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MR. JONES :
Good morning, everyone. It's good to see everyone at the June meeting of the Board of Commerce and Industry. I hope your summer is going well.

As we get started, we call the meeting to order. Ms.

Simmons, if you can call the roll
and see if we have a quorum.
MS . SIMMONS:
Good morning, everyone.
Mayor David Toups.
MR. TOUPS :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Yvette Cola. Guy McInnis.
MR. MCINNIS:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Rickey Fabra.
MR. FABRA:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Manual Fajardo.

MR. FAJARDO:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Representative Vincent St.
Blanc for Paula Davis.
MR. BLANC:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS :
Senator Rick Ward proxy for
Sen. Ronnie Johns. Kenneth
Havard.
MR. HAVARD :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Senator Brett Allain.
MR. ALLAIN:
Here.

MS. SIMMONS:
Senator Scott McKnight proxy
for Rep. Stuart Bishop.
MR . MCKNIGHT :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER :
Here.
MS. SIMMONS:
Ann Villa.
MS. VILIA:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
George Nassar.
MR. NASSAR :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Darrell Saizan. Rickey
Slone. I'm sorry. Ronnie Slone.
MR. SLONE :
Present.
MS . SIMMONS:
Dr. Shawn Wilson. Dr.
Woodrow Wilson.

DR. WILSON:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS :
Travis Holly. Dr. Beverly
Thompson.
DR. THOMPSON :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Sandra McQuain.
MS . MCQUAIN:
Here.
MS. SIMMONS:
We have a quorum.
MR. JONES:
Thank you. It's good to see everyone. We do have a quorum.

Let's proceed.
One thing just to -- for the board members, if you can speak clearly and directly into your microphone. We are trying to stream the meeting and we want to be sure that we pick up on everything that we have to say.
Also, for those who come and
speak in front of the microphones, please pull the mic up to your mouth. Don't be
afraid. It won't bite. But we
need to be able to get the
meeting clearly heard by those
who wish to watch by that
miraculous thing we call the
internet.
All right. First on the
agenda is the approval of the minutes from our April 28, 2021 meeting. I entertain the motion.

We have one from Mr. Moss. We have a second from Mr. Fajardo.

Any questions or comments from the Board? Any questions or comments from the public? Hearing none, all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any oppose? There is no
opposition. The motion carries.
First up is Quality Jobs

Program. Ms. Adegbe, good to see you this morning.

MS . ADEGBE :
Good morning. I have one new
application. 20180340-QJ,
Alliance Safety Council, East
Baton Rouge Parish. This
concludes the new applications.
MR. JONES :
I will entertain a motion to approve. A motion from Mr. Moss. A second from Mr. Wilson.

Any questions or comments
from the Board? Any questions or comments from the public? Hearing none, all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? Hearing none, the motion carries. Thank you.

MS . ADEGBE :
I have one renewal contract.
20161534-QJ, Cabot Corporation,

St. Mary Parish. This concludes
the renewals.
MR. JONES :
Entertain a motion to
approve. Motion from Mr. Moller.
Second from Mayor Toups.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Hearing none.
Any questions or comments from
the public? Hearing none, all in
favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? Hearing none,
the motion carries.
MS . ADEGBE :
I have one late renewal. The
following contract filed for
renewal after original contract
expiration. 20151166-QJ,
Louisiana Roots, LLC, Orleans
Parish. Contract effective date,
9-14-2015. Renewal due date,
11-13-2020. Late renewal request
date received, 5-27-2021.
MR. JONES :
Do we have someone here from
Louisiana Roots? Would you
please come forward. And, again,
please pull the microphone close
up to your mouth.
MR. KYLE:
Good morning. My name is
Kyle. Vice President of the
Urban South Marine in New
Orleans. This program has been
huge for us. It's doing exactly what it's supposed to be doing.

Since we opened, we have become
the 6th fastest growing regional
craft growing in the United
States. We have been able to add
40 manufacturing jobs, and it's
challenged us with the parameters
of $\$ 14.50$ an hour, and so it's
been doing great. We -- when we
realize that we submitted a
renewal late, we really didn't
receive any notice. It was five
years ago when we applied. There was zero employees. You know, since then we have grown to 40, and so we are requesting not to
have the one year penalty. If we
are able to renew this
application and have that one year, we're obviously to continue to invest our company, our
people, equipment and continue to grow jobs here in Louisiana.

MR. JONES :
Help me understand what -- I
mean, I understand startup
companies and I understand growth
and those are the kind of
problems a company wants to have,
but having said that, filing
deadlines are important.
MR. KYLE:
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
So help me understand what
you are doing to make sure that
these kind of things, whether it
be with LED, ITEP program or
something else. Help me
understand what you are doing to
make sure that that kind of thing doesn't happen again.

MR. KYLE:
Certainly. You know, we have so many applications to apply for and make sure that we are on time. This is atypical of us. We are usually really on top of things. My business partner who typically handles these things is making sure that everything is added to the calendar, technology, the calendar and everything to make sure we are well in advance. We didn't realize at the time that we didn't receive a notice to reapply, and so now that we know that, we make sure that don't do that and we are moving forward.

MR. JONES :
I understand. Any questions
or comments? Pardon me. My phone
is ringing and I'm trying to
ignore it, and it's my daughter, which makes it that much more difficult.

First of all, do we have a -normally what happens on these there is a one year penalty because you were within the time period that would be a one year penalty, but $I$ would exercise a motion from the Board for a one year penalty. Mr. Wilson.
Approval of the renewal but with a one year penalty. Second from Mr. Slone.

Any questions or comments
from the Board? Any questions or comments from the public? Hearing none all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS :
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? Being none, motion carries. Thank you for
renewing with Louisiana. We
appreciate it.
MS . ADEGBE :
This concludes Quality Jobs.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, Ms. Adegbe.
Ms. Lambert, welcome back.
We haven't seen you in a while.
MS . LAMBERT :
Thank you. I'm here
virtually but I'm back to
reality.
MR. JONES :
It's good to have you back
where we can all be together.
Please walk us through the
Restoration Tax Abatement
Applications.
MS . LAMBERT :
I will be glad to. We have
two new applications.
One 2020 -- first one, I'm sorry, the first one is 20200374,

La Hermana Mayor, LLC in East
Baton Rouge Parish.

20200399, Mercantile
Holdings, LLC in East Baton Rouge
Parish.
There are two and this
concludes the applications, the
new applications.
MR. JONES :
Do we recognize a motion to approve the Restoration Tax Abatement. From Mr. Nassar.

Second from Mr. Moller. Any
questions or comments from the
Board? Hearing none. Any
questions or comments from the
public? Hearing none, all in
favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES:
Any opposition? Motion
carries.
MS . LAMBERT :
And we have two renewal
applications. They are both for
Community First Bank in Iberia.

The application numbers are
20151346 and 20151347.
MR. JONES :
Do we enter a motion to
approve? Motion from Mr. Wilson.
Second from Mr. Moss.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Any questions or comments from the public? Hearing none, all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? Hearing none, the motion carries.

MS . LAMBERT :
That concludes the
Restoration Tax Abatement Program agenda.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Ms. Lambert.
Now we have Enterprise Zone. Ms. Metoyer.

MS . METOYER:
Good morning.

MR. JONES :
Good morning.
MS . METOYER :
I have 13 new applications. 20180385, Benny's Car Wash,

LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish.
20180149, Diversified Foods \&
Seasonings, LLC, St. Tammany.
20141332, Enlink Midstream
Operating, LP in Ascension
Parish.
20180525, Enmon Enterprises.
Tangipahoa Parish.
20160639, Excel Contractors
Incorporated, East Baton Rouge
Parish.
20151284, Excel Group
Services, Inc., Ascension Parish.
20151825, Excel Maintenance
Services Incorporated, East Baton
Rouge Parish.
20190168, Fabricated Steel
Products, East Baton Rouge
Parish.
20180227, Lafayette General

Health System, Incorporated,
Vermilion Parish.
20200222, Leading Health Care
of Louisiana Incorporated,
Lafayette Parish.
20170468, Noble Plastics
Incorporated, St. Landry Parish.
20180173, Our Lady of Lourdes
Regional Medical Center,
Lafayette Parish.
And 20170401, Westlake
Management Services Incorporated,
Calcasieu Parish.
MR. JONES :
I will entertain a motion to
approve. Motion from Mr. Saizan.
Second from Mr. Moss.
Any questions or comments
from the Board about any of these
applications? Hearing none. Any
questions or comments from the
public? Hearing none. All in
favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.

MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There is
none. Motion carries.
The Enterprise Zone Program.
MS . METOYER:
Terminations.
MR. JONES :
Yes, ma'am.
MS . METOYER:
20151388, Elmwood
Hospitality, LLC, Orleans Parish.
Existing contract is 3-1-17 to
2-28-22. Requested term date is
12-31 of 2019. The program
requirements have been met. No
additional jobs are anticipated.
20160850, Halliburton Energy
Services Incorporated, Iberia
Parish. The existing contract is
3-15-2018 to 3-14 of 2023.
Requested term date is
12-31-2020. Program requirements
have been met. No additional
jobs are anticipated.
20150157, Metairie Hotel

Ventures, LLC, Orleans Parish.
The existing contract is 11-15 of
2015 through 11-14 of 2020. The
requested termination date is
12-31-2019. The program
requirements have been met. No
additional jobs are anticipated.
20161505, Optimal Field
Services Incorporated -- I'm
sorry -- Optimal Field Services,
LLC, Ascension Parish. The
existing contract is 6-21-2016
through 6-20-2021. The requested
term date is 12-31 of 2019. The
program requirements have been
met. No additional jobs are
anticipated.
201351391, SLC Hospitality,
LLC, Calcasieu Parish. The
existing contract is of 3-15 of
'17 to 3--- sorry -- 3-14 of
2022. The requested term date is

12-31 of 2019. The program
requirements have been met. No
additional jobs are anticipated.

20160725, Turner Industrial
Maintenance, LLC, East Baton
Rouge Parish. The existing
contract is 8-1-16 to 7-31-2021.
The requested term date is
12-31-2019. The program
requirements have been met. No additional jobs are anticipated.

20160720, Turner Industries
Group, LLC, West Baton Rouge
Parish. The existing contract is
9-1 of '16 through 8-31 of 2021.
The requested term date is 12-31
of 2019. The program
requirements have been met. No
additional jobs are anticipated.
20160723, Turner Industries
Group, LLC, East Baton Rouge
Parish. The existing contract is
7-1 of 16 through 6-30 of 2021.
The requested term date is 6-30
of 2019. The program
requirements have been met. No
additional jobs are anticipated.
20160724, Turner Industries

Group, LLC, West Baton Rouge.
The existing contract is 9-1-2016
through 8-31 of 2021. The
requested term date is 12-31 of 2019. The program requirements
have been met. No additional
jobs are anticipated.
20160726, Turner Specialty
Services, LLC, East Baton Rouge
Parish. The existing contract is
6-1 of '16 through 5-31 of 2021.
The requested term date is 12-31
of 2019. The program
requirements have been met. No additional jobs are anticipated.

20160893, Weatherford USLP,
Lafayette Parish. The existing
contract is 9-13 of 2016 through
9-12 of 2021. The requested term
date is 12-31 of 2019. The
program requirements have been
met. No additional jobs are
anticipated.
And 20160721, Turner
Industries Group, LLC, Calcasieu

Parish. The existing contract is
3-4-2016 through 3-3 of 2021.
The requested term date is 12-31
of 2019. The program
requirements have been met. No
additional jobs are anticipated.
MR. JONES :
Thank you. We entertain a motion to approve. A motion from

Mr. Fajardo. Second from Mr.
Moss.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Forgive me. Yes.
Dr. Thompson will be recusing
herself from any action on
Halliburton Energy Services No.
20160850. That means she will
not be voting or commenting or otherwise participating in the vote.

So we have a motion and we have a second. Any questions or comments from the Board? Any comments from the public? Hearing none, all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? Hearing
none. The motion carries.
Move right ahead.
MS . METOYER :
The first request to add owner to an existing contract.

It's 20160984. Gator Millworks Incorporated. And on the third application there was an owner listed in the -- the sole owner is Chad Foster and they wish to add him to the contract, and this is for Livingston Parish.

MR. JONES :
How is it there are no owners on the original application?

MS . METOYER :
This is an $S$ Corp, and it flows through to the owners, so the majority of the corporations don't usually list any owners. They might list affiliates but
not owners.
MR. JONES :
And Mr. Foster is the --
MS . METOYER :
He is the sole owner.
MR. JONES :
Okay. Got it.
Entertain a motion to
approve. Motion from Mr. Wilson. Second from Mr. Slone. I have a motion and second.

Any questions or comments
from the public? Excuse me. From the Board? And then $I$ will get to
the public. Any comments from
the public? Hearing none, all in
favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? Motion carries.

MS . METOYER:
We have a request to add affiliates to an existing
contract.
20150258, Equilon
Enterprises, LLC. The current
owners are TMR Company, Shell Oil
Products Company, LLC, and Shell
Oil Company. The new LLC members
will be Shell Oil Products
Company, LLC; Shell Oil Company;
and Shell Oil Chemical, LP, and
this is in St. Charles Parish.
MR. JONES :
Motion to approve. Mayor
Toups. Second from Dr. Wilson.
Any questions or comments from
the Board? Hearing none. Any
comments from the public? Hearing
none. All in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There is
none.
MS . METOYER:
And I have a request to add owner and affiliate to an
existing contract.
20190007, Edward Via Virginia
College of Osteopathic Medicine.
The current owner and affiliate
is Edward Via College of
Osteopathic Medicine, and the new
owner and affiliate will be
Harvey W. Peters Research
Foundation, and this is in
Ouachita Parish.
MR. JONES :
Do we have a motion to
approve? I have a motion from
Mr. Moss. Second from Mr. -- Dr.
Wilson.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Hearing none.
Any comments from the public?
Hearing none. All in favor say
aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There is
none. The motion carries.

MS . METOYER:
That concludes EZ.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, ma'am. As we
start the Industrial Tax
Exemptions Application and come
forward, $I$ was -- $I$ was -- $I$
failed to introduce -- we have a
new board member that has been just appointed. Ms. Sandra

McQuain from Alexandria. Welcome
to our office group. It's not
always an adjective that is used to describe us, but $I$ will choose that one today. All right. It's good to have you. Absolutely.

All right. We have Industrial Tax Exemption for the Pre-EO application.

MR. USIE:
We have four Pre-Executive Order New Applications. One company is requesting deferral. 20141117, YCI Methanol One, LLC in St. James Parish.

MR. JONES :
I will be recusing myself
from any activity from YCI
application, but I will
facilitate the meeting, so I
entertain a motion to approve for
deferral. We have a motion from
Mr. Wilson. Second from Ms.
Villa.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Any comments
from the public? Hearing none.
The motion -- excuse me -- let's
vote. All in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There being
none, the motion carries through
YCI is deferred.
MR. USIE:
2015056, D-PT, Louisiana
Integrated Polyethylene JV, LLC,
Calcasieu Parish.
20141610-B, Marathon

Petroleum Company, LP, St. John the Baptist Parish.

And 20150156-D, Sasol USA
Corporation, Calcasieu Parish.
MR. JONES :
As it's the Board's custom on these Pre-EO applications, we invite the applicants to come and tell us a little bit about the project and where we are and what we have to look forward to. Do we have someone here from

Louisiana Integrated
Polyethylene? I think -- that's
the same as Sasol as $I$ appreciate
it. Y'all go ahead and come
forward.
With the Board's permission, at least for the presentation of this, we can take up Louisiana Integrated Polyethylene and Sasol Corporation together. I think the comments will be the same. Is that fair.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
All right. Who would like to speak first.

MR. GRIFFITH:
Chairman, I will start. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

My name is Brad Griffith. I am
the Executive Vice-President for
Sasol's chemical business globally and chairman of the corporation, and I am joined today by Sasol America's chief financial officer as well as our senior manager for corporate affairs.

We appreciate the opportunity
to speak with you today. The application before you is -- for your consideration is of a great importance to Sasol. But before we speak about ITEP, I would like to share with you a little bit about Sasol and our growth and commitment to the State of

Louisiana.
Sasol is a global chemical and energy company with 30,000
employees in 30 countries. We
have been a corporate citizen in
the State of Louisiana for
several decades, and over the
years we've grown our operations and our employment incrementally.

Most recently with the advent of
our Lake Charles chemical
project, which I know the Board
has heard about over the years and nearly $\$ 13$ billion investment
in the state. Our Lake Charles
project included seven brand new
chemical manufacturing
facilities, and in the year of 2020, the year of the pandemic, we completed an additional operation of up to seven and
final facility. The southwest
Louisiana project was really a
game changer for our company, and
we believe it's the largest form
of direct investment in the history of the United States.

With that background, we
thank you, the Louisiana Board of
Commerce and Industry, the
Louisiana Economics Development colleagues, Governor Edwards and our partners at the local level for giving us that opportunity to really bring impactful benefits to the residents and businesses in the state.

I'm here today to do three
things. One to express the
thanks and appreciation of the
executive leadership of Sasol.
Second, to advocate your approval
for our application. And, finally, to answer the Board's call, which we have heard you loud and clear to make sure that you see a demonstrative return on the state's ITEP investment.

About eight years ago Sasol
and the State of Louisiana
entered into this public private
partnership to invest in the
state's future. In summary,
Sasol agreed to make the largest capital investment in our history and to create hundreds of jobs. In turn, the state agreed to one hundred percent of property tax on that improvement over -- to be considered over two five year terms. In previous years my colleague, Mike Thomas, shared with the you immeasurable benefits of the project during the construction, and I want you to note that the project is fully commissioned and I'm very pleased to say that we did deliver on our commitment. We did create jobs and we did generate tax revenue to the local tax entities. We delivered more than 800 new direct jobs. More than 90 percent of those hires are Louisiana residents. The
majority from Calcasieu Parish with an average annual salary of more than $\$ 80,000$ a year. We've
delivered more than 6,500
construction jobs during the project, and expect an additional
one thousand or so contract workers that will support our
operations and maintenance
annually. We've delivered more
than $\$ 4.3$ billion of -- spent
with Louisiana businesses and we
paid millions in payroll,
property, sales and use taxes for
Calcasieu Parish throughout the
construction operational phase.
And to give you some view of
those numbers, I would like to
ask for my partner to speak at
this time.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
Thank you, Brad. Good morning, Chairman Jones I'm --
can you hear me?
MR. JONES :

A little bit closer.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
Can you hear me now.
MR. JONES :
That's better.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
Good morning. My name is Dr.
Buld (ph). Thank you, Brad. We have delivered $\$ 156$ million of sales and used tax payments from the LCCP assets to Calcasieu Parish. $\$ 39$ million in sales and used tax payments to the state.

Our local school board and sheriff office have confirmed that these payments makes teachers salaries, school equipment, emergency and other critical services possible for local residents. We have delivered more than $\$ 40$ million of infrastructure improvements. These include new roads, sewer, water and electrical
infrastructure. Most of it in
the city of wasteland. The Port of Lake Charles and our local economic development authority confirm that we have already seen additional economical -- economic
ripple from these infrastructure
investments in the state from
other industries. By our calculation, this state will see
a 221 return on its ITEP
investment in our LTTC assets, and ultimately by 2016 when our facilities are still running and many of our newly hired LTTC employees are well into their careers with Sasol and our joint venture, and I'm hopefully retired by then, we would have paid more than $\$ 1$ billion of property taxes from our LCCP taxes alone. Thank you.

MR. GRIFFITH:
Thank you, Nina (ph).
Chairman Jones, members of the
Board, as I said before, it was
an agreement between parties. A win, win agreement. It's a true partnership, and we believe that we are demonstrating to you that that type of partnership can pay off for the State of Louisiana and our residents. We believe the state's agreement was a smart one, and we believe that the returns are already there, and on behalf of more than 1100

Louisiana employees and contractors at our facility and 30,000 employees globally, thank you for your consideration. MR. JONES : Thank you. Do we have any questions or comments from the Board? Mr. Moss.

MR. MOSS :
Yes. I would like the Board to know that literally these people are in my backyard in Calcasieu Parish. I drive through that project at different
times. I have seen it. They
have been great corporate neighbors. They have employed a lot of people, and I so make the motion to approve this.

MR. JONES :
Thank you. Thank you, Mr.
Moss. Second by from Dr.
Thompson. I know we have some other speakers, I believe, that have signed up to speak on behalf of this project. With the Board's okay, I'm going to go
ahead and let the other speakers go on and that way if we have other questions the Board may have.

I know we have Dr. Richardson who is signed up to speak.
Before -- I'm sorry. I do
have -- I had one question. Help me understand the relationship between Sasol USA and Louisiana Integrated Polyethylene.

MR. GRIFFITH:
Yes, sir. So, in late 2020,
we formed a joint venture for a
portion of our assets at the site with liability excel, who is also a local employer. We formed the joint venture called Louisiana Integrated Polyethylene, LLC. We are 50/50 owners in the joint venture. They are the operator but we operate it all together as one site, which is the same project intent. The plants are all running. The same employees are there that we hired.

Transferred over. There was no
change in their employment status
when we made that decision for joint venture. For your
indulgence, I'm sure the chairman might ask why would Sasol do that. Over the years we made some decisions around the strategy long term and decided that polymers and Polyethylene would
not be a strategic growth area
and we completed the project and
we are very happy with our
partner that is the Polyethylene as a long term strategy.

MR. JONES :
Thank you. We are clear on
those two separate projects.
Thank you. Dr. Richardson.
DR. RICHARDSON:
Thank you, Mr. Jones. Thank
you. A few words.
I was asked to help examine Sasal's application and also to work with them over time up to the time in terms of their impact on the State of Louisiana, and in particularly in Calcasieu Parish, and when $I$ started, the line was vacant. Today it is at work.

And during that time, they did
several things. One, they spent
about $\$ 13$ billion, and in
spending that, what is really
important in the State of

Louisiana is how much goes in the state, and they made a commitment to look at Louisiana firms, and
they did, and they made that commitment about $\$ 4$ billion for Louisiana firms operating the state, and that means hiring people in the state. During -not during the project, but also in the future as well. So that was the first.

> And in that process, now in 2021, as the capital project has been completed, they have spent -- created about 6,500 jobs in
the state, and very, very
impressive. If you look at
Calcasieu Parish, look at what we call labor market five, which includes five parishes, Calcasieu being the biggest by far, they essentially had 20 percent of the state's construction employment in those five parishes. Though they only had seven percent of
the total employment, so, again,
the construction of that facility
was very large, had impact on
that area of our state. So that
was the first thing.
Second thing as he even
noted, they already paid in
global taxes about $\$ 160$ million.
That is an impressive number.
They also paid to the state, and this is not an estimate, this is a fact, about almost $\$ 40$ million, so those are things that already been done. They already had all of the workers, so the construction project, as we made our estimates, have really been right on.

Now, the next stage of that facility is the production, and they are going to be doing that for the next 30 years, and in that process they have already hired 832 permanent jobs in addition to the ones that they
had before the construction of this facility. But even that underestimates and working there
because they had other firms in the state to provide maintenance, provide other services that they were not doing on a day by day basis themselves, and there you talking about another thousand or so jobs in dealing with that, and what we did, they asked me to
look at the numbers to come up with some type of return because obviously, again, the state is putting up some incentive or some dollars through the ITEP program, and we looked at the numbers and we looked at what they had already done and what they will do over the next 30 years, and we came out with a benefit ratio of

2 to 1. We came out a rate of return about 20 percent, which is
a very good return under any conditions, so from that angle,
we felt that the ITEP program was
doing what it's supposed to be
doing, bringing jobs to the
state, bringing additional
revenues, local revenues, local
government and state government
in exchange for an element of
that exemption program through
five -- two five year periods.
So that's how we came up that,
and will be happy to answer any questions, if you have any, about how we got the numbers and about the impact of Sasol in the State of Louisiana.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Richardson.
Any questions from the Board of
Dr. Richardson?
Hearing none. Thank you, sir. I appreciate you being here this morning.

And then we have signed to speak, Mr. Franks.

State your name and position,

## please.

MR. FRANKS:
Yes, sir. Good morning, Mr.
Jones. Ladies and gentlemen of
the Board, my name is David
Franks. I am the Chief Operating
Officer of Turner Industries, LLC here in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

The ITEP program, we know, leads
not only to the direct jobs Mr .
Griffith talked about and the
construction jobs that come on with that, but also the thousands of what I call indirect direct jobs that support this ongoing operation as it continues. The -- these are not officially counted maybe in the ITEP type program, but it's -- I'm here to talk and expand the dialog a little bit for the Board's consideration. The past
incentive given to Sasol not only
led to the 800 direct jobs that
Mr. Griffith referred to and the

6,500 construction jobs that were ongoing during the project, but currently we have a thousand people inside Sasal's program people, their site and their expansion on a daily basis ranges anywhere from facility maintenance to ground maintenance to construction services that we use in keeping their units running, getting their units more efficient, and these types of jobs are there every day through COVID, rain or shine, and these jobs are the backbone of what makes up the maintenance and
internal vision of Turner
Industries, so this thousand -to give you some perspective,

Sasol has been a customer of
Turner for many, many years.
This project tripled our presence within that, and this is a

Louisiana based company,
Louisiana employees. We are not
bringing these maintenance
employees and day to day
employees from out of state.
They are from the state,
predominantly from the southwest
Louisiana area. They are making
an average about 65,000 a year
and they have a job they can
depend on as long as this
operation continues, and with the joint venture we expect that to continue.

We have over 75 local
southwest Louisiana suppliers, contractors and vendors that support us in our efforts to continue Sasal's joint venture of running and operating. We have over 250 sites across the United States, but 90 other sites just like Sasol inside of Louisiana, and these ITEP investments directly impact our Louisiana base company and other Louisiana base companies and our Louisiana
employees. We are just one
example of the many, many
businesses that benefit from
industrial growth and expansion
in the state, and it's not just
construction, it gets into
maintenance, it gets into real
estate, it gets into retail and
hospitality. These are all
effects of these types of
investments in our state, and every sector of the local economy
is impacted by major projects
like this one and others that might be to come.

I want you to know the ripple effect is absolutely real. It
benefits Louisiana residents and
it benefits Louisiana families,
and that's ultimately the purpose
of this program is to stimulate
the growth that causes that
ripple effect throughout all
areas of our state.
We employ roughly 20,000
people of Turner across the company, but specifically on a

13,000 plus Louisiana base
employees and the myriad of other
employees that are counterparts
within this industry, I think,
would support this.
We encourage you to approve
Sasal's request, and it's
critical to ensure Louisiana
continues to attract this type of
quality industrial operator. I
thank you for both for your time and consideration in this matter.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Franks.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Thank you, sir. I appreciate you being here today.

And I have a card from Mr.
George Swift. George, it's
always good to have you here.
Please state your name for the record.

MR. SWIFT: Thank you, Chairman Jones and
members of the Board. I am
George swift, Lake Charles. The
Chamber of Southwest representing
about 1250 members in our
southwest Louisiana economic
development alliance which
represents the five parishes of
Allen, Beauregard, Calcasieu,
Cameron and Jeff Davis, and you
have heard already about the
tremendous economic ripple
through southwest Louisiana,
namely the millions of dollars
spent with local businesses,
hundreds of jobs created for
local residents and tax revenues
generated for local and state governments, and it's important, I think, to repeat that despite the global changes that we have had in the last several years, particularly in the last year, and the change of ownership in the new agreement that they have
continued the permanent jobs that actually exceeded the permanent jobs that were in the original application. I think that's commendable. Also, in addition to that, which stands alone its own right, Sasol has also invested in the long term prosperity in the community as a whole. They form the southwest Louisiana resource guide and associated scholarship program. They funded a small business resource guide. They invested time and resources to maximize opportunities for our workforce, not only with their project but well into the future.

One of the things they have
done is they planted over a thousand trees, and after the two hurricanes that we've had, we need more trees, so that was a great project and we might need that again. They've also donated
a stem exhibit to the local
children's museum. They have
employees that teach local kids
and students about science and
how it's used in their industry.
They were the largest private
donor to Port Wonder, which is a new world class childrens museum that will soon be built in Lake Charles, and the points is at south -- Sasol is a community partner and one that we value tremendously at the economic development alliance, and it's
all made possible by the
industrial tax exemption, which is exactly what it was planned to do, and we were involved in this project as they were seeking a site. It was a very competitive competition, and I assure you, and the company will assure you that the ITEP made this difference in coming to the Lake Charles area and southwest

Louisiana; otherwise, it could
have gone to Texas or Canada, so we're very fortunate, and thank
you for your support of this
important program.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, George. Any
questions or comments for Mr.
Swift?
George, change the subject just slightly. Just know that

Lake Charles and Cameron has not
been forgotten. I know at times it seems like it as I drive
through there, and as I told you
earlier, it breaks my heart
seeing what you guys are having
to deal with and hope that relief comes soon.

MR. SWIFT:
Thank you very. We're very resilient. We have got a lot of work to do, and despite that, we have got a lot of industrial projects that are keeping the
economy strong.
MR. JONES :
In addition, so the Board
will know, there are letters of
support for these two
applications from Steve
Waguespack of the Louisiana
Association of Business and
Industry. From Dr. Neil
Aspenwall (ph), the chancellor of technical community college. For

Mr. Greg Bowser (ph) with the
Louisiana Chemical Association.
Let's see. I am not reading this
name very well. Lake Area
Industry Alliance.
So I will be submitting these
to the secretary so they can be
entered into the record of this
meeting.
Any other -- any questions or
comments from the Board
concerning Sasol US Corporation
or the Louisiana Integrated
Polyethylene, LLC application?

I would propose to take them
both up at the same time since
they are essentially one in the
same project. Unless there is an
objection, we will do so. I
would entertain a motion to
approve. We have the motion, so that's correct. Thank you, Mr.

Moss. We have a motion to
approve each of these two
applications.
Any comments from the public?
Hearing none. All in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There being no opposition, the motion
carries.
Now, the remaining matter
before the Board on this item on
the agenda is 2014160 from
Marathon Petroleum Company. Is
there someone here for Marathon?

MS . SIMONEAUX :
Good morning.
MR. JONES :
Good morning. Please
introduce yourself and your
position with the company,
please.
MS . SIMONEAUX:
Good morning. Lindsey
Simoneaux. ITEP coordinator of the oil refinery, and Dan, the controller of the refinery.

MR. JONES :
You have some preliminary comments or questions? Do you
have some comments for us?
MS . SIMONEAUX:
I was going to give a summary of the project. This is a SIS applications phase B. This is a -- this is phase 2 of a multiyear program to upgrade our safety instrument SIS systems throughout the refinery, and this phase includes improvements to the
standards including fuel
transmitters and multiple units.
This project allows us to safely
shut down an unplan -- there is
no return on investment and this
is simply to protect our
employees equipment and
community. There had been
additional applications filed for
the year phases up through 2027.
MR. JONES:
How many phases do you
anticipate that you are going to get.

MS . SIMONEAUX :
7 or 8 .
MR. JONES :
And this is No. 2. 2 of 7 or 8.

MS . SIMONEAUX :
Yes. And then the turnaround cycles, so that's why it's once a year.

MR. JONES :
So each time you do a
turnaround is when do you this particular part of the project for the part that is being turned around.

MS. SIMONEAUX:
Correct.
MR. JONES :
Inartfully said, but I hope you understood.

MS. SIMONEAUX:
Yes. Any further comments?
Any questions or comments from
the Board. Any comments from the public?

Do we have a motion? We do have a motion from Mr. Wilson.

Second from Mr. Nassar.
Any questions or comments? There being none, all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There is
none. The motion carries.

Thank y'all for being here
this morning.
All right.
MR. UBIE:
Next we have one new
application following the 2017
rules. 20170067-J, WestRock, CP,
LLC in Jackson Parish.
MR. JONES :
All right. Do we have any --
a motion to approve? I have a motion to approve West Rock, CP.

Motion from Mr. Slone. Second
from Mr. Wilson.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Do we have
anybody here from West Rock?
Come tell us what you are doing so we understand, if you don't mind.

Good morning. Please state your name.

MR. POWELL:
Todd Powell. Engineering manager of West Rock.

MR. JONES :
Tell us what you are doing in
the plant of the -- of the
project, of this project.
MR. POWELL:
This project is part of a larger project of jobs program.

Invest over $\$ 150$ million in a five year period, and this is part of that project or that series of projects.

MR. JONES :
This is to retain it?
MR. POWELL:
To upgrade the plant so you
-- of the state as part of the
job retention.
MR. JONES :
So it's an intent to retain

## the folks who are there to

upgrade the plant.
MR. POWELL:
That's correct. Keep is under
400 jobs.
MR. JONES :

That's the reason there is no
new jobs.
MR. POWELL:
Yes, sir. That's right.
MR. JONES :
That's what $I$ wanted to understand. Thank you, sir.

Any other questions or
comments? All right. Any
comments from the public? There being none, all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There being
none, the motion carries. Thank you, sir. I appreciate what you are doing in Louisiana.

MR. UBIE:
Next we have 19 Post-EO
Applications following the 2018 rules. We have one that is requesting withdrawal.

It's 20210197, Pod Pack
International, LLC in East Baton

Rouge Parish.
MR. JONES :
This is the withdrawal, not
the referral.
MR. UBIE:
Withdrawal.
MR. JONES :
Entertain a motion to approve the withdrawal of the Pod Pack

International. Motion from Mayor
Toups. Second from Dr. Wilson.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Hearing none.
Comments from the public? Hearing none. All in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye. Any opposition? That application is withdrawn.

MR. UBIE:
We have eight deferral
request.
20200422, Bueche PV1, LLC,
West Baton Rouge Parish.
20200219, Graphic Packaging
International, LLC in Ouachita

Parish.
20200021, International Mezzo
Technology, East Baton Rouge
Parish.
20210121, LACC, LLC in
Calcasieu Parish.
200200092, Metalplate
Galvanizing, LP in Jefferson
Davis Parish.
20190509, Peppers Unlimited of Louisiana, Inc. in St. Martin

Parish.
20190485, Stuller, Inc. in
Lafayette Parish.
And 20190057-A, Stupp Bros.,
Inc. dba Stupp Corporation in
East Baton Rouge Parish.
MR. JONES :
Okay. I would entertain a motion to defer these as just
listed. Motion from Mr. Slone.
Second from Ms. Villa.
Any questions or comments
from the Board? Hearing none.
Any questions or comments from
the public? Hearing none. All in
favor of deferrals say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There being none, those items are deferred to the next meeting. Okay.

MR. UBIE:
I do have one correction. It
is for 20200058, Kitchen MAJGEK,
LLC. It says 50 construction
jobs. It should be zero. It was an error on the application.

MR. JONES :
All right. Now, we do have some request to speak on the

Bayou Galion Solar Project. I'm going to propose to the Board that we handle all matters in-globo with the exception of Bayou Galion Solar Project. Is that acceptable to the Board?

So I would entertain a motion

```
to approve -- state the motion
```

before we make it.
A motion to approve all items
not withdrawn, not deferred and
except for Bayou Galion Solar
Project. Mr. McInnis.
MR. MCINNIS :
I move.
MR. JONES :
We have a motion from Mr.
McInnis. Second from Mr.
Fajardo. Any questions or
comments from the Board?
MR. UBIE:
You want me to read --
MR. JONES :
It would be a good idea.
Y'all, it takes a village. I'm
telling y'all. Bear with me. I
have my daughter calling me in
the middle of a meeting and everything.

MR. UBIE:
20210225, BASF Corporation,
Ascension Parish.
20210277, Chalmette Refining,

LLC in St. Bernard Parish.
Sorry. I skipped one.
20190465, Bollinger Quick
Repair, LLC in Jefferson Parish.
20210262, Delta Biofuel, LLC,
Iberia Parish.
20210184, Ingevity South
Carolina, LLC in Beauregard
Parish.
20200058, Kitchen MAJGEK, LLC
Ren's Kitchen. Lafayette Parish.
20180377, Louisiana Sugar
Refining, LLC, St. James Parish.
201804998, Procter and Gamble
Manufacturing, Rapides Parish.
And 20210148, Weyerhaeuser NR
Company, Livingston Parish.
MR. JONES :
Okay. Now, we have a motion from Mr. McInnis for all of these that Mr. Ubie had listed. We have a second from Mr. Fajardo. Now we are procedurally in place where we need to be.

Any questions or comments on
any of these? Comments from the public? Hearing none. All in
favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There being none, the motion carries.

Now let's circle back around to 202110138, Bayou Galion Solar Project. Let's have a motion on the floor so we can make sure we are in the proper posture that we don't have a discussion that we need to have. Do $I$ have a motion? Motion from Mr. Wilson to approve. Second from Mr. Saizan.

Okay. Now, I have some people who have signed up to speak on that matter.

Start with Ms. Jennifer
Brown, Washington Parish
Community. Thank you, Ms.
Brown. Would you state your name
and who you represent, group, and just tell us what you have to say about the project.

MS . BROWN :
Good morning. My name is
Jennifer Brown. I am the
President of the Louisiana Bee
Keepers Association, and I'm also
here representing the citizens of
Tangipahoa and Washington Parish
and Louisiana Coalition for
Protecting Citizens Against
Unregulated Solar translating
into hundreds of concerned voters across the state.

Our interest in this
particular meeting is widely
assisting to push forward on
allowing Morehouse to qualify for
ITEP when there are plans to few
regulations for solar projects
beginning tomorrow.
First, our understanding,
ITEP is for industries that bring
jobs and manufacturing to

Louisiana not to displace jobs.
Looking specifically at the solar
power plant in Washington Parish, which is very similar to the one
in Farmerville. I know, my
daughter goes to school there.
We know during solar construction
very few construction jobs were
offered as a majority of
construction workers were ones
that traveled around with the
company. These workers spent
very little revenue in the town.
In the end, one permanent job
alone created for 15 megawatt
projects. This is not a
justifiable number to garner
benefits from ITEP. The commerce
and industry committee was
created to attract jobs not just
one or two.
Second, the state benefits
from increased income taxes
generated by new businesses.
Looking at the overall economic
impact due to the amount being taken out of production, more jobs will be lost and created by solar projects. More jobs will be lost and created by any of these solar projects.

The parish loses needed local
revenues and the state loses
income tax generated by the jobs displaced. In the end both state and parish possibly will be left to surface the cost of
decommissioning should the solar projects go bankrupt and leave. Overall this will be no economic
benefit to the state or the
parish, and I have supporting
numbers offered by Kushner Grace
CPA firm out of Metairie.
On behalf of the groups
represented, I request this Board not to vote today concerning the solar project and the regulation is put into place and the proper procedure are allowed to happen.

Given other solar projects
denying this exemption does not keep solar companies to continue
from developing projects in the state. Thank you for your time.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Ms. Brown. Any questions or comments for Ms.

Brown? Thank you very much for being here today.

Do we have someone from the
company that is here? Please come
forward. Yes. I want to hear
from the company and then we have
Ms. Mitchell speak. I have her
on my list as well. I know at
the last meeting that we had some
comments about this project
before it was deferred, but I
think it's important, especially
we have some new Board members,
it's important to lay the ground
work, so if you can tell us a
little bit more about the
project.

MR. BENSON :
Yes, of course. I would be happy to.

Chairman Jones and
distinguished members of the
Board, thank you for the
opportunity to speak with you
today. My name is Michael Benson
and I'm the Director of
Development for commercial
energy, the developer and owner
of the Bayou Galion Solar
project.
Commercial Energy is a
subsidiary of Canadian Solar,
which was found in Ontario in
2001. Today, solar is one of the
largest manufacturers of solar panels and related technology in the world with over 13,000 employees. To date, recurrent energy and solar have developed thousands of megawatts of solar projects in the US and abroad. Bayou Galion Solar Project is 98
megawatts which will power about
20,000 homes. It represents a
\$98 million capital investment which will create over 300
construction jobs and additional
\$13 million in property tax
revenue without additional to
roads, schools or energy
services.
Before I close my brief
remarks, $I$ would like to briefly clarify the record from the $Q$ and
A during the past board meeting.
Senator Allain, you raised some very valid concerns about
decommissioning, and I commend
you for looking out of the
interest of the citizens of this great state. I want to state for the record that there will be funds set aside in the form of a bond or other financial security for this project to fund the
decommissioning and restoration
of the land at the end of the
project's life.
In closing, this project
enjoys very robust support at the
local level. Morehouse Parish
has been very welcoming and we
are proud and immensely grateful
to have the support. We are
excited to bring our project to
Louisiana and kindly ask the
esteemed Board to approve our
application and allow the parish, police jury and school board to then act on it.

Thank you. And I will be happy to address the questions.

MR. JONES:
Thank you. Mr. Allain.
MR. ALLAIN :
Yes. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I commend you for making that statement, and I also talked to LDH and verified with them that in the contract item -contract there will be language that says that you must comply
with all state laws, regulations, rules that come down.

My problem is that we haven't developed those yet. We are
having a hearing on my bill
tomorrow, which is the -- which makes the secretary of natural
resource look at the comments and
come up with a permitting process
and rules and regulations as we move forward. That being said, are you willing to make this
investment and go forward without
even knowing what the rules of
the road are going to be.
MR. BENSON :
I can tell you that if the
Board approves this application, we are comfortable moving forward with our project with the rules that are in place.

MR. ALLAIN :
In full knowledge that the
rules have yet to be developed
that you may have to live by.

MR. BENSON :
Yes. We understand that.
MR. ALLAIN :
I wanted to make it clear
because in that building over
there, a lot of times people said, oh, well, we made plans and investments and nobody -- nobody told us you were changing the rules, and, you know, I would recommend that, you know, you participate in the process, which is going to start tomorrow, 8:30 in the morning, and $I$ guess it's going to be a fair process. I think everybody needs to be engaged because what we are really to looking to do is protect the land of the

Louisiana. Land is timeless. My
family has been farming land in
this state for over 200 years,
and it's -- people come and go,
but the land and it's
productivity built this state,
and it's important to the state
and its residents.
That being said, I -- just
couple of -- out of my curiosity,
where are you -- do you have a
MISO interconnect application in
place now or are you --
MR. BENSON :
We have a full agreement with
MISO .
MR. ALLAIN:
You have a full agreement.
MR. BENSON :
Yes, sir.
MR. ALLAIN :
I understood these things --
I mean, there is a lot of them coming one after another, and I understand that if you proceed now, it's up to 24 months before you can actually get one of those. Do you have a purchase power agreement? I assume with Entergy because that they are the company in the area. Is that
something that you have in place also.

MR. BENSON:
We do.
MR. ALLAIN :
Okay. Has it -- has that been submitted to the public service.

MR. BENSON :
It has been submitted but not
--
MR. ALLAIN:
It's been submitted but not approved yet.

MR. BENSON :
That's correct.
MR. ALLAIN :
You still have that approval process to go through.

MR. BENSON :
Yes.
MR. ALLAIN :
Look, I just -- I want to
make sure that $y^{\prime}$ all fully understand that the state is
going to protect its interest in the land of the state, and if you are willing to move forward with that understanding, I mean, look, I'm a landowner myself, it's -- I will never tell you I don't believe that anybody here owns land has the right to lease it, for soybeans or sugarcane or corn or solar, if they wish to, but it
has to been some minimum
protection for the State of
Louisiana. We've seen way too many instances with orphans -orphan wells in the past that end up becoming war in the state because there is nobody left to process. We cannot afford that to happen with 800 to 1000 acre farms, you understand. I'm not against solar, I'm really not, but I am against us -- I am for the State of Louisiana getting it right from the beginning and not argue about it into the future.

MR. BENSON :
I agree with you.
MR. ALLAIN:
And have you talked -- have
you talked to your financiers about the added burden, $I$ guess, that this may or may not create.

MR. BENSON :
Yes.
MR. ALLAIN:
I see you are nodding there
also.
MR. BENSON :
No, sir. I'm here to assist him with any questions.

MR. ALLAIN :
All right. That being said, I understand you are aware my bill starts tomorrow where we move forward. You are aware of the house concurrent resolution at speaker base.

MR. BENSON:
Yes.
MR. ALLAIN:

I think Ms. Mitchell is going to explain that next. All right.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Allain.
MR. ALLAIN :
I'll reserve the remainder of my time.

MR. JONES :
Mr. Allain, you have as much time as you need. Thank you very much.

Any other questions or
comments for Mr. Benson? Thank
you very much. Mr. Leonard, do you have something you want to say?

MR. LEONARD :
No, sir. Jimmy Leonard with Advantage Consulting. I was going to be up here to assist if he had any --

MR. JONES :
Thank you very much. Ms. Mitchell. Next on the list.

MS . MITCHELL :
Good morning, members. Mandi
Mitchell, Assistant Secretary,
Louisiana Economic Development,
and I have been asked just to
share some background on a couple
of things that occurred during
the legislative session relevant
to solar projects, which some of
them do come before this Board.
session, Speaker Schexnayder
passed a resolution which urges
and request LED and this Board to
suspend ITEP and any and all
other incentives for utility
scale solar projects through 30
days after the 2022 session. The
through 2022 .
resolution has some technical
conflicts within the body of the
resolution because it does
mention 2021, which was part of a
discussion we had was after this
session, but the speaker's intent
in
through 2022.

After speaking to the speaker
and to some parties directly
familiar with the source of this
issue, which some of them are
here today, the resolution was
filed in response to concerns
expressed by the farming
community stemming from farmers
being concerned about being
unable to compete with solar
companies seeking to lease land with the premise being that it is
because of at least in part of
ITEP and other incentives that
solar companies can pay much
higher lease rates per acre, so
Speaker Schexnayder's end goal
was to slow down those utility
scale farmer investments, give it
some time so as to observe whether or not those projects
would move forward with or without any subsidies or any
incentives.
In addition, it has already
been discussed today by Senator
Allain not relevant to the Board here today because this Board and

LED, we are not regulators, but
just for situational awareness
Senator Allain passed SB 185
which has directed the Department
of Natural Resources to move forward with researching the matter and developing regulations to oversee utility scale solar projects with the particular goal in mind to ensure that there are provisions in place so that those solar projects when completed will restore the land and property to its original status, so decommissioning bond has been mentioned, for example, and Bayou Galion -- Bayou Galion mentioned and committed to have a decommission bond in place.

Lastly pertaining to solar utilities scale solar projects from this session, Senator Mizel
directed Department of Natural
Resources in partnership with the
Department of Agriculture to hold
a public hearing to allow
impacted stakeholders to testify
their issues and concerns with
utility scale solar farms. So that's are the items relevant to the utilities scale solar just for your information.

Regarding today's agenda, of
critical importance to note is that house concurrent resolution 40, the request, it is a request, it doesn't direct the Board to
take any particular action, but
it is an urge and request, but
that request is applicable to
projects with advance
notifications filed on or after July 1st, 2021, so it's not relevant to any projects on today's agenda, and, in fact, there are two solar projects on today's ITEP agenda both of which
had advance notifications filed prior to -- obviously prior to July 1st. Entergy has a renewal request for a project in New

Orleans located on Chef Menteur Highway. It's a \$6 million investment. No new jobs for that project, but it is important to note that Entergy has invested in sustainable initiatives, which is something that we strongly support this administration and LED does as well, and then the project before you at this moment, recurrent energy, has a request for the solar project in Morehouse Parish, and I wanted to share with you a few points about that project and LED's due diligence. There is local support for that project. LED also received a letter of support from Senator Katrina Jackson for that project, which we have and we share that with the Board
members, and this is a \$99
million investment with three new
jobs and about 150 construction jobs with the $\$ 10$ million payroll associated with the construction.

Importantly from the Morehouse Parish local officials is that the project will generate about $\$ 3.3$ million in revenue for them during the period of the abatement revenue that they would not have otherwise received and collected, which drives their support for the project.

Just a couple of other
things. From LED's perspective
we do encourage the Board to evaluate and analyze each ITEP project on a case by case basis given all of the variables and factors presented before you to consider. So just wanted to share that HCR 40 was passed during the legislative session and it is applicable to projects
with advanced notifications filed on or after July 1, 2021. And specifically pertaining to Bayou Galion Solar Project. LED has
the following conclusions for your consideration. It allows the local voice if you pass it today. If you approve it, the locals then have to have their deliberations and notify the Board within that 60 day window. We have been advised that it's
supported by the local economic
development group as well as
local officials. The company has
committed on the record to
decommissioning. We are looking
at in a rural parish, which in
other parts of the country, by
the way, rural communities are
utilizing solar farms as an
economic development
diversification strategy, so it
is something to consider. It
helps diversify the local economy
and create resilience in those communities, but more importantly
if you approve today the locals will have their discussions and deliberations and make that final determination.

So those are just some
comments that Secretary Pierson asked that $I$ make sure and share with the group. We wanted you to have visibility and situational awareness about HCR 40, senate bill 185 and SR 182, and there are some hearings that will begin tomorrow. LED is going to stay
in close coordination with the
Department of Natural Resources as as they proceed with the regulations and we'll make sure and inform the Board if any of those regs would impact those companies accessing ITEP or any other incentive programs.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Ms. Mitchell. Any
questions or comments for Ms.
Mitchell?
MR. ALLAIN :
I do. Comment more than
anything. I hate to disagree with Ms. Mitchell, but I have before.

Keep in mind that the HCR 40, which was passed by the speaker, particularly excluded projects that were under the jurisdiction of the Louisiana Public Service

Commission in the City of New
Orleans because the presumption is that the regulators wouldn't
allow utilities to keep the money, it would pass it on to rate payors, so we -- they particularly excluded that from the -- from the request, and it was an urgent request. It has no -- no effective law. The speaker, in talking to him, wanted to respect the jurisdiction of this body as well
as hoping that this body would respect the jurisdiction of what is to come from the legislature.

So he did make it an urgent
request. But, so, this is for --
for Board's consideration, the
first ITEP project, the first
solar ITEP project before you for approval. Now, I don't want -- I want you to understand that if we pass this and we set a precedent today that doesn't necessarily mean that every ITEP project coming before you would necessarily get an automatic checkmark. There would be looked at and approved one at a time on
their merit. Look, in some of
the merits that we have to look
at is there necessity for the
solar farms. Are there lines
going there. Do they have
capacity on those lines to
transmit the electricity. Are
they -- what type of inspections
need to be done. There is a
whole plethora just besides the
decommissioning of what these
things -- I think the state needs
to be involved with and what goes
forward, so this is the first
ITEP you do realize that in the
HCR that was -- that did exclude
those of the public service
commission, correct?
MS . MITCHELL:
Yes. I don't think we
disagree at all. I think
everybody that you --
MR. ALLAIN :
Well, you said there were two
projects today. You were
insinuating -- you were
insinuating that both projects
were the same and one was
particularly excluded from the house concurrent resolution that was passed.

MS . MITCHELL:
Yes. So, I did not mean to
insinuate that and $I$ wanted the Board to be completely aware of any and all solar related projects on today's agenda.

MR. ALLAIN :
Right. One that $H C R$ applied to and one it did not.

MS . MITCHELL:
Yes. Yes.
MR. ALLAIN :
And, listen, $I$ know you gave some explanation that the farming community had somewhat concerns and that was the reason for the HCR. I talked to the speaker at length when he filed his HCR and a lot of his concerns were the same ones that $I$ have. We don't want to start incentivizing and improving projects that later we have -- that we haven't finished going through the regulatory process of how we are going to commission these things in the future, and in all fairness in
the investors and the communities, we don't want to be the bad guys for, oh, you passed this so you killed projects. No. We passing rules and regulations into the future because we want to protect the State of
Louisiana, it's property, its citizens and those are the things that we want to consider, so I'm trying to -- as he was, was hoping to slow this thing down until all of the investors knew what the rules of the road were going to be, and I think that was his goal as well as mine.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Allain.
MS . MITCHELL:
Yes, sir. Any other questions. Mr. Moller.

MR. MOLLER :
Yeah. I just want to clarify the tax revenue we are talking about. We are talking about a
thousand acres, right, that is
currently formulating?
MS . MITCHELL:
Yes. In Morehouse Parish there is about 265,000 of acres designated as agriculture, and of that there is about 205,000 that is actively being formed leaving about of 60,000 acres available. This project would be utilizing 1,000 acres.

MR. MOLLER :
So equal to one half of one percent of the farm land that is actually in production. And how much property tax is generated by 1,000 acres of farm land right now?

MS . MITCHELL:
I am not sure. I don't have that information. I'm going off of memory from some data pulled together by the Morehouse Economic Development Commission.

About 50,000 being collected
currently today in property tax
from ag in that parish, and this
project would result in an
additional about $\$ 300,000$ in
property taxes per year, and it
looks like Mr. Jimmy has some
information, so I can -- so
currently the property is
producing about $\$ 3,900$ in
property taxes.
MR. MOLLER :
So we would be going from
\$3,900 year in property tax
revenue. Even with the tax
exemption it would be over
$\$ 300,000$ a year.
MS . MITCHELL:
Yes.
MR. MOLLER:
And then how much -- you said how much there are three
permanent jobs associated with this?

MS . MITCHELL:
Yes, sir. And about \$59,000
per year in salary for each of those jobs.

MR. MOLLER:
What's the median wage in
Morehouse Parish?
MS . MITCHELL :
I think the median is 34 , if
I'm not mistaken.
MR. MOLLER :
So almost twice the median salary is what these jobs. What does $\$ 300,000$ a year buy in

Morehouse Parish.
MS . MITCHELL:
Well, I can't speak for the parish, but I'm certain -- I'm certain that -- so part of what you are getting to is what we try to make sure folks know about economic development and is that it is about growing jobs but also about growing the tax base, which is why other parts of the country you see colocation of solar farms with ag being done in creative
projects and that in those instances, and so you have to
look at both the jobs and the tax
base, so, yes, I have been told
that three jobs paying about
\$59,000 means a lot for the
community of Morehouse Parish
because that would then result in
roughly ten additional indirect
jobs. Regarding the additional
\$294,000 in taxes, I'm certain
the local officials will put that
to good use with perhaps some
infrastructure upgrades, you name
it. I don't have the specifics,
but that's the reason the locals
in that community would like to
have the opportunity to have a
discussion on the project and
have that vote at the local
level, which was part of the
spirit of the ITEP reform as
well.
MR. MOLLER:
At the appropriate time I
would make a motion to approve.
MR. JONES :
Thank you.
MR. ALLAIN :
And I further comment.
MR. JONES :
Mr. Allain.
MR. ALLAIN :
I appreciate the irony of Mr .
Moller promoting an ITEP project that only has three employees associated with it, but that being said, I think you hit upon
the local motivation that there is -- there is going to be money when you move for the local governments when you move from ag use value to something different.

I appreciate that. You also
taking land out of -- out of ag
use. Look, ag use is well
defined in every state in this
nation, and if you charge -- and if you charge anything but ag use value on ag land, you would
either, No. 1, drive farmers out of business or, No. 2, sky rocket the cost of food in this country. There is no other alternative.

This country has a long policy of going back to the great
depression of keeping -- of doing everything it can to keep the cost of food as low as it can for its citizens, and ag use value is one of those things. The irony is is that these solar farms are going to displace agriculture.

These solar farms are going to be
a huge incentive for the local
governments and that's why they are going to push them very hard, and we have to make sense and good judgments of how we -- how we do that into the future.

I think you are going to hear from some ag people here today, and $I$ will preface it with it's one percent of ag land except
when it's your land that the
landlord is taking to and your
livelihood that the landlord is
taking to put it into a solar
farm and we keep taking land out
of -- urban strawl (ph) has taken
hundreds of thousands of acres
out of the State of Louisiana
from agriculture, and this is
just another example where it's
going to be. Sure it's going to
be profitable for the local
government, but there is more to
be said for the use of land in
the State of Louisiana than just
making money. And those are my
comments. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Allain. Any
other questions or comments for
Ms. Mitchell? All right. Thank
you, Ms. Mitchell.
MS . MITCHELL :
Thank you.
MR. JONES:

We don't have a motion yet.
Mr. Moller would like to make
that motion. Can $I$ go ahead and get me a second. Mr. Wilson for the second. We have a motion and second.

Now we will hear the comments. Mr. Nates (ph) from Farm Bureau.

Mr. Nates, state your name and your position for the record, please.

MR. NATES :
Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, I'm Joe Nates, Louisiana Farm Bureau Federation. I see some familiar faces here this morning. Good morning to all of $y^{\prime}$ all.

I was told this morning that this measure is going to be approved today regardless of what I say, regardless of anybody else says, regardless with any expression of the legislature on
this matter. That being said, we still felt that Farm Bureau did, we need to go on the record
saying this is a bad precedent
being set in advance of any rules
that have been put in place regarding this.

Our farmers past resolution prior to the session asking to look into the use of the ITEP program by the solar industry, not to stop anything with the solar industry but just to look at the use of the ITEP program.
As Senator Allain was saying
earlier, we have 90 percent of
our farmers are tenant farmers so this one percent of the land was taken out from under the feet of
those 90 percent of those farmers, we don't have a place to farm. That's a concern. We don't think that the ITEP program should be used by solar because they don't manufacture, and just
for frame of reference, the first
line of eligibility of the LED section for ITEP businesses must be classified as manufacturer or related to the manufacturing project in order to receive the benefits of the ITEP program by ITEP is only available for activities related to
manufacturers. Well, our farmers argue that solar is not manufactured, it is captured and then it's transferred, so if I were to walk over to the capital lake and capture a fish and walk it over to Third Street and
transfer it to the chef at the Little Village, did I manufacture that fish? No, I did not, and there is no difference here with the solar industry. We are not against the solar industry.

Farmers have been using the sun since day one. We still do. We grow all our crops, all of our
crops. So we are not against solar at all, we just want to know why the ITEP program needs to be used by the solar industry.

As Senator Allain said earlier,
it creates an unfair advantage to
our farmers who are leasing the
land. They don't have access to
an ITEP program. They don't have access to the high dollars that the solar industry has. They are not complaining about that necessarily, they are interested in looking at the use of the ITEP program. And the question $I$ have, we have sitting in the back of the room in regard to

Morehouse Parish, why is it financially critical for

Morehouse to use the ITEP program when it's said that it was going to be critical for West Baton Rouge, it was said that it was going to be critical for Pointe Coupee that both of those
parishes move forward without the
-- without the use of ITEP at
this point, and we would say that we should be doing the same with

Morehouse Parish. I will be happy
to answer any questions.
MR. JONES :
Questions for Mr. Nates?
Appreciate you being here.
MR. NATES :
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
I have a card for Mr. Rodney
Wood.
MR. WOOD:
I will have to say before I
take a seat, the last 28 years or any other similar seat at the capitol. Good morning to all of you. This feels kind of strange, honestly. Real strange.

This is not just a matter of public policy for me, it's not just a matter of economics, this is a deeply personal matter to
me, and so I have come here this morning. I was at that community meeting last week for my first
time in Washington Parish, I
don't do that kind of thing, to
appeal to those who are the
decision makers. I would ask you to supplement my comments. You can express it better than $I$ can say it, do it from your own heart and your own mind. I'm asking
that favor of you.
Last week we have a fellow
pushing me to make the decision as $I$ was listening to $y^{\prime}$ all talk
just now, he said you just keep asking too many questions, and I
said, well, if $I$ don't ask the
right questions, I'm going to end
up making the wrong decision,
financial decision on a project.
I will tell you about it just a
second back home. We ended up
not doing business because I
asked too many questions. I have
got other things that bother me about this project, I'm going to
ask questions. I went to the community meeting last week and I
heard a lot of people in that room, people like my family that have been in that Parish for a long time asking questions, and there weren't answers, and some of the answers that were given were ones that none of you Louisianans would want to accept, not one of you, I promise you.

My earliest forebearers came in the western part of Washington
Parish in the late 1700 s. We started clearing out the land, establish pastures and they added to the beauty of the land. They added to it. It became even more beautiful, and they began to hand it down. In 1850 my third great grandfather built a house for his son, David Magee, as he was getting married. I own that
house. Some of you kind of do a
double take when I say my wife
and I because you see a clergy.
I'm an Anglican priest. Although
some catholic priests are now
married. My wife and I are now
involved in project of restoring
the old house 170 years old. But
I want you to know that even if
that were not the case, Mr .
Chairman and other members, even
if we were not restoring that
house and even if $I$ did not own
farmland in the State of
Louisiana, I will be no less
passionate about passing on the
beauty of our state to the
generations to come that we
received. Not one bit less. And
I pray the same for you.
I find myself asking
questions why in this beautiful
farmland. Why here? Oh, I get it,
I understand Morehouse Parish
really is in great economic need,
and so is Washington Parish. I
understand that. And there will
be other parishes that are in
great economic need, and there
will be farmers, I have heard the
numbers of what they will make
per year, my land sakes, that
will be hard for anybody to walk
away from, wouldn't it.
Honestly. Unless you had some really deep convictions about a higher value, something that when you leave this world, the few people here may attend your funeral, you are going to pass on
a legacy and you are going to either left behind beauty and all
of the things associated with beauty or you did not. I'm going to say this three times and say
it the second time right here whatever you do wait. I find that when people without being pushed to make a decision now, especially by someone who is in a
position to make a lot of money, and they can appeal to me or my
friends that we can make some money. Maybe what feels to us
like a lot of money. When that push goes on, I always notice something wrong. Always. I'm pleading with you. Listen to the resolution that was made by those who represent the people of the

State of Louisiana. You guys made that resolution. Let's wait. Let's be sure that we know matters pertaining to economics.

Matters to pertaining to
environment. The people have
spoken through the
representatives. Wait. But
somebody, $I$ hear it, has said, no. No. No. Don't wait. We need to do this now. We are going to do it with a thousand acres.

Now, come on, do I need to use words to explain what that's
about. Really. It would be a
waste of your time because you
already know. I find myself
asking questions like why don't
we do this on abandoned oil
wells. Why don't we do it on
existing structures. I mean,
just questions that you may have
good answers to.
As I think about our country,
America the Beautiful, I used to
step outside of my elementary
school in Franklinton, Louisiana
where I learned to sing that song and there it was, America the

Beautiful, and no matter how far
I rode my horse, I could see
America the Beautiful. Recently
I drove my old pickup past the
experience station. It's been a
little white back, and I said, what in the world is that. Soon

I found out. I looked on the
other side of the road of what is
arguably the most beautiful
pasture in the State of

Louisiana. So we're going to do that to this. Somebody in the meeting recommended, well, put bamboo all up and down the side of the road so you won't see these things. Bamboo? That's what I'm going to see in the late afternoon, early evening when $I$ start to head back from Baton

Rouge or my grandchildren or my great grandchildren are out there in that once beautiful land. My word throughout my -- I will be 71 this year. I see some smiling that may be near my age. Through the course of my life, my work
has taken me all over the state.
I love driving through the beautiful farmlands of it in northeast, Louisiana, over on the west side. I have been through all of them. When $I$ was a young man, $I$ was not -- I wasn't a priest, $I$ was involved in business. I have seen it all. To
this day, $I$ love driving past it.
Please, please withdraw that
motion. Please. Please say
let's just wait. Let's do what
the people's representatives have
asked for. Let's just give it
this year. What is to be lost.
What is really to be lost
economically, not much, but if
you move too quickly you may lose
everything that our forebearers
gave us. In the course of my
life $I$ have been in England
twice. Separated by about 20
years, and I watch long term
thinking over there and I love to
go back. I have been there many, many, many times and my work has taken me through England and to
other places. My friends from
England came here to visit me, my
family, I could take them out to
see beauty. Are you hearing me?
We don't know the economic
environmental impact of what you
are considering, but we do know
the psychological impact. We do
know the social impact, and we do
know the cultural impact. We all
know that. It is potentially
devastating. So all I'm asking
is supplement my comments, will
you. All of you. Wait. Just
wait. Please. Thank you.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Wood. Any
comments or questions for Mr.
Wood? Thank you, sir.
MR. WOOD:
Thank you. I appreciate all
of you.
MR. JONES :
We have a card from Mr. Heath Morris.

MR. MORRIS :
Good afternoon.
MR. JONES :
Good afternoon, sir.
MR. MORRIS :
My name is Heath Morris. I
am a sugar care farmer in West
Baton Rouge Parish. I am also a
landowner where we own about 40
percent of what we farm total, so
60 percent of my farm I am a
tenant farmer. We own land about
a mile away from the current
solar project that was built and constructed to the one that I'm
sure a lot of you have passed and
seen. In my opinion, it was a
complete failure for the way that
it looks and it borders other
lands. I became very involved on
a parish level as far as ITEP
because it was my understanding
that the current project that is
coming West Baton Rouge now, $I$
know today we are talking about
Morehouse Parish, I'm going to
give you a -- with all due
respect to Morehouse Parish, I do
think we are setting a bad
precedent today if we do vote on
this, but the reason that $I$ got
involved and was proactive
against the ITEP was because from
what $I$ was understanding that
there are three landowners that
this project in West Baton Rouge
Parish was going to cross, go
across three separate landowners.
There were also three separate
leases dictating reclamation,
cleaning it up, lease values, and
I scratch my head. I said, wait
-- I'm also a contractor, too.
So I scratch my head and I said, wait a minute, if I'm building a house, the Louisiana State

Licensing Board of Contractors
dictates to me how I have to
build a house, and then the parish also dictates to me the code in which $I$ have to follow through that parish to build that house, but for these solar projects, there seems to be nothing, and so I said, well, wait, we've got ITEP now. They
are asking for ITEP, and in south
Louisiana we have, in my opinion,
a higher land, ag land use value be it sugarcane. Sugarcane is at 200 year old industry that we can't just wipe out and replace very quickly, so we're granting a company to come in and granting them an ITEP that is replacing one industry with another, but we're making jobs, but what are we losing on the back end. So I
have been fortunate enough to
meet a lot of people, talk to a
lot of people regarding this and HR 40, and I'm very thankful that it was able to pass and thankful
for Senator Allain's work as well, but $I$ think we do need to step away and push away from the table and say, hey, look, let's -- let's get some guidelines in place. Let's -- let's put some rules in place for an industry that is coming in. And am I
against solar, absolutely not.
Absolutely not. I'm against it with no regulations. I'm against
it with no rules. I'm against it
-- I'm against giving money to an
industry to replace another.
That's what I'm against as a
farmer. I understand I could
lose land to a solar farmer.
That is the landowners right to
do that. I understand. Knowing
that I really cannot compete with
what they can pay a landowner, $I$ understand that, but giving a
huge essentially subsidy to that
energy from ITEP and industry
that is also getting a huge
federal subsidy as well, it's --
it leaves -- it leaves us
wondering, you know, what's next
for our industry. We made a big
investment here, all of the
farmers have, and, you know, we work really hard to push our industry, and, you know, it's my
understanding that the new house
bill, correct me if I'm wrong, is
are we asking to stop no ITEP
until 2022. I just hope that
today that we don't establish a
wrong precedent or a precedent regardless, and, you know, maybe just say let's stop and get the rules in place, so that's all I have to say.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Morris. Any comments or questions for Mr .

Morris? Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER :
I just want to clarify a
couple of things and I appreciate
the concern and I have listened to all of the testimony, but to the idea that this is being rushed, we have had this project on our last agenda and we delayed it, and $I$ voted to delay it to give the legislature time to act, and $I$ thought that was an
appropriate thing at the time, and the legislature did act, and the legislature spoke through HCR 40 and HCR 40 deliberately makes this prospective so it does not apply to this case, so I have to assume that the people, it's representatives knew what they were doing when they made that perspective. And, you know, I don't think -- and, again, so I think this is prospective, and I also think at some point we need to respect the landowners. You know, from everything that I have
heard is, you know, there is a willing landowner who wants to -who wants to lease his property for this. The citizens of

Morehouse Parish appear to be in favor of this, and if they are
not in favor of this, if they change their mind, they still have the opportunity to reject this when it comes to that. All
we are saying if we approve this
is we send it back to the locals
to make that decision, so it
seems to me if this is within the
rule we have given the
legislature time to speak on
this, I really don't understand
what the controversy is. And as
far as setting the precedent, I
think we approved the solar
project already on the renewals,
so we have already set precedent
that we approve ITEP for solar
projects. We are not doing
anything new with this particular
project. You know, the only
difference is, and I understand
that that Entergy and municipal
power was written out of that
resolution, so -- but, to me, I
don't know that seems like a
distinction without a difference,
so $I$ will restate my motion, but
the resolution which was
thought we should clarify
fat
we are saying if we approve this is we send it back to the locals to make that decision, so it seems to me if this is within the rule we have given the
legislature time to speak on this, I really don't understand what the controversy is. And as far as setting the precedent, $I$ think we approved the solar project already on the renewals, so we have already set precedent that we approve ITEP for solar projects. We are not doing anything new with this particular project. You know, the only difference is, and $I$ understand that that Entergy and municipal power was written out of that resolution, so -- but, to me, I don't know that seems like a distinction without a difference, so I will restate my motion, but I just thought we should clarify that the resolution which was
thoroughly debated in two
chambers and two committees does not speak to this because it's prospective.

MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Moller. I have a question and I'm not sure -Mr. Morris, you can return to your seat. Thank you very much.

Unless there are other questions for Mr. Morris. I apologize.

I have a question for staff and I'm not sure who specifically to address this question to, but I would like some further comment on the issue of precedent and the issue of whether solar is a manufacturing or not, and $I$ would like to make sure that we are all on one mind as to how those rules work and how they don't because there's been some questions raised about that in this meeting and I want the record to be clear. So let's answer the
second one first.
Is solar manufacturing -- on
what do we base that?
MS . CHENG :
I think previously other
energy manufacturers have been
approved and allowed by this
Board, so that's how solar has
been treated because they are
also a manufacturer.
MR. JONES :
So it's a matter because they manufacture electricity.

MS . CHENG :
Correct.
MR. JONES :
Is there a code or anything
for solar?
MS . CHENG:
Not to my knowledge.
MR. JONES :
So simply because the Board
has approved solar in the past
that's the basis for the --
MS . CHENG :

Other electricity
manufacturing as well. Other
forms of electricity
manufacturing.
MR. JONES :
Okay. Ms. Bourgeois, do you want to speak to the issue of precedent or do you want to speak to the issue of solar as
manufacturing.
MR. BOURGEOIS :
Tam Bourgeois, executive
counsel for LED and the Board.
First to the point of
manufacturing, you know, that is
as we are aware, there is a
definition of manufacturing of
both constitution and the rules,
and it's the working of raw
materials into where it's
suitable for use which gives
shapes, quality, combination to
matter through an artificial process, and so I think the idea behind the Board approving solar
energy and other electricity
manufacturing processes in the
past is that you are taking one
form of energy and changing it
and putting it out to the string
of commerce, which is also
important to the definition of manufacturing. So this company
takes solar energy, they transfer
it to electricity and then
another company then puts it in
the string of commerce to then go
out to the public. So that
addresses the manufacturing.
We are aware of several -- I
don't have the numbers in front
of me. I can't give you the
names, per se, but both under
pre-executive order and post
executive order, this Board has
approved solar manufacturing
projects. I know at least two,
but I think it's a handful. I
mean, I wouldn't say over ten,
but somewhere between two and
ten.
MR. JONES :
Now, the issue of everybody
seems to be concerned about
precedent and whether this
decision today will serve as precedent. Educated us on this issue.

MS . BOURGEOIS :
Well, I think every decision
you make should stand on its own.
However, there are several
instances now where the Board has
approved projects for solar
manufacturing, so in that sense
there is, you know, quote,
unquote, "precedent" as far as
terms between, you know, real
life precedent and legal
precedent, but, you know, we'll
note that the -- as far as the
law is concerned, the Board's decision is, you know, respected on the law as long as it's not arbitrary and capricious.

MR. JONES :
I'm trying not to wear my lawyer hat. I'm really trying.

MS . BOURGEOIS :
And I'm trying not to make you.

MR. JONES :
And it's not easy, but I think the issue becomes -- and I think what's important for this Board to know is that every case is a case by case decision, and the issue that the Board has to face is not being -- is avoid being arbitrary and capricious. If you approved today's solar farm, it does not mean you approve tomorrow's solar farm if there is a difference, if there is a substantive difference between that farm and in that situation and this farm in this situation, so I don't want -because I want to hear people come from Washington Parish
worried about Morehouse Parish, I understand their concern, but I
also want the Board and the public to understand that if a case can be made that another situation is different from this situation, we are not bound to make the same -- not bound to the same result, so the arbitrariness and capriciousness is, I believe, the issue.

So, from that perspective, we do look at each case on a case by case basis, so I don't want people to walk out of this meeting and say that all solar
farms -- just as Mr. Benson said earlier, not all solar farms are going to be approved. May or may not. I don't know. All depends on what the facts and situation are.

Am I too far field, Ms. Bourgeois?

MS . BOURGEOIS :

No, sir. You are right on point.

MR. JONES :
Thank you. Any other
questions --
MR. ALLAIN :
Quick comment on what you
just said.
MR. JONES :
Absolutely.
MR. ALLAIN :
So, a lot of these previous approvals came about before there was a debate that started, and, you know, $I$ want to try to make a distinction as we -- as we go through hearing and as we debate this thoroughly with the administration and the rules and regulations that come forward, things may change, you know, and I want to make a distinction that the ones that were approved before were before we really sat down and debated the facts of --
and the possible ramifications of what we are doing with these solar farms, so $I$ just want the

Board to understand that if we come up with a set of rules and regulations and the public outcry that is -- that is different from the approvals that we gave before because we didn't have those -when we did those approvals, we just all said, oh, good, solar farms, let's try. But, really, as with everything, with every
bill that goes through the capitol, they come to the table, they are debated, the pros and the cons, and, look, this is not to body to debate this. We're fixing to get together the body to debate that.

The only reason $I$ think was the for the request for the delay was to give those bodies a chance to weigh in on future decisions what us it may have -- it may
change your mind about what we approve and don't approve. So if we are moving forward, now I want -- I want everyone to understand that what we do in the debates that we have should weigh heavily on our decisions in the future.

MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments?
We have a motion. We have a
second. Any further comments
from the Board.
MR. NATES:
Can I add a little bit to my comment before you close, please. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, Joe Nates again.

Mr. Allain was saying that -about the legislature. We are talking about the precedent that were set -- we set a precedents of ignoring the will of the legislature. I just want to make that clear for the record. That's the precedent we are talking
about setting now. It does apply
to Washington and other parishes
because if that thinking of
ignoring the will of the
legislature occurs on this, it might occur on the next one, too.

MR. JONES :
Mr. Nates, you are going to have to help me, how are we
ignoring the will of the
legislature? Explain
specifically.
MR. NATES :
Well, HCR 40, with due respect to my friend Mandi

Mitchell, it actually was amended
back up to a one moratorium and not a one month moratorium after the session, so the will of the legislature is that the department of this Board not approving the applications for the ITEP programs until that one year is up and we have a chance to look at Senator Allain's bill
and put in rules and regs under
the $D \& R$ put in the best practice and guidelines for landowners.

MR. JONES :
That is effective for
applications filed from July 1st forward, correct?

MR. NATES :
Yes. It is.
MR. JONES :
This application is filed
before July 1st, so I don't
believe we are ignoring the will
of the legislature. I believe we
are following it.
MR. NATES :
I don't mean to put words in
Senator Allain's mouth, but I
think Senator Allain asked this
Board to postpone about a week or so until we can look at his
meeting tomorrow morning at 8:30 and look at possible rules there.

Was that request made?
MR. JONES :

No.
MR. NATES :
No. Well, maybe we should
have made it.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, sir. I appreciate your comments.

MR. NATES :
Yes, sir. Thank you.
MR. WOOD:
Is it possible to add about
21 words is all I got to add.
MR. JONES :
As long as it's not 23.
MR. WOODS :
Just may not make any
difference to you. I thought I
was down to ten. I just read
where --
MR. JONES :
Mr. Rodney Woods for the
record. I'm sorry.
MR. WOODS :
Forgive me. Forgive me. I
just read, and some of you read
this, where Bill Gates has
purchased 69,000 acres in
Louisiana, No. 1 in the country.
The next after that is Arkansas, I think at about 47. Y'all read this. And then Arizona at 25, and trails off from there.

I just think we need to
really think long and hard about what we're doing with our
farmland in the state. Just an
added noted just to think about
that. Please. Thank you.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, Mr. Woods. All
right. Mr. Woods knew me when I
was in high school and college,
so I have to be very careful. I
can't give him too much mic.
All right. Any further
comments from the public? There being none. We have a motion and second to approve Bayou Galion

Solar Project. All in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any oppose?
MR. ALLAIN :
Nay .
MR. JONES :
Let's do a roll call so we make the record clear.

MS . SIMMONS:
David Toups.
MR. TOUPS :
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
Guy McInnis.
MR. MCINNIS:
Nay .
MS . SIMMONS:
Rickey Fabra.
MR. FABRA:
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
Manual Fajardo.
MR. FAJARDO:
Yes.

MS . SIMMONS:
Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS :
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS :
Representative Vincent St.
Blanc.
MR. ST. BLANC :
No.
MS . SIMMONS:
Senator Rick Ward.
MR. WARD:
No.
MS . SIMMONS:
Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD :
No.
MS . SIMMONS:
Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES :
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
Senator Brett Allain.
MR. ALLAIN:
No.

MS. SIMMONS:
Representative Scott
McKnight.
MR. MCKNIGHT :
No.
MS . SIMMONS:
Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER:
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
Ann Villa.
MS. VILLA:
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
George Nassar.
MR. NASSAR :
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
Darryl Saizan.
MR. SAIZAN :
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
Ronnie Slone.
MR. SLONE :
Yes.

MS . SIMMONS:
Dr. Shawn Wilson.
DR. WILSON:
Yes.
MS. SIMMONS:
Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. WILSON:
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
Dr. Beverly Thompson.
MS . THOMPSON :
Yes.
MS. SIMMONS:
Sandra McQuain.
MS. MCQUAIN :
Yes.
MS . SIMMONS:
We have 14 yes's and 6 no's.
MR. JONES :
Motion carries.
Mr. Usie, is that it for the
20 renewals?
MR. USIE:
Yes. We have ten timely
renewals. Seven are asking
deferral.
MR. JONES :
First deferrals.
MR. USIE:
20160707, ChampionX, LLC, St.
John the Baptist Parish.
20160762, ChampionX, LLC, St.
John the Baptist Parish.
20160768, ChampionX, LLC, St.
John the Baptist Parish.
20160661, Nalco Corporation,
LLC, West Baton Rouge Parish.
20160707, PT Nalco
Production, LLC, St. John the
Baptist Parish.
20160762, PT Nalco
Production, St. John the Baptist
Parish.
And 20160768, PT Nalco
Production, LLC, St. John the
Baptist Parish.
MR. JONES :
I will entertain a motion to
defer the three Champion
applications and the four Nalco
applications. Excuse me.
Applications for renewals.
I have a motion from Ms.
Villa. Second from Mr. Nassar.
Any questions or comments from
the Board? Hearing none. Any
comments from the public? Hearing
none. All in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS :
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposed? There is no
opposition. Motion carries.
Those seven items are deferred to
the next meeting.
MR. UBIE:
20141484, Alliance

Compressors, LLC, Natchitoches
Parish.
20151305, Entergy New Orleans, Inc., A B Patterson

Solar Plant, Orleans Parish.
And 20150059, PBF Holding
Company, St. Bernard Parish.
MR. JONES :

Entertain a motion to approve these renewals. Motion from Mr.

McInnis. Second from Mr. Slone.
Questions or comments from the
Board? Hearing none. Comments
from the public? Hearing none.
All in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Motion carries. Those
renewals are approved.
MR. UBIE:
We have one late renewal
application.
20150005, Gulf Island, LLC.
Terrebonne Parish. Initial
contract expiration date 12-31 of 2020. Late renewal request date, March 26, 2021.

MR. JONES :
Do we have someone here from
Gulf Island? Do we have someone
here from Gulf Island? Apparently not. Well, please remind the

Board that our practice in the past has been when there is no one here to explain the purpose
for the late renewal that we deny that renewal. Do I entertain a motion for Mr. Slone. Second by

Dr. Woody Wilson. That the
request for renewal be denied.
Any questions or comments from
the Board? Questions or comments
from the public? Hearing none.
All in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There is no
opposition. The motion to deny
the renewal is approved.
Cancellations.
MR. UBIE:
We have six cancellation
request. Calumet Branded
Products, LLC, 20170101. The
company request cancellation.
I'm sorry. 0161. Company
request cancellation in Caddo
Parish.
And Georgia Pacific Wood
Products South, LLC. 20120368,
20130719. 20140920. 20150703 and
20161077. LED was notified by
the company of the facility being
since July 2020 and no
manufacturing is currently taken
place, and this is all Beauregard
Parish.
MR. JONES :
It is my understanding that
the Georgia Pacific request to --
MR. MOSS :
Request to defer. A request
to defer on that project right
there because there is a potential buyer and I think it is from the information that $I$ have.

MR. JONES :
Made from that motion.
MR. MOSS :
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :

Mr. Moss makes a motion to
defer the several Georgia Pacific
Wood Products South, LLC
contracts. I have a second, Mr.
Fajardo. A motion and second to
defer. All in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There being none. Motion carries.

Now, going back to Calumet
Branded Products. Is there a
motion -- a motion from Dr. Woody
Wilson. Second from Dr. Shawn
Wilson to approve that
cancellation.
Any questions or comments from the Board? Any comments from the public? There being none,
all in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES:
Any oppose? There is no
opposition. The motion carries.
And, finally, we have an
appeal.
MR. UBIE:
Yes. One appeal from Sommer
Brothers Enterprises. Contract
20141045 .
MR. JONES :
Do we have anybody here from
Sommer Brothers? Please come
forward.
Would you please state your
full name and your position with
the company.
MR. WOOD:
Freddy Watson. Agent for the
owner.
MR. JONES:
Great. Can you give us
background on this so that
everybody -- we're all on the
same page.
MR. UBIE:
It was deferred from the last
meeting to give the company time
to reach an agreement with the assessors office concerning the taxes paid. It was a late renewal request initially back in the February 24 th meeting. The company -- there was an e-mail
issue of receiving e-mails. It wasn't received. They were appealing late renewal, and after they -- the renewal request was denied, we discovered that they paid taxes on the contract.

MR. JONES :
So if I may, let me -- we have got a lot of things going on here. First of all, just as the immediately proceeding the situation where we had a late renewal and Sommer Brothers had -- what was not here, but in February we were doing virtual meetings and they attempted to communicate with LED but because of e-mail troubles that we had that were pervasive in that
meeting we did not get that message, and so we had denied their renewal despite their request that it be deferred until they can be here. Then we found that, first of all, the statute says that we cannot consider any ITEP or any application where taxes have been paid, and we -although there was originally and representation of taxes that had not been paid, on further review taxes had been paid on the asset, so we had a situation now where taxes have been paid. Now, I understand that there may have been some communication with the assessor, and if you want to bring us up-to-date where we are that would be helpful.

MR. WATSON :
Correct. In February there
was a freeze in Louisiana and
Texas and we cannot get here.
The assessor has agreed to refund
the taxes for 2020, which I
understand should resolve all of
the issues as long as the
exemption is granted with penalty
for late filing.
MR. JONES :
Do we have that in writing
from the assessor?
MR. WATSON :
Yes. It was e-mailed to
Kristin and should as well they
were copied on the e-mail. I
will let them speak on that.
MR. UBIE:
Yes. We did receive it in
writing from the assessor's
office stating that he would
agree to submit a change order
for 2020 once he was given
confirmation that the exemption
was granted.
MR. JONES :
That's an e-mail.
MR. UBIE:
An e-mail.

MR. JONES :
An e-mail from the tax
assessor.
MR. UBIE:
Correct.
MS . CHENG :
It was also sent out to all
of the Board members with the
Exhibit A prior to the meeting.
MR. JONES:
I missed it. I did not see
it. My apologies.
MS . CHENG:
Not a problem.
MR. JONES :
All right. So, ladies and
gentlemen, we have a situation
where we can reverse -- we can
entertain a motion to take up the
appeal, which will be the first motion that I'm going to ask for just to consider the appeal. We have a motion from Mr. Nassar to consider the appeal. Second from

Dr. Woody Wilson. Any questions
or comments on that motion? Any
comments from the public? Hearing
none. All in favor say aye.
ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any oppose? There is no
opposition, so we are now going to consider the appeal. Now the
issue becomes taxes were paid but
the tax assessor is willing to
refund those taxes and remove the
property from the tax roles
assuming this renewal is approved with the penalty. Any questions about that situation?

Ms. Bourgeois, I'm going to
ask you are we on firm legal
grounds by doing it that way.
MS . BOURGEOIS :
Tam Bourgeois for LED and the Board. There have been instances where the past where the Board has allowed that to condition
that taxes not be paid before an
exemption is granted can be cured
by the assessor agreeing to
refund the previously paid taxes.
MR. JONES :
And we believe the e-mail
from the tax assessor is
efficient to meet the statute.
MS . BOURGEOIS :
I believe that has been
accepted in the past. And of
course we can always confirm
later that the assessor does in
fact give --
MR. JONES :
How do you suggest we proceed
with a motion today?
MS . BOURGEOIS :
I think you will be on firm
footing if you chose to --.
MR. JONES :
Maybe I'm old, but e-mails
and those issues make us a little
nervous. Anyway. All right.
Then it seems appropriate then
that we consider a motion to
approve the renewal but because of the late filing it would have
a one year penalty? Is that -- do
we have a motion to that effect.
The motion from Mr. Slone. We
have a second. Second Mr. Saizan.
All right. So the motion is to
approve the renewal with a one year penalty, but I am going to
ask the Board to get something more formal and from the tax assessor to confirm the e-mail.

MS . CHENG:
We can't request a copy of
the change order once it is done.
MR. JONES :
Mr. Slone and Mr. Saizan, I
want to ask y'all to make this
contingent upon getting that
information. Can we do that?
MS . CHENG:
Well, the assessor says he
can't issue the change order
until there is a full --
MR. JONES :

Chicken and egg problem.
MS . CHENG :
Yes. To have a fully
executed contract before he can
issue the change order.
MR. JONES :
Got it.
MS . CHENG :
So we will request a copy of
the change order.
MR. JONES :
Never mind. Seems like a good idea at the time. All right. So we have a motion and second to approve the renewal with a one year penalty.

Any questions or comments from the Board? Any comments from the public? All in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Any opposition? There being none. The motion carries. Thank you, sir. I appreciate you being
here today. I apologize for the mess up.

All right. We now come to what the last time we elected a vice chair, she promptly got
another job in east Texas and moved, so who want -- I'm kidding. But we have a -- now a position of vice chair that is
open. Do we have nominations for that position? Ms. Villa.

MS. VILIA:
I have a motion to nominate the Mr. Slone for the vice chair position.

MR. JONES :
Mr. Slone, are you
entertaining any job offer
outside of the state?
MR. SLONE :
No. I'm not. No. I am not.
MR. JONES :
Okay. Seems like a fair question to ask from me. We have a nomination of Mr. Slone. Any
other nominations? There being none, all in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye. Any opposed? Mr. Slone, welcome aboard. Look forward to serving with you.

MR. SLONE :
Thank you.
MR. JONES:
Now, Ms. Villa, any comments
from the secretary. I have a few
comments. I appreciate the Board members commenting the change that we needed for today's Board meeting and time so really appreciate that considering. It's also the week before 4th of July, so I appreciate everyone taking time out of your day to be here, and since the extended well into the lunch hour, I will be brief.

It's nice to see everyone again. Nice to see everyone's faces and this is the first time
as the chairman said that we are
all back together in full
capacity as well as our staff at
LED is that almost one hundred
percent back in the offices, so
we're very appreciative of that.
I want to welcome again our
newest Board members, Sandra
McQuain from Alexandria. She is
taking Heather Malone's position
on the Board, and we still have one position that is still vacant and hoping to get that filled pretty soon.

With approaching the end of
the fiscal year, on Wednesday of this year -- of this week we are going to finish our fiscal year of FY21 and we'll have a full annual report that we're putting together and make available that highlights all of our efforts with all of our many departments within LED that we have
throughout our state involving
economic development, so just
look for that report that will
basically culminate with all of
our activities for this past
year, which, as we all have, have
been a very difficult year, but
we had some really great
announcements and really good
progress that we made throughout
the state this past year.
And just a quick reminder to
the Board members that ethics did
place out there their training
that we are all required to take so it's never too early to stress
that to the Board as far as going
out and taking your ethics
training. So that's all I have
today. Just want to wish
everyone a very safe and happy
4th of July weekend and enjoy
your time with your friends and
family.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, Ms. Villa.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, anything else for the good of the order? With that we entertain a motion to adjourn. Mr. Fajardo and second from Mr. Moss. All in favor say aye.

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:
Aye.
MR. JONES :
Thank you. See you in August.
(Meeting adjourned.)
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