing something so that he could step into a new market. Is that an addition or not or is that maintenance.

MR. BAGGER :
The norm in this program, especially for miscellaneous capital additions, but, frankly, about 90 percent of the overall subsidies are not expansions.

There's no increase output.
There is no increase in jobs.
There is increase productivity but that can mean fewer jobs and it doesn't need to be incentivized with public dollars because when you are more productive, you make more money, and that's the incentive that we believe in in this free market society, right. We don't believe
that you need to have public subsidies just for nothing. You only get public subsidies if there is some public good involved. And the way this Board
has operated has dismissed that principal and started saying, do we like what you are doing. Not what does it yield for the public, but do we like what you are doing, and that's not -that's not a criteria that is constitutional.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you. Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER :
Mr. Bagger, thank you for
your comments and thank you for the tool for us to be able easily find those exemptions.

Mr. Alexander.
MR. ALEXANDER:
Yes, sir.
MR. MILLER:

This project and I think you said to us and I want to make I have this clear. This was a new production line, a new expansion.

MR. ALEXANDER :
It was a new market. We had to upgrade equipment, upgrade the building to comply with this third party certification. The loss of jobs, as I mentioned earlier, is three and a half percent decline in our industry over several years, so we're fighting.

MR. MILLER:
Does this work creating the additional jobs.

MR. ALEXANDER :
Created six additional full time jobs.

MR. MILLER:
Because of the additions that were done to this line.

MR. ALEXANDER:
Yes.

MR. MILLER:
Okay. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES :
Mr. Bagger, first of all, $I$
want you to know I sincerely
appreciate the passion of
Together Louisiana, and as
uncomfortable as it may sometimes
be, I think forcing us all to
look back on our prior action is
a good thing, and so I do
appreciate that.
I think, however, though, is
that we all struggle with
attributing motives to behavior,
and I think that's a very
difficult task, and it's, by
definition, speculative. And I
think the thing that I struggle
with in some of our -- and I'm as
guilty of it as perhaps you are
is our sweeping generalizations
of this is why this is happening,
and I want us to understand that at the end of the day businesses
are -- are trying to make
decisions, they are trying to
take advantage of opportunities
that government gives them as they can, as anybody does, just
like if you take a deduction on
your income tax, you've chosen to
take advantage of a subsidy that
government has given you. Are
you evil because you took it, no.
You just did it because it was available to you, and you have been stupid not to take it.

We're in a situation where
for years and years and years
this program has evolved. Has it evolved the way it should have, that's a matter of opinion. My opinion will probably differ from yours. I think anything that this state has done to make -- to make business -- to make this a more business friendly state is a
good thing. Can you go overboard with that, absolutely you can. I don't think we have.

But the point is, as I listen to your comments, I don't want
them to go unresponded to because
I think we have a difference of
opinion, that's what makes the
world go around, that's why we
have these discussions. But
there is a -- your statements, as
dogmatic as they are, $I$ don't
accept them as -- I don't accept
some of the premises that they
are based on and $I$ don't accept
some of the conclusions. That
doesn't mean that I'm right, it
just means that we disagree, so I
hope you understand that as we
work through these and we're
trying to figure out how to
thread this needle going forward,
I want you to understand my
perspective and I want you to
understand my heart on it as well
that please take it in the manner
that I intended.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Adley.
MR. BAGGER :
Can I respond very briefly to that.

MR. WINDHAM:
If you step up to the table.
MR. BAGGER:
The tax foundation, which is
a business oriented think tank
has been very critical of this
program from a business
perspective, and the fact of the matter is every other business that's not receiving these is paying higher taxes to subsidize them. Every man, woman and child is paying higher taxes to subsidize.

So any time you push on the balloon of the tax system, it comes out someplace else, and the other place it comes out is other
people paying that, so in East
Baton Rouge Parish there are 76
businesses that have gotten these over the last 20 years. There
are hundreds and hundreds and
hundreds, probably about three
thousand that are paying $\$ 18$
mills higher in property taxes to
cover the cost of these
exemptions only one percent of
which were competitive. Only one
percent of which were new
companies coming in, right. So
it is not as simple as saying if
we're giving subsidies to
business that's probusiness when
other businesses flip the bill
and some of the investments and
infrastructure that create a
productive business climate, we
have had one philosophy of
economic development for 80
years, probably longer, which is give them money, cut their costs, and it's gotten us to be last to
second to last in the nation of economic development.

The places that know how to
do economic development make
investments and they create a
healthy business environment.
They don't just cut cost. So
that's what we are encouraging is
that we shift toward that kind of
economic development that is
truly business friendly not one
that picks favorites and helps
one part of the business
community and the citizens
subsidizing the other without
scrutinizing the public's benefit
that's involved.
MR. WINDHAM:
But, Mr. Bagger, in relation
to that, you and I talk about
paper mills. If you shut down a paper mill you are destroying a community. You are destroying
all the trucker jobs, all of
chemical people that supply that
in there, so, yeah, it may be the company that enjoys the benefit, but if there is other companies that are paying 18 mills higher it may be possible that they are supplying the transportation
infrastructure for those companies. They are supplying the materials that go in there. They are supplying the packaging. They are supplying a lot of goods that are in there. If it weren't for those 76 companies being there they wouldn't exist either, possibly. Again, possibly. MR. BAGGER : If you don't scrutinize whether there's new likelihood of the paper mill shutting down, this Board approved exemptions at an approval rate of 99.95 percent up until the day of the Executive Order. 99.95 percent. Then you are not helping to incentivize something, you are giving away a
giveaway.
What we're arguing for is
scrutiny. To figure out when
it's competitive and when it's
not. That is why there is an
advance notice. That is why you
require local approvals. All of
things that are built into the
Executive Order are meant to say
let's see if this is something
that really needs public dollars
not assume it does because when
you do that, yeah, you may get
those paper mills, but for every
one you get, there are hundreds
and hundreds and thousands and
thousands of projects that would
have happened anyway that you are
subsidizing with extraordinary
costs to the public sector, to
the other parts of the business
community. That's the reason
nobody else does it like this.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you. Mr. Leonard.

MR. LEONARD:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Jimmy Leonard. Advantous
Consulting. I'm here
predominantly just to read the
old rules and kind of set the
record straight. There appears
to be a misconception of the
definition of a miscellaneous
capital addition.
The miscellaneous capital
addition definition is an
accumulation of capital assets
placed in service during the previous ad valorem tax year.

The word "routine," the word "maintenance," the word "prepare" is not part of the definition of a miscellaneous capital addition.

Now, I would not be surprised that some of those activities are part of a miscellaneous capital addition application, but the definition itself does not dictate that's what was approved.

There were many companies that used the miscellaneous capital
addition definition in the
application process simply as a means of filing for exemption. Their investments were less than \$5 million, an advance notification was not a formal requirement, so for decades there was an existing systematic process that was routinely approved by the Department of Economic Development and before

Commerce of Industry and the Governor as a way of doing business.

The Executive Order changed
the way that we are doing
business going forward. We
appreciate that and we're on a path for following that, but please understand that a number of these companies that are coming before you are coming to you after having done business a
certain way with the state for a
long period of time.
The policy debate regarding,
you know, whether you should
approve routine capital, who is coming, who would do it anyway,
that's -- as Mr. Jones very
accurately stated that's a very
subjective and very difficult
point to get to. As someone who
works with companies on a daily
basis in making investment
decisions as to where they will
put their capital dollars, it's
also very accurate to say there
is a package. It's not just
property tax. It's not just
sales tax. It's not just income
tax. There is the entire tax
system at the state level, at a
local level and what the cost of
doing business is in the State of
Louisiana, and property tax is
one piece of that puzzle. And no
doubt it's part of the equation
that the companies must go
through before making a final
investment decision.
But I wanted to just make
that everybody understood that
the miscellaneous capital
addition application process was just an existing accepted means of filing an application for exemption that we all recognize has changed going forward.

> And the last point, if I may
please, is -- the analogies that
I would like to share with
everyone is the policy decision
to only incentivize additions and
expansions. I buy a house;
therefore, the incentive is only
to make my house larger. All
right. Miscellaneous capital
additions in the policy to
incentivize people to keep their
house up-to-date, to keep the
facility to the best and highest
standards available, as a
corporation completes globally
for capital, if they can buy a
new valve as opposed to put a
band aid on it, by the time the band aid gets too old, well, the
new valve is too expensive.
Well, they are going to put that
money somewhere else in the
world.
Our manufacturing
establishments, not just
completing locally, they are
competing globally for their
dollars to invest here in
Louisiana.
So part of -- as we described
it to our clients, part of the
routine, you know, exemptions
that are being, you know,
perceived as being awful, we kept
our companies competitive
globally by doing that. We may
not have created new jobs, which
is very politically appealing,
but we help maintain jobs. We
help keep facilities current and competitive, and so, please, I
get very disturbed when $I$ hear
the concept that you should only
incentivize the new. You should
only go for the addition.
There is value in having the facility nice, new, current modernized and safe, and that's just a point I felt compelled to make to everybody. Thank you.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Leonard. Mr.
Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
Let me ask you a question.
MR. LEONARD :
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
Before you go, the biggest thing that we ran into when this Governor came into the office with the issue of MCA.

MR. LEONARD:
Yes, sir.

MR. ADLEY:
Tell us the process to get an
MCA. You are a company. You
wanted an MCA under the old rule,
what did you do?
MR. LEONARD :
Sure. Well, from an MCA, Mr.
Adley, that was an application
decision process is how much of an investment are we making.

MR. ADLEY:
No. That's not what I want to know.

MR. LEONARD :
Sure.
MR. ADLEY:
Were you sitting in your office and you could -- were you able to go up on the computer and go to LED and file an MCA.

MR. LEONARD :
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
You decide, $I$ want to do a project and I go to my computer
and punch a button and $I$ have now
qualified for an MCA, and if I
want and did the work, I could
come to this Board and I would
get the exemption. Is that
fairly how it worked?
MR. LEONARD :
The application would be make
a decision to prepare an
application. I would present it
to the Department of Economic
Development's staff, at which
time it would be vetted by staff
and --
MR. ADLEY:
Is that the advance notification or MCA?

MR. LEONARD:
MCA .
MR. ADLEY:
MCA went to the department.
MR. LEONARD :
Yes, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
Before you started any work?

MR. LEONARD:
No, sir. After the work was done.

MR. ADLEY:
That's my whole point. That you very much. I had a statement I want to make but you've answered my question.

What we ran into when this Governor came into office, you had two systems of doing
business. You had advance notice that came to you, you had time to vet it, you had time to go through it. The MCA, on the other hand, was a matter of you could sit in your office and you could punch your computer on the screen and you qualified for an MCA. And if you went and did the work, you came to this Board, and this Board, according to what the members that have been here before me has said, this Board would meet and the meeting would
last about 30 minutes and everything was approved.

This Governor shows up and what we found was is that there was work going on not for manufacturing, not related to manufacturing, office expenses, mandated environmental concerns that the federal government says you have to do. We were giving them tax breaks for doing -- to be environmentally safe and sound.

Now, if they did an advance notice normally you avoided those things, but under an MCA, you didn't.

Now, which brings me to the point: What happens was is when all of this came out, the Governor issued an Executive Order, and it's not him speaking. He issued an Executive Order and said, any MCA not after this date but even in process before this
date is over. Then after it left
town, it came to us and we went
through multiple public hearings to draft those rules in
accordance, and we sat in those hearings, $I$ know I'm looking at the faces of people that were there and those meetings with us, and with listened to every word you said. We made changes you told us to make. We did that.

They came to this full Board and they made statements to you. We made more changes. Then it went to the legislature. Their
approval, and then to the Governor. This has gone through a full process over a year.

Now, I know every law, every rule is never perfect, but there was a reason to deal with the MCA's. They were totally out of control. This gentleman talked about the capitalized expense. Y'all know what that means. That
means I take every file folder, I
take every paperclip, I take
everything I got -- you shake
your head if you want to, but we
had people testify to this Board that that's what they did, and that's what happens in business.

You can make the decision for
your tax returns whether you are
going to depreciate or take an
immediate expense. Every
business is -- I know that
because $I$ have been in this state
for 40 years. That's the way it works. But you could get an MCA
by just doing whatever you wanted
to do. Now, that's not to say
they were all bad, but there were clearly problems with them.

Now, do we need to look at some emergency rules some way to try to work our way through this process, those that were caught in a catch 22, I certainly think that's worth doing and have
supported doing that here today.
But I just want everybody to understand this is just not something this Board came up with. These rules went through a long lengthy process, and some of us agreed with what went in, some of us disagreed with what went in, but we voted on them and they got here, and they are the rules.

Now, it's just very important
for you to remember the moment
you move away from them without making some other rule or replace
it, Robert, to give you some guidance, this room is going to be packed a whole lot fuller than it was at the beginning of this meeting because there is going to be a whole lot of people who didn't think they could comply with the old rules, and now you open the door for another one. You are going to find yourself back in the same boat. I don't
like it. I wish it wasn't that way, by it is.

I just want to remind people this Governor is not trying to do harm to business, he is trying to insure that that legitimate business that is out here helping this state, we are ready to help them. We are. But to be able to go do work and never get approval from anybody before you do the work and then come in and get a tax break, and, look, I looked at the records, ain't none of them to -- there's thousands of them.

We looked today how we count jobs on these things. We now know that we can really count them -probably double counting them.

This Governor doesn't want to do that. He wants it to be transparent. He wants it to be clear. That's all we are trying to do.

Now, look, I want to say this
last thing to y'all. You can
normally blame me for these
meetings going on as long as they
do. But $y^{\prime}$ all done a pretty good
job for yourselves today, and I
want to commend you for it
because $I$ think it's very, very
healthy and I think it's very, very helpful. I do. I just want
everybody to understand this
Governor hears it and is more
than willing to work toward
whatever solution we can to make sure that everybody gets treated
fairly, and that's what we're
trying to do, but we can't just
write these rules and then we
come in here as a Board and say,
well, you know -- you know, I
hate what happened to you.
Goodness sakes, this is terrible,
so I'm just going to change my
mind on that one.
That's not the right way to
doit. The right way to do it is
exactly the motion that have been made here. You deferred this.

Give the staff some time to see
if there is not a way to work
around this, and get that done,
and if we need to change the
rules, $I$ can sit right here and
tell you we'll have a meeting and
get it done and do whatever has
to happen. If it has to be an
emergency process, we'll do that.
But that -- that is the way to
go. It is. It's ashamed that
some that are doing good things
get caught. Some of those that maybe didn't do such good things.

I don't know about y'all but $I$ get calls from people back home, business people telling me, I
have been in this parish for 40
years paying taxes and this
company shows up across the street and he went down there and
file for them ITEP and he just got here and he don't pay any
taxes. That ain't right either.
There's got to be a good reason for what we do. And that's all we have been trying to accomplish. It is. But you need to understand, you never forget there is a difference between an advanced notice and an MCA.

There is a huge difference, and that's what was creating a problem and that's what was targeted by the Governor, and he made it real clear, and the

Executive Order says and the rules now say whether you were in process or you came after it, it doesn't make any difference, if you had that MCA instead of the advanced notice, you were putting yourself at risk.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Adley. Ms.
Villa.
MS. VILIA:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr.

Bagger, there is a couple of things that struck a cord with me whenever you were addressing economic development and how

Louisiana ranks as far as
economic development being in the bottom half. I'll have you know
that Site Selection Magazine
rated Louisiana Economic
Development efforts among the top
ten in the prosperity rankings
for 2017, and it's evaluation of
economic development performance
by each of the 50 states, the magazine ranked Louisiana No. 10
citing its record of new and
expanded facilities, high capital
investment and substantial job
creation. This is Louisiana's
7th top ten showing in the past nine years in the prosperity cup, which formally was noticed the top competitive state rankings.

Louisiana ranked No. 11 in 2016, so we did rise to No. 10.

Also, I was going to wait and share this in my update from the secretary, but in July -- on July

18th Louisiana ranked No. 1 in projects per capita for the ninth year in a row. Southern Business and Development Magazine ranked Louisiana No. 1 for the ninth consecutive year as the southern state claimed the most economic development project wins per capita. The magazine tracked the most significant capital
investment in job creation
projects across the south. The states earning points from large employment projects, 200 or more jobs, and large capital
investment projects $\$ 30$ million
or more attracted during 2016.
Louisiana led the region with the most points per million people at 84.4 outperforming second place South Carolina, 80.6; and third
place Alabama, 65.8. Neither

Louisiana nor Texas, the overall points leader, earned the 2017
state of the year designation which the publication awarded to South Carolina.

Based upon the analysis of that state's 66 project wins in 2016, Louisiana had 57 project wins and earned one of the three honorable mention awards for the state of the year along with

Alabama and Kentucky. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Villa.
Senator Ward.
MR. WARD :
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm not sure who can answer this, but this may be a little off track, but still $I$ would like to ask it.

Now that some of the
Executive Orders and some other changes have been made, how do we stack up with other states in terms of how generous this
program is or is not, anyone know?

MR. ADLEY:
I can help with that.
MR. CHAIRMAN :
Mr. Adley.
MR. ADLEY:
The testimony that we
received when we were going
through the process was that if we actually lowered ITEP all of the way to 70 percent from one hundred, we'd still be at the top. We are at one hundred percent.

MR. WARD :
If we dropped it back another 30 percent, we would still be --

MR. ADLEY:
Yeah. That was the testimony we received as we went through the rules process and we went through all of these changes, that's what we were told.

MR. WARD :

The main reason $I$ ask that, you know, as a legislature you read things that maybe certain groups put out there, certain heads of groups put out there, and it's, you, between the rule changes and the Executive Order it was promoted out there pretty heavily that the program was gutted, but that certainly wouldn't be the case from what I understand. Can anybody answer that for me?

MR. WINDHAM:
That the program was gutted?
MR. WARD:
Yeah. That essentially --
MR. WINDHAM:
No. I don't think the Board
gutted the program.
MR. WARD :
Right. Exactly. I'm not talking about the Board. I'm
talking about all of the
different changes that were made.

There was a lot of -- a lot of talk out there from people that represent certain groups that the program had been essentially gutted.

MR. WINDHAM:
No. I personally don't
believe that. I don't know how
the rest of the Board feels. I
don't know how the Department of
Economic Development feels, but,
I mean, it has changed. Some of
it -- you know, it's great to
have the local input in there. I
know even when the process --
again, from when $I$ worked there,
no matter how much described, the
miscellaneous capital additions
applications that would come in
for us, and, again, $I$ can only
speak from when $I$ was there, we
went through the applications and
saw what the purchases were, and
if they put in, you know, supply
folders, we redlined. We would
say, I'm sorry, this is not available for exemption, this
wasn't a capital expenditure. If
they put in paperclips as it has
been said before, we scratch
through them, and I'm assuming
they still do that now. You go
line by line and look at the
applications to see what the
items purchased are.
We used to have another
process that gave Louisiana
resources the opportunity to be used but that was ruled unconstitutional. So we had to even call them and say, you know, Mr. Alexander, $I$ understand that you purchased this equipment from another state, who did you contact in Louisiana to give them a shot at it? And if he said no one, we had to get it from this other state, well, if it was had to be gotten from the other state then we would allow it. If it
was something that they could get here, we would deny it because he didn't give Louisiana resources the opportunity to be used. That was -- that's gone. But, again, the process they go through and look at the applications, but, again to go back to your question, no, we're still competitive.

MR. WARD :
And that was really the point
I was trying to make, and for whoever is left here --

MR. WINDHAM:
I got too many people. Mr. Adley.

MR. ADLEY:
We should look at your agenda today. I want you to look at the first one that we approved, and when we approved all of these, they were all filed before the Executive Order. We agreed to grandfather all of this. This

Governor agreed in honor of
Louisiana's word, although he
didn't agree with me, but every
time I say the word
"administrative stuff" and they
say they "redline it," I want you
to read the first one. Look at
the first one. Project
description. Now, this was done
years ago, long before this
Governor got here. Probably while
you were redlining. This is what
it said, "upgrade to existing
facilities only modest this year and to machinery and equipment used in manufacturing compressors
including some office and other administrative equipment."

Now, where was the red line?
MR. WINDHAM:
Well, in that case those
items were capitalizable, though.
MR. ADLEY:
Steve, Steve, every time I
mention that, though, you begin
to shake your head, and the truth
of the matter is you have allowed
-- this state has allowed for
years the use of
non-manufacturing parts that are
not part of the process to get
ITEP.
Now, these rules have changed
that. Really, we've -- I think
back on track, but if you just
take the time, and I hate to say
it, every time I get one of
these, I read every one of them, and you'd just be surprised what
you see. I didn't object to it
because we grandfathered them in,
and that's what he wanted to do.
But to sit here and say this to
Board, again, Mr. Chairman,
repeatedly that those
administrative items were not
included, this is their
application. It is their words.
It was included, and we have
sought to stop that. We made
some really good changes,
Senator. We have. Apparently
from what we find out today, they
are not totally perfect, and you
keep working at it, but they are
a whole lot better than they
were.
MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Ward.
MR. WARD :
Thank you. I guess the point
I was trying to make is whether
you are the business owner here
today or the ones that represent
those businesses, whenever it
comes to press releases and
everything else that you may put
out there when you discuss the
legislature, this Board, the
Governor, please be truthful
because we -- you know, we have
sit there and take it on the chin
whether it be this Board, the
legislature or the Governor when
groups put out there that we
gutted something that helps
provide jobs for the state when we, in fact, did not, and so it's
a tough pill to swallow whenever you are up here trying to do the right thing, and if you just tweak something just a little bit so it actually does what it's meant to do then you are accused of gutting something and making it it no longer a workable program.

> So it was more of a statement
but I felt like it was a good
time to put it out there because
everybody on the Board and
everybody in the legislature, and
I believe the Governor as well,
is interested in having a
wonderful business climate for this state, but it's important that the people that say they are working for business are actually doing it in a productive way and in a way that's honest and
truthful, and I know that
sometimes that's a lot to ask
from some of those folks, but I
believe that it would do everyone
a lot of good if press releases and everything that are put out there are honest and actually discussed the true changes that are made to programs. That's it. MR. WINDHAM:

Thank you, Senator Ward. Mr.
Barham.
MR. BARHAM :
Yes, Steve. I was sitting here and thinking, and despite his denial, Adley, these are reasons these meetings go so long, and I remember sitting with him for years in the senate and I think they were in those total years there were only seven bills he chose not to speak on, so I have some personal experience, but I am reminded listening to the comments today what we're
trying to do is create a package, an environment in Louisiana where we're attractive to business to stay or to locate here, and the point was made earlier that businesses depend on improvements in their industry to remain competitive and to stay here, and if you only listen to some of the facts, and I'm sorry Mr. Bagger
had to leave because I enjoy
listening to his comments an
awful lot, and he brings balance to some discussions we have, but he was talking about going back 20 years. I'm old enough to know how valuable Bancroft Bag is to that part of the state and very familiar with them. 20 years ago he said we had 500 and something jobs, I can't remember the number, and today it's 400 and something, but if you look at the total payroll 20 years ago and compare it to the total payroll
today, although the job numbers are down, I'll bet you that the total payroll is a great deal more, and that's what you got to consider in that package that we're trying -- it's not just about the loss of the job. They are more competitive now and they've had to make changes that allow them to remain in

Louisiana. So, you are right,
the decisions we make are
important and there are
complexities to the decisions we make, but we need to hear the whole package as much as we can put it together. And I don't mind saying. It was someone who leaned over to me and said, you and Adley don't get along very well, do you. On some things we don't, but $I$ will publically say, he is one of me closes friends.

MR. ADLEY:
Ain't saying it no more.

MR. BARHAM:
Well, that's right. It
probably will ruin both of our
reputations to acknowledge that,
but I have enjoyed this
discussion.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Barham. Ms.
Villa.
MS. VILLA:
I just wanted to respond to
Senator Ward. Our economic
competitiveness team evaluated
the ITEP program, and if we were to drop to 70 percent per capital
intensive firms, we drop from first to 12th in the competitive ranking. From a ten year, basically.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES:
We have an appeal on the agenda. Our discussion has been very lively and interesting. Mr.

Alexander is going, what the hell does that have to do with me. I bet he is.

MR. WINDHAM:
He is going to sit here and help us, right. He withdrew his motion.

MR. JONES :
I thought I made a motion to defer, but we all got --

MR. WINDHAM:
We do have a motion on the
floor. Ms. Clapinski.
MS . CLAPINSKI :
I just wanted to respond, Mr.
Jones. You had asked about the process and how things had happened, and I happen to have a copy of the April minutes of the meeting, and my understanding is the two that were approved at that meeting, based on the minutes, they could attest to the fact that all of the money was spent and the assets were placed
in service prior to the date of the Executive Order, and I
believe, based upon my reading of the minutes, that's why the Board allowed those two and approved those two. The others that were present could not make those same certainty statements that those moneys were extended and those assets were placed in service and so the Board asked them to come back with that information at a
later date, and so I just wanted to put on the record, based on the minutes of the April meeting, that was how that worked.

MR. JONES :
And when they came back everything had changed.

MS. CLAPINSKI :
That is correct.
MR. WINDHAM:
So we have a motion on the floor to defer and second. Was there any further discussion of
the board members? Any further questions? Any further comments
from the public?
MR. ALEXANDER :
Mr. Chairman, I would like to
say at that point we did submit everything that was required and
dated appropriately as to what the expenditures were in great detail.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you, Mr.
Alexander. All in favor of the motion to defer indicate with an aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
All oppose with a nay.
Motion carries.
MR. JONES :
Mr. Chairman, Mr. Alexander,
I would ask that you come back next time because the beating is not over, but what $I$ would ask is
if you could -- it will be two months away and I would have slept a couple times since then. If you could help us work out the chronology of what happened and how it happened and if we could work through the questions of the local support whether you have it or not and bring that information to us that would be very, very helpful. And Mr. Miller would
like to know how much property
tax the Bancroft pays with --
pays. I don't care knowing right now, but what $I$-- what I'm
saying if $y^{\prime}$ all could put
together a good appeal package
for us, and I think -- and for
all of the consultants and
whoever else is sitting here for
future appeals, let's treat it
like a real appeal. Just don't
show up and say, please, don't
boot me anymore. I would like --
I think this Board is going to be
asking for real information so we can have facts that we can make some decisions on.

MS . BOATNER:
Ronda Boatner with Didier
Consultants. To answer Mr.
Miller's questions, ASH
Industries paid \$208,000 in
property taxes last year. And
that goes to the -- that thought
is, you know, companies do not pay property taxes. If they have been there for 30 years, they do pay property tax. They get a
temporary exemption from the
property taxes or deferral, but
if they are there for any
extended amount of time they are
going to pay property taxes.
They paid \$208,000.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Rhonda. Ms.
Villa, I believe we are finished with the other business. Ms.

Cheng. Ms. Villa.

MS. VILIA:
Before I make my President's comments or the secretary's comments, $I$ just have a couple things for the record. The Board minutes refer to our last meeting being held at the Lasalle Building, and if we can get that corrected for the record that it was held here at the capitol, I would like to have that reflected.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right.
MS. VILLA:
We approved the minutes. So
I don't know that $I$ can
technically do that. But I would
like to make that.
MR. WINDHAM:
We'll make the correction.
MS. VILIA:
The second, Senator Adley
asked the question about the
Enterprise Zone. Senator Adley.

MR. ADLEY:
Sorry. I apologize.
MS. VILLA:
That's okay. You had asked
the question about the Enterprise
Zone and the restaurants and
retail were made ineligible for
July 1, 2015, and hotel and
staffing were made ineligible
April 1 of 2016. So if you would
like staff to make note of that
going forth on Enterprise Zone
because we're going to continue
to have applications that come
forth because of the advances
that were filed prior to those
dates, we can make that note that way.

MR. ADLEY:
I know Mr. Barham doesn't want to hear this but $I$ would have to tell you I would like that. I would appreciate it. Because I have a special interest in Enterprise Zone. Even before

Barham got here, there was a
fellow named Dan Richey in the senate, and the guy in the house named Robert Adley, and they sent us to Atlanta, Georgia back in the '80s, and they showed us this program Enterprise Zone. It was a new conservative program to help poor people. We thought it was a great thing. We brought it back and implemented it in the State of Louisiana only to watch it get so out of control and so out of hand by giving to it McDonald and Grips and everybody else that we could give it to. That's why I ask those questions.

Honestly, it's just a personal place, so, yes I would appreciate that very, very much.

MS. VILLA:
We will, and we are very
thankful that the legislature
throughout the course of 2015 and
2016 have made significantly
reform measures to the Enterprise
Zone, and so we brought -- we
feel that it's been brought back
to the original purpose, Mr.
Adley, so thank you and the
legislature for supporting those
efforts.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you for those answers,
Ms. Villa, and now you have the
Secretary's report.
MS. VILIA:
The Secretary's report.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman, fellow
Board members. The Secretary
regrets not being here. He is
unable to attend, and, again, I
welcome the opportunity to serve with you as his designee for today's Board meeting.

I just want to update a
couple of things, a couple of
announcements. I know everyone
is ready to go to lunch. We had
the Governor announce with

Plastipak Packaging CEO William
Young on June 29 the
manufacturing expansion in
Pineville, and that's an
expansion of existing business,
you know, and we're all about
economic development, and, again,
earlier to took offense to being
classified as one of the lower, I
think LED, Louisiana Economic
Development by far one of the
best economic development
organizations in the country, and we don't just say it. I think
it's proven in our rankings, so
-- and we're all about creating
jobs and retaining jobs.
Retaining these jobs are very
important to us.
Also, if you haven't received
our economic development
quarterly, it used to be a
publication that we did four
times a year and we would mail it
to everyone. It's not
electronic. It's very neat. If
you don't receive it and you
would like to receive it, I think
post Board members do receive it,
but in that you would see that
you have a section dedicated to
the Water Institute of the Gulf,
which is being constructed right
now, and I think everyone is
aware of it is going to be the
Baton Rouge Water campus. Well,
the Governor on July 10th
announced with Justin -- the CEO
of the Water Institute, Justin
Ehrenwerth announced the pact
with Global Water Research leader
Deltares, and they are going to work with Deltares of the Netherlands to pursue the world class solutions in coastal protection and restoration. The research partners are going to focus on key areas from software development and watershed modeling to infrastructure and
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nature based solutions that
preserve our coastlines as a
pathway for supporting
Louisiana's 50 years, $\$ 50$ billion
coastal master plan and for
attracting private sector jobs
employers that apply the research
to water management projects
worldwide.
LED targets the water
management as one of our nine key
industries that will most
stimulate the state's economy
with the potential for four
thousand new direct jobs on the water campus in Baton Rouge and 45 new direct and indirect jobs statewide in the water management sector over the next generation. Also, there is a couple of different programs that are small business -- small business services group has announced we have a year long program that nurtures growth of Louisiana
firms via peer learning and
access to experts. It's called
the CEO roundtable, and it first
launched in New Orleans in 2014 to help second stage firms grow jobs and revenue. CEO
roundtables contain 15 to 18
qualified decision makers from
Louisiana based on their growth
over a course of a year. They
made a minimum of ten times a
year peer to peer learning
business networking and support
for those CEOs are available to
them. Louisiana is expanding it
to six cities throughout the
state. Classes had began this
past summer and they are going to
be in Alexandria and Shreveport
-- are being added to the program
this year joining New Orleans,
Baton Rouge, Shreveport and
Lafayette.

> I already -- we've got the
Louisiana Small Contractors
accreditation program is also
underway. It's a six week course
designed for emerging
construction companies and
innovative program to help those
small construction companies
build a solid foundation for
business growth and success is
going to return this fall and
enrollment is now open for that
Louisiana Contractors
Accreditation Institute. It's a
six week course conducted
throughout the state and the
institute offers critical
information about construction
management and how to prepare for
the business and law portion of
the contractors licensing exam.
LED is working in conjunction
with LCTCS, Louisiana Community
and Technical College System, and
the Louisiana State Licensing
Board for contractors. Earlier I
already discussed our rankings
within LED and how Louisiana
ranks regarding the ranking that
we received from the No. 1
project -- how Louisiana ranked
No. 1 in projects per capita for
the ninth year, so $I$ won't go
through that again, but also for
eight years in a row on July 20th
LED FastStart ranked No. 1 for
the state workforce training
program in the nation. So this
was business facilities No. 1
ranking to LED FastStart for
eight years and Louisiana earned
that No. 1 ranking also for cyber
security growth potential and
additional top ten rankings among
the best state for cost, economic
growth, exports, infrastructure
and utility cost. New Orleans,
Lafayette, Shreveport and
Gramercy foreign trade zone
received top ten honors as
regional economic development
leaders with the port of south

Louisiana based Gramercy Zone
ranked No. 1 for imports.
So these are -- I think these
are very important rankings that
show that Louisiana is at the
forefront of economic
development. Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you very much, Ms.
Villa. Mr. Alexander, I'm sorry,
you didn't have to sit through
all of that. Thank you for
showing up. I saw you sitting
there and $I$ didn't know if you
were waiting for --
MR. ALEXANDER :
I just was trying to be polite.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Is there a motion
to -- is there any other
business? Is there a motion to
adjourn? Mr. Slone. Seconded by
Ms. Cola. All in favor, aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:

```
Aye.
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion approved.
```

(Whereupon the meeting has adjourned at 12:51 p.m.)
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