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Stuart A. Moss
Naheem George Nassar, Jr. Secretary Don Pierson
Darrel Saizan, Jr.
Ronnie Slone
Dr. Beverly B. Thompson
David H. Toups

Staff members present:
Michaela Adegbe
Tam Bourgeois
Kristin Cheng
Brenda Guess
Joyce Metoyer
Mandi Mitchell
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MR. JONES: Good morning. I apologize for a
late start, but welcome to the April 28th, 2021 Board of Commerce \& Industry meeting. We call the meeting to order.

Ms. Simmons, would you call the roll, please, ma'am?

MS. SIMMONS: Good morning.
MR. JONES: Good morning.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor David Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS. COLA: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Guy McInnis.
MR. MCINNIS: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Rickey Fabra.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Manual Fajardo.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Vincent St.
Blanc, proxy for Paula Davis.
MR. ST. BLANC: Here.

MS. SIMMONS: Senator Ronnie Johns.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Present.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Bret Allain.
MR. ALIAIN: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Stuart Bishop.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Don Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Present.
MS. SIMMONS: George Nassar, Junior.
MR. NASSAR: Present.
MS. SIMMONS: Darrel Saizan.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Ronnie Slone.
MR. SLONE: Present.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Shawn Wilson.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.


MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion
carries. The minutes are approved.
Let's move to our Quality Jobs Program.
MS. ADEGBE: Good morning.
MR. JONES: There you are. I was looking.
You are Michaela Adegbe?
MS . ADEGBE: Correct.
MR. JONES: How do I do on that?
MS. ADEGBE: You did perfect.
MR. JONES: Thank you.
Board, this is our new staff member in
charge of Quality Jobs. We'll welcome her. This is her first Board meeting, so let's be gentle.

I think everything will go well this morning, but we look forward to your presentation.

MS. ADEGBE: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Go right ahead.
MS. ADEGBE: Good morning. I have four new Quality Jobs applications: 20180061, Align Technologies, Corp, Orleans Parish; 20180166, Construction Services Group of Baton Rouge, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20190024, RedGuard, LLC, Iberia parish; 20151236, TCI Packaging, LLC, Orleans Parish.

This concludes new applications.
MR. JONES: Great. I would entertain a
motion to approve those applications.
Motion from Mr. Slone; second from Mr. Moss.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: One note, I need to take care of this. Pursuant to when our minutes -- when our agenda went out, we invited -- because we're still under kind of highbred COVID public meeting situation, we asked for those who could not attend the meeting to submit e-mails to the Board. I believe we received four e-mails by the deadline that was imposed. I know one of those, at least, had to do with the QJ Program.

For the record, those e-mails will become a part of the record of this meeting. They will not be read aloud at the meeting, but they will become a part of the formal record and part of the minutes. So I wanted to make that as a note for the Board's information.

All Board members received those e-mails prior to this meeting for their reading and edification. So I apologize, but questions or comments from the public, there was at least one e-mail about $Q J$ that $I$ remember, so.

Any questions or comments from the Board? (No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion
carries.
Renewals.
MS. ADEGBE: I have two requests for
renewals: 20161045, Katoen Natie Louisiana, LLC, East
Baton Rouge Parish; 20160622, Paychex North America,
East Baton Rouge Parish.
This concludes renewal applications.
MR. JONES: Great. Entertain a motion to
approve.
MS. COLA: So moved.

Any questions or comments from the Board -excuse me -- from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion carries.

We have a late renewal, $I$ understand.
MS. ADEGBE: Correct. I have one late renewal. The following contract has filed a renewal after the original contract expiration date. 20150264, Matheson Tri-Gas, Inc., Calcasieu Parish. Contract effective date 5/6/2015, initial contract expiration date 5/5/2020, late renewal request date 10/14/2020.

Options of the Board: Approve the five-year renewal, approve the five-year renewal with penalty or deny the request for renewal. The company representative is not present. They have submitted an e-mail to the LED Board for public comments.

MR. JONES: And that was part of the e-mail package we received yesterday from the staff?

MS . ADEGBE: Correct.
MR. JONES: Okay. This is unusual. We don't get many late renewals for Quality Jobs, but I believe our precedent has been in the past treating these much like late renewals for ITEP Program, and in
as much as if there is more than -- basically a 20 percent penalty for each year that they are late. So this renewal request is about, what, five months late, so the normal process, we're not bound by it, but the normal process for the Board is to grant them a renewal with a 20 percent penalty, so instead of the five-year renewal period, there would be a four-year.

We have a motion from Mr . Moss to approve with a 20 percent penalty.

MR. NASSAR: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Mr. Nassar.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.)
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion carries.

MS. ADEGBE: I have three special requests. One change of name, 20141029, Cobalt Rehabilitation

Hospital, III, LLC is the current company name. New company name, Curahealth New Orleans, LLC, Orleans Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
MR. TOUPS: Motion by Toups.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mayor Toups; second from Mr. Moss.

Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion carries.

MR. MCINNIS: Two requests to add affiliate or LLC members to Schedule 1 of the following contracts: 20170190, ControlWorx, LLC, new affiliate, John H. Carter Co., Incorporated, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20170471, ControlWorx, LLC, new affiliate, John H. Carter, Co., Incorporated, East Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
Motion from Mr. Nassar; second from
Mr. Moss.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion
carries.
MS. ADEGBE: This concludes Quality Jobs.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. Adegbe. You get an A+ for your first appearance.

MS. ADEGBE: Thank you.
MR. JONES: And, Staff, tell Mr. Favaloro she did a great job, much better than he does whenever he (inaudible).

Good morning.
MS. CHENG: Good morning.
MR. JONES: You're not Becky Lambert.

MS. CHENG: I am not, but I am presenting
Restoration Tax Abatement for Becky Lambert.
MR. JONES: Go right ahead.
MS. CHENG: There are four new RTA
applications, starting with 202020483, 1015 Government, LLC, Saint Bernard Parish; 20190062, 1300 Congress, LLC, Orleans Parish; 20200312, Miller-Roy Development, LLC, Ouachita Parish; and 20190059, New Orleans Redevelopment Partners 2 in Orleans Parish.

MR. JONES: Great. I would entertain a motion to approve.

Motion from Mr. Slone; second from Mr. McInnis.

Any questions or comments from the public? (No response.)

MR. JONES: Questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: That was about a weakened "aye" as I've heard in a really long time.

And I know, folks sitting in the audience, it sounds like we're railroading through these. So you
know, the Board gets a package of about 500 pages, and I'm not exaggerating very much.

MR. SLONE: 488.
MR. JONES: I'm sorry?
MR. SLONE: It was 488.
MR. JONES: Okay. I thought I -- again, I want you to know that the Board has done their homework and had an opportunity to review, and so the railroad is not quite as extreme as it may sound.

But, in any event, so we have a motion and a second to approve. I will give $y^{\prime}$ all another chance.

All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Much better.
Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There appearing none, the motion carries.

MS. CHENG: There are two RTA renewals: 20131425, Gulf Ventures Associates, LLC in Jefferson Parish; and 20141445, James and Ashley Fox-Smith, East Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve. Motion, Mr. Moller; second from Mr. Briggs. Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion carries.

MS. CHENG: That concludes RTA.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. Cheng.
Appreciate your help this morning.
Good morning, Ms. Metoyer. How are you?
MS. METOYER: I'm fine. How are you?
MR. JONES: Great.
MS. METOYER: I have six new applications for Enterprise Zone: 20170575, Brown Eagle Group, Incorporated, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20160810, International Paper, Washington Parish; 20180141, Louisiana Fish Fry Products, Limited, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20161064, Metairie Property Holdings, LLC, Jefferson Parish; 20160657, Our Lady of the Lake Regional Medical Center, East Baton Rouge Parish;

20151320, Phoenix Senior Living, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve. MS. COLA: So moved.

MR. JONES: Motion from Ms. Cola; second from Mr. Slone.

Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There is none. Motion carries.
MS. METOYER: I have eight terminations:
20131285, Halliburton Energy Services Incorporated, Lafayette Parish. The existing contract is 10/15 of '16 through $10 / 14$ of 2021 . The request term date 12/30/2019. Program requirements have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated; 20160851, Halliburton Energy Services Incorporated, Bossier Parish. The existing contract is $11 / 16$ of 2016 through $11 / 15$ of
2021. The requested term date is $12 / 31$ of 2019.

Program requirements have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated; 20160854, Halliburton Energy Services, Incorporated, Iberia Parish. The existing contract is $12 / 15$ of '16 through $12 / 14$ of 2021 . The requested term date is $11 / 21 / 2019$. The program have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated; 20151024, Istrouma Hospitality, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish. The existing contract period is 6/1 of '17 through 5/31 of 2022. The requested term date is $12 / 31$ of 2019. The program requirements have been meet, no additional jobs are anticipated; 20150056, Opulence Krishan Hospitality, LLC, Calcasieu Parish. The existing contract is 1/1/17 through 12/31 of ' 20 . The requested term date is $12 / 31$ of 2019. The program requirements have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated; 20151009, The National World War II Museum, Orleans Parish. The existing contract is $10 / 1$ of ' 15 to $9 / 30$ of 2020 . The requested term date is $4 / 1$ of 2018. Program requirements have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated; 20160611, Lake Pines Hospital, LLC, Jefferson Parish. The consisting contract is $1 / 1 / 17$ to $12 / 31$ 2021. The requested term date is 12/31/2019. The program requirements have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated; 20150949, The Kroger Company, Calcasieu

Parish. The existing contract is $7 / 6$ of 2015 through $7 / 5$ of 2020. The requested term date is $12 / 31$ of 2019, and the program requirements have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated.

MR. JONES: Thank you.
Board, first of all, let me just introduce to you a new Board member, Ms. Beverly Thompson. Raise your hand, Beverly. Welcome to the Board of Commerce \& Industry.

DR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
MR. JONES: But I told her she set a new standard, she's immediately recusing herself from consideration of certain matters on her first meeting, but we are going to give her a pass for that. But she'll be recusing herself from the three Halliburton Energy Services matters, that's 20131285, 20160851 and 20160854.

And with that, $I$ would entertain a motion to approve.

Motion from Mr. Moss; second from Mayor Toups.

Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There is none. The motion
carries.
MS. METOYER: I have two amendments requesting change owners of an existing contract. The first one is 20160616, the contract name is Blake Management Group, LLC. And those asterisks are for that was a previous objection that's been lifted. I just forgot to take them off.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.
MS. METOYER: Okay. The old affiliate and owners is Cardinal Ventures, Incorporated; QLS, LLC; Blake Management Group Investments, LLC; The Blake at Bossier City, LLC; The Blake at Bossier City Operating Company, LLC; QSL LLC; The Blake at Bossier City, LLC. The new affiliate and owners are QSL LLC; Terry Glenn Barclay; Judy M. Belk; Christopher Andrew Yarborough; Barclay Senior Living Services, LLC; Ardn Development, LLC; and SDP Enterprises, LLC, and that's in Bossier Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
MR. NASSAR: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mr. Nassar; second from Mr. Moss.

Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion carries.

MS. METOYER: I have one request for name change of an existing contract, and it's the same company: 20160612, Blake Management Group is the existing name. The new name will be QSL Management, LLC. That's Bossier Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
Motion from Mr. Moss; second from
Mr. Briggs.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion carries.

MS. METOYER: I have a special request for US Foods, Incorporated. The company did not meet the minimum job requirements in the first 24 months of their project, and they're requesting to petition you.

MR. JONES: I understand we have representatives from US Foods here today to speak.

Please come forward.
While they come forward, let's go ahead and get a motion on the floor so at least we're in the proper position to consider.

Motion to approve the special request from Mr. Slone; second from Mr. Moss.

And if you will, please introduce yourself and tell us what's happened here.

MR. SCHASTOK: Yes. Thank you. Good morning. Chris Schastok from CBRE Chicago. I lead our firm's site selection practice and was, I think looking back, we're three years into this project. The project started with an acquisition of the F. Christiana facility in Jefferson Parish by US Foods with the intent of doing a significant expansion project. Happy to dive into as much detail as possible, but I think to cut to the matter at hand, timing has been everything, and based on primarily the levels of the river above 11 feet and direction from the levee board and the Army Corps of Engineers, we experienced what I would say are unprecedented delays based on the site's location, the inability to drive any pilings at the site.

Those delays resulted, $I$ believe, in a 200 and -- excuse me -- 179 workday delay, and then the second suspension was 94 days. Obviously that resulted in no activity at the site, coupled with COVID, which came after the fact. And we included in the Board packet river levels staging from the Army Corps of Engineers, which depict the two suspension periods. You'll see that, in total, construction has been delayed 422 days for matters that have been out of our control.

Of note, to date and through the pandemic specifically, U.S. Foods has continued to maintain
payroll employment levels, and they have invested money and tried at any time that we were allowed to get started. We started to pushing as fast as humanly possible to construct on site, but in a location where geography and matters specifically related to the Mississippi River, these are were all out of our control. And then the delays have been frankly unavoidable.

I think it's worth noting that the representative from US Foods wanted to be here. Due to their COVID restrictions on travel, they were unable to. Spencer Donahue, my colleague, and I are here in their place.

The consideration and the request being asked is for a two-year extension to meet those minimum job requirements, and the intent as it stands today is to complete the project by June 30th, 2022.

MR. JONES: What was it? 2023?
MR. SCHASTOK: June 30th, 2022.
MR. JONES: ' 22 .
MR. SCHOSTAK: Yes, sir.
I think we're happy to answer questions. I think in the packets that you have, we also provided another series of commentary with a little bit of an analysis here, but $I$ think the request at hand is truly
consideration for an extension here related to the EZ only related to matters of acts of God.

MR. JONES: Well, I will say act of God and the United States government, which may be the same thing, I don't know.

Because -- and to make sure I understand the situation, and this is my understanding, so it may be incomplete or even inaccurate, so please correct me if I'm wrong, but when you say that activity at the site was suspended by the corps and the levee board, it's my understanding that when the river reaches a certain level above a certain flood point, they actually stop any kind of activity within a certain number, I think it's 1,500 foot of the levee --

MR. SCHASTOK: Correct.
MR. JONES: -- because of the pressure from the river causes problems on the bank. So they basically stop all drilling, any construction activity at all within 1,500 feet of the river. Is that vaguely correct?

MR. SCHASTOK: Yeah, that's correct. It's 11 feet. Specifically it's subgrade activity, which was the biggest demise for our timing. Whether it's truly all activity, $I$ believe you are correct, but for those that are familiar with the site and the West Bank, you
are within a spitting distance of the levee of Mississippi River, so I think everything you said is right on the mark.

MR. JONES: And this year was a little bit of a reprieve because $I$ know that the last two years, the river has been above the flood stage of, I guess the 11 feet of the Carrollton gauge for an extended period. I know year before last, or I think maybe the year before that, it was above up until July or August timeframe. It was a very long time above flood stage.

So is that the situation that you're dealing with and asking for special consideration on?

MR. SCHASTOK: Yes, correct. So specifically there are two charts included in the Board packet. Essentially from February/March till about August/September, we're not just above. We're significantly around 16 feet, and those levels really don't start to drop off to get to below 11 -- excuse me -- 11 till almost Q4. And that was from -- that was a reading from November 1st of '18 through 8/31 of '19. The Carrollton gauge included in the packet as well as another one from $1 / 1$ of ' 20 to $6 / 30$ of ' 20 . So, yes, correct.

And, frankly, the site at the former F. Christiana facility, the expansion was significant.

It's 200,000 feet and about \$52-million in capital. The way the project was, if you go back to the onset, the genesis of this was going to be to phased-approach project to begin with. This is food distribution. We have cold dock storage, cooler and ambient. It was going to be a phased approach whereby, as we added new square footage, we would start to backfill, and we would kind of do a reverse process so at no point was this property offline.

Quickly we ran into river level issues, and then before we knew it, we were in a situation with COVID, which not only contributed to real reduction in industry, but that's not what we're here to talk to you about. That's not why the project has been delayed. It's crystal clear that based on the river levels, we still haven't been able to get this building done, and this (inaudible.)

Our capital has been spent, and, you know, on behalf of U.S. Food's executive team, the intent and desire is to the have this project completed by June 30th, 2022. This still remains the most, I would say, active and laser focus point for the entire business as it's one large acquisition deal that is historically just now how they've done business. So this was especially a unique opportunity, and they wanted to
preserve the interest in our brand and continue to serve. And this was their first entrance in the State of Louisiana.

MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board to U.S. Food?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Do we have a recommendation from the staff on this at all?

SECRETARY PIERSON: The growth of the food industry is a targeted area of interest to Louisiana Economic Development. We're in strong support. We see other companies making other investments across the nation, so we're really pleased to have both the support of an existing operation here in Louisiana become a now, in certain aspects, an important venture going forward. And I feel like it's a great way for us to grow our footprint against the backdrop of the corps of engineers shutting down your ability to drive pilings, against the backdrop of COVID and all of the disruption of business activities that we've experienced, we understand the need to ask for an extension. We do recognize it's out of the normal course of activities and operations, but we're very supportive.

And, Mr. Chairman, there may be others that speak from the economic development community at the
local level that are also in support of the grant for the extension.

MR. JONES: Okay. Let's -- any questions? I think we need to clean up the motion a little bit because I think we have a straight motion to approve, but I think we need to determine what kind of extension we're granting. So it's a two -- we're looking at a two-year extension; is that correct?

So I would like to, just for my comfort, can we amend the motion to clarify that we're granting an extension of two years, and that date would be, what, 20 -- December 31 of 2022?

MS. METOYER: It would be June 30, 2022.
MR. JONES: June 30, 2022.
MS. METOYER: Because that project began 7/1/18, that would take them to June 30, 2022.

MR. JONES: Okay. So what I would ask to do is to make clear that we're amending the proposed motion to grant a two-year extension until June 30, 2022.

Okay. Mr. Slone approves.
Who was my second?
MS. SIMMONS: Moss.
MR. JONES: Mr. Moss, you approved that amendment?

Mr. McInnis, did you have a question?

MR. MCINNIS: No, sir.
MR. JONES: All right. So the motion as it stands today is to approve the extension till June 30, 2022, which is a two-year extension.

Any questions or comments from the public? Any others want to speak about this motion?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?

I'm sorry. Is there -- please come forward. Just state your name.

MR. BOLOGNA: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and Mr. Secretary. I'm Jerry Bologna, the President and CEO of Jefferson Economic Development Commission.

We've had a very strong relationship with US Foods since the advent of this project, and they're not the only one in Jefferson that's experienced this. A number of our companies have dealt with historically high river levels for a historically long period of time, and it set back a number of our major projects.

But my comments, perhaps just to give this Board an additional comfort level, there are a number of local incentives that were offered through the parish that flowed through JEDCO. Our board has already taken action to extend their period of time because we
anticipated this request would come as well. And, additionally, I had occasion to have dinner last night with Senator Pat Connick, whose district U.S. Food resides in, and he could not make this meeting, but wanted me to share with you that this request had his support as well.

Everything U.S. Foods has done to date has been in good faith and they've operated very well and we've enjoyed working with them, so we have no concerns moving forward, and I want to retain this relationship with them.

MR. JONES: Great. Any questions or
comments for Mr. Bologna?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. Any other comments or questions from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Are we ready to vote?
All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There is none. Thank you very much for your investment in the state.

MR. SCHASTOK: Thank you.

MS. METOYER: Mr. Chairman.
MR. JONES: Yes, ma'am?
MS. METOYER: We need to actually approve the application because it's separate from the others.

So it's 20180231, U.S. Foods, Incorporated, Jefferson Parish.

MR. JONES: I thought that's what we just did.

MS. METOYER: You approved the motion for the extension, but the application wasn't listed with the other applications. It was listed separate.

MR. JONES: Okay. Got you.
So I would then entertain a motion to approve this application.

MR. NASSAR: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mr. Nassar; second from Mayor Toups.

Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")

MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There is none. Motion carries.
MS. METOYER: That concludes EZ. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you, ma'am.
All right. That brings us to the Industrial Tax Exemption applications. Ms. Cheng, Mr. Usie, how are you doing today?

MR. USIE: Good. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Welcome. Who goes first?
MR. USIE: We have one pre-Executive Order new application: 20110659, Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC in Cameron Parish.

MR. JONES: All right. Let's get a motion on the floor. I think we have some speakers on this application.

Entertain a motion to approve.
Motion from Mr. Moss; second from
Mr. Briggs.
Any questions or comments on Sabine Pass Liquefaction from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: None from the public.
I'm sorry.
MR. WALTZER: Hello. My name is Joel

Waltzer. I'm here on my own behalf and on behalf of Together Louisiana.

I have submitted yesterday written comments, and that would include this, but the written comments also included a couple of pages of what $I$ would call are, I guess, general objections, or basically what I did is $I$ went through and went through the law and basically made a short listing of the various things that we need to be doing as a Board, and it's annotated. So I urge you-all, particularly if you're lawyers, to review what I've done and my work and hopefully apply the rules that we draw out of the Constitution and the cases and the statutes in the application of your job as fiduciaries sitting here on the Board of Commerce \& Industry.

I really want to focus today not so much on -- you know, I mean, Together Louisiana has obviously been here before and has voiced general concerns and thoughts about ITEP, the program, and the equities of it and, you know, pointing out the costs, but today I'm going to focus on the actual rules of the ITEP program as it's been promulgated, dully promulgated by the BCI and point out instances where $I$ think we need to make sure that the Board is making decisions that comport with the rules.

I'm going to start with Sabine Pass
Liquefaction. Sabine Pass, you know, 2009, 2010, you know, Cheniere Partners announced that it would sell a significant portion of Sabine Pass LNG terminals, buy directional processing capacity through a Chinese company, ENN, and was waiting on the decision on whether to green light the construction. The company itself continued that it was going to make four -- and I'm just going to -- this is in the comments, but I'm going to just summarize it -- that basically they're going to make four trains, LNG trains. These are facilities that obviously are used to export liquified gas. And they concluded, you know, basically what they did and they submitted an application for an ITEP in 2011, Application 20110659-ITE. That application described a \$6-billion project as a liquefaction project. The project start and end dates were given, importantly, as January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2016. No final cost affidavits had been found in the LED Fastlane system. At least there's no indication in the system that they've been filed. And these are important because they gave you the actual amount of funds that are constructed. The application, the other one, was for \$6-billion on the nose, and the Board of Commerce \& Industry approved the $\$ 6$-billion tax exemption prior to
the project's completion. So 6-billion was obviously an estimate, and, yet, 6-billion is their number that we see before us today.

Subject to that, there were one, two, three, four, five other applications that were also filed within this particular application number that were for the original trains and the addition of two more trains, LNG trains; right? And then there were three that did not refer to new construction. The one before you today is one of the three that did not refer to original -the construction, or at least, in part, based on the project description.

> So all of the dates on the three applications that talked about upgrades and additions of miscellaneous additions all have effective dates after the effective date of the rule change, and all had project start dates and project end dates that were after the date of the effective rule change. So -- and, yet, this is being proposed as it is being tagged on to the original project 20110659.

We can only surmise that it's kind of being shoehorned in there; right? And we believe, because the dates happened after July of 2016, which is the trigger for the newer sets of regulations, that this ought to be considered under the newer sets of -- properly
considered under the newer sets of regulations. That's the first piece of our comment.

The second piece of our comment goes to, on this one in particular, and this is 20110659-H, is that, you know, Sabine Pass has received extremely favorable treatment and is mostly entitled to mega project status under the rules. Its facility is returning billions of dollars to its shareholders, and they're paying off large amounts of debt to its credit, but Sabine Pass must also pay the small share of the property taxes it would otherwise owe on projects that did not start prior to July of 2016. And that's required by the BCI rules from the 2018 rules Section 501, I think. It says, you know, if it commenced after July of 2016; right, then the new rules apply or the '17 rules or the '18 rules.

Under any circumstance, though, they are describing upgrades to equipment. Upgrades are replacements, and under the 2011 rules that apply and the 2017 rules that apply and the 2018 rules that apply, in other words, under all the regulatory schemes that we have as our little menu here, they're required to deduct out the original cost of the equipment when they're getting -- when they're getting an exemption. And we haven't seen any proof that they submitted the original cost of the equipment. They are submitting -- from what

I can tell, they are submitting their application simply lists the value of the equipment that they claim that they're adding.

So my second piece is if you're going to approve this, you have to approve it in compliance with the rules. It's one of the things, you know, an agency or an administrative body or a board has to abide by its own duly-promulgated rules, and the rules say that you have to deduct out the original cost from the amount that you're requesting to be exempted.

Those are my two comments. So, one, that the new regime should apply, and, two, regardless of which regime applies, that deduction needs to be made for the original cost of the equipment.

MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?

Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Thank you for this.
Is there somebody from Sabine Pass LLC or LNG who can address these concerns, and particularly how -- you know, if this project started in 2020, how does it fall under the pre-2016 rules?

MR. JONES: Well, I don't think that's a question for Sabine Pass as much as it is for LED.

MR. MOLLER: Yeah, for LED. I guess I'd
like Sabine Pass to answer the second question about whether they deducted the value of the original equipment.

MR. USIE: They did obtain a front-end contract. The first number without any letter behind it indicates the front-end contract. That was mentioned before. So these are just phases of the overall project.

MR. JONES: Mr. Usie, you're going to have to explain that a little bit more. Your statement is correct, but the significance of your statement is that under our pre-EO situation, there was this -- we have historically handled all of these is if it's a pre-EO project, if it's a legitimate extension of that pre-EO project, it's treated as pre-EO; therefore, all of it's treated as pre-EO. Is that accurate?

MR. USIE: Yes.
MR. JONES: So the significance of the pre-EO contract dates is that it brings, it pulls everything that comes thereafter that is legitimately related to that original contract with it. Is that accurate?

MR. USIE: It's an estimated end date of the contract. It can go beyond the estimated completion date.

MR. JONES: Understood.
MR. MOLLER: So at what point does routine upgrades and maintenance work happen at this facility stops falling under the old 100 percent rules and start coming under the new rules?

MR. USIE: It's my understanding this is not routine maintenance on these applications. It's still part of the new construction. That's something the company could further explain, but it's not maintenance.

MR. MOLLER: Okay. So it's --
MR. WALTZER: If I may.
MR. JONES: Mr. Waltzer, I'm sorry, you don't have the floor yet. Right now, this is between the Board and the staff and then the Board, and I think we're going to have a representative. And then I'll recognize you at the appropriate time.

MR. WALTZER: Thank you. I'm sorry.
MR. JONES: No worries.
MR. MOLLER: So this -- is there -- what are they building that's new here? I guess that's what I don't understand.

MR. USIE: We can get a project description and read it out loud.

MR. MOLLER: It says "Machinery and equipment additions to support operations and
construction, including HVAC access, electrical and lighting upgrades." So that means there's already something there, and, I mean, that tells me they're kind of improving and upgrading something. That doesn't seem like new construction to me.

MS. CHENG: The company is going to have to explain further how that relates back --

MR. JONES: Can we have a representative from the company come forward, please?

Thank you, Mr. Waltzer.
Please state your name and your position with the company, please.

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: Good morning. My name is Andrea Carter-Virtanen. I am managing tax counsel for Cheniere Energy.

MR. JONES: I'm going to ask you to lower your mask so that we can hear you better. That would be helpful. Thank you.

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: I was trying to be --
MR. JONES: And I appreciate that very much.
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: So 1 will repeat that. My name is Andrea Carter-Virtanen. I am managing tax counsel for Cheniere Energy. It's a pleasure to be before you this morning.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

So, Mr. Moller, maybe you can direct your question to --

MR. MOLLER: Yeah. I'm just trying to understand how this qualifies as kind of new construction under the original EO when I see the word "upgrades" in three or four places in this very brief project description.

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: Yes, sir. So we are constructing a liquefaction train facility, five of which are operational, and we are still under construction for the sixth train.

The word "upgrade," I apologize if that causes you some consternation. As you might imagine, there's additional infrastructure that's required when you put a new liquefaction train in place, and as part of that, then there is an expansion of the HVAC requirements, the lighting requirements and electrical requirements, and I lumped all of that together and called them "upgrades." I did not intend to imply that those were upgrades to things that were existing.

We carefully monitor our asset numbers, and the amounts that we're reporting to the Board reflect new asset numbers that were placed into service in 2020.

MR. MOLLER: So it's not replacing existing equipment, it's new equipment?

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: No, sir. These are absolutely new assets that were placed in service during 2020.

And if I may, I did take some notes while the gentleman was speaking and denigrating our project, if you will.

Our 2011 application was filed -- well, what became a 2011 contract was filed, I believe we started these conversations with LED in 2010, perhaps slightly before. It was before I joined the company, but I know that those conversations were going well in advance of the contract being executed by LED.

At the time, the project was for con- -- the project that was before FERC, which is the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, who has authority to approve and authorize the beginning of construction on liquefaction projects, was for the construction of a six train liquefaction facility. At the time of the application, we were preparing to issue notice to proceed with respect to four of those six trains, and so the project description on the front-end advance that we put before the Board was for the construction of four liquefaction trains of these six that were being approved by FERC.

And at all times, with LED, it was
understood that we intended to construct six trains. We just had to find enough customers willing to buy enough LNG to support the construction of six trains. And at that point in time, we had had customers for the first four, and so that's what came forward.

The $\$ 6$-million estimate absolutely was just that. It was an estimate. We have significantly exceeded that amount having made over $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 - b i l l i o n ~ o f ~}$ investment in Louisiana with respect to this project. And as I mentioned, we're still under construction, so more is to come both with respect to Train 6 as well as with respect to the third berth. Right now we can have two vessels in two separate berths, and we're recognizing that a third would help support the operations of a six train facility, so we are constructing a third berth to enable us to better accommodate our customers' vessels.

The phases that we mentioned, so you also took exception to the fact that there has never been an affidavit of final cost put in with respect to the front-end contract, and that is because I have not placed assets in service pursuant to that front-end contract. Instead, what we have done is we have utilized phases, which is what you see in that Letters A through what is now I before you because those represent
assets that have been placed in service in any given year, but the project is still ongoing. So if we were to place assets in service under that front-end advance contract, it's my understanding, in conversation with LED and how they administer the front-end advances, that would signal that the project is done, that we're no longer constructing assets, and that's not true. We're still very much underway, which is why the phases are what you see before you.

He is correct that not each phase represents an LNG train. As you might imagine, there's certain infrastructure that you need to put in place along the way, and in any given year, if we had assets that were placed in service that were -- I'm sorry -- placed in service ahead of completion of the construction of an LNG train, then that becomes a separate phase before the Board. Off the top of my head, I know that Phase $A$ and $B$ were two such of those, and I before you is as well.

When you see an LNG train coming before you, it is for billions of dollars. As you saw, I think our last one was -- G. Thank you. Phase G, which was for us placing Train 5 in service, and so it's a much longer packet because there are a whole lot more assets associated with that and the value of that definitely increases.

I will stipulate that all of them are for new construction, as I said, and I hope that that's clear.

Let me -- and I also appreciate that when the Executive Order was placed in service, the Governor made it very clear that the state intended to honor existing agreements that were already in place, and this contract was one of those agreements that we had an agreement with the state that we were going to construct six trains as soon as we had customers to buy six trains worth of LNG, and that is what we have done. And we thank you and the state for honoring that commitment.

I'll pause there if there are any questions. I also had a statement prepared about us, but I figured we'll jump straight to his questions.

MR. JONES: I have a couple of questions. First of all, this phase, I guess, H.

MS . CARTER-VIRTANEN: I.
MR. JONES: I. Is this the last one?
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: No, sir. We have Train 6 still under construction. We project that will be complete in 2022. There are assets that may be placed into service in 2021. I almost said '11. It's 2021. So there may be assets placed in service this year ahead of us completing Train 6. And then the third
berth is projected for completion in 2023. So conceivably there may be three additional phases before you, the one for 2021 assets, the Train 6, and then the third berth.

After that point, we have recognized, and I've previously committed to the Board that we appreciate that at that point, this front-end contract is over and that we are now operating under the post-Executive Order rules.

MR. JONES: Okay. Of the original, the original trains that have been completed, how many have been actually put into service?

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: We have five LNG trains in service now.

MR. JONES: And when was the first one put into service?

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: 2016, I want to say.
MR. JONES: 2016?
MS . CARTER-VIRTANEN: 2016.
MR. JONES: And so am I correct that 10 years from 2016, the 10-year exemption, will expire; is that --

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: Correct, yes, sir.
MR. JONES: So in 2026, might be paying in 2027, those assets will go onto the tax rolls of Cameron

Parish; is that correct?
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: That is correct.
MR. JONES: Do you have an idea of what those tax payments will be at that time?

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: I came prepared with the taxes that we have paid on the regas facility, and so I'll back up and give a little bit of a history, and while I'm talking, I'm percolating in my brain. I'm trying to dig back in my mind to files that $I$ know that we have.

MR. JONES: Okay.
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: But we began the construction of a regas facility back when the world was very different with respect to price of natural gas. We were approached to be an import of natural gas. That construction included five LNG tanks. The first two with liquefaction berths, as well as various what all of the equipment would allow you then to regasify LNG. So we would import it, add liquid and then we would regasify it and place it into the pipelines for our customers at what was then deemed a cheaper price.

2008 fracking began, and the world changed. We continued to operate as an import facility because we have contracts that we had to fulfill and stand ready to import gas and regasify it to put it in the pipelines.

We have two phases for what is Sabine Pass LNG, LP, as you'll appreciate further on the agenda that you'll see both names before you. And that is the entity that owns the regasification assets that LNG tanks, the liquefaction berths -- I'm sorry -- the berths for the vessels, liquefaction or import, and the associated infrastructure. Both phases of that project have now come off of ITEP, and we have made payment into Cameron Parish. Last year we paid over \$16-million in property taxes. That represents 33 percent of the parish's total tax revenue. And we are one of two LNG facilities that had regasification, so it's not just us that is now providing significant tax revenue to the parish, but $I$ am pleased to report as of last year, that was the case.

Of course, given consideration to the hurricanes, $I$ worked closely with them to make sure that we have ACH transfers. I didn't want them having to wait or trying to find a check, and so we worked all of that out and told them as soon as those invoices were ready, to get them to me and we will immediately issue payment. And so we were very happy to offer that as an additional leg of support.

MR. JONES: What was the value of the assets that came online that you just spoke of, liquefaction?

What was the value of it; do you know?
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: It is --
MR. JONES: The assessed values.
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: North of a billion dollars, somewhere less than two.

MR. JONES: So if north of a billion represents 33 percent of the parish's budget --

MS . CARTER-VIRTANEN: Correct.
MR. JONES: -- then 6-billion or 20-billion is going to start representing -- I spoke to some officials in Cameron and told them that they need to expect to become the Dubai of Louisiana.

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: I have made similar comments and assured them we will forever change their tax footprint.

MR. JONES: The benefits of projects like this to parishes like Cameron, or, frankly, any parish, long-term are astronomical, and so I respect the position that Mr. Waltzer and others with Together Louisiana take. And I think it's important that we look closely at these programs, we look closely at the projects that come before us, but sometimes we can be so deep in those weeds we forget the long-term benefit.

I turn to St. James Parish, Mr. Nassar, and what benefit the refinery did for St. James Parish for,
how many, 30 years?
MR. NASSAR: Over. Right. Over 30.
MR. JONES: And the impact that the closing of that refinery had in tax revenues. And I thank goodness, I think St. James thanks goodness, that at some point in time, the Board of Commerce \& Industry has sometimes said thank you and said yes to an ITEP application some 30 years ago.

And so I'm, again, I think the work and the comments that we hear before this Board asking this Board to pay close attention to our regs, I think we do. I think asking us to pay close attention to these projects, which we do, but I never hear anybody come in and say, from a parish, that says "Thank you for coming in and investing 30 percent of our budget" in one fell swoop. And if I sound a little evangelical about this, it's because I am. Unapologetically.

If anybody wants to "Amen" you can. But I think we need to keep the entire picture in mind, not just the pieces and parts. And so speaking as for me, for Jerry Jones, thank you for the investment you've made in Cameron Parish, thank you for the investment you've made in Louisiana. It has not gone unnoticed.

MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: I thank you very much, and the Board as well. I can tell you that us being
able to reach a financial investment decision on this project without an ITEP would have been incredibly difficult having been the first company to be approved for an export facility and being a smaller fish in that pond at the time, to be able to get financing for billions of dollars was not easily done.

This project -- this program, rather, was vital to us being able to accomplish that, so we thank for you for your support.

MR. JONES: I remember the presentation where your CEO stood up and said we were building an import facility and committed whatever the number was. Maybe a billion. I don't know. It was a big number. And then I went to my Board and said "Okay. We want to change this. We now want to export. We've committed all of that to an import facility, now we want to make it an export facility." And I would have loved to have been a fly on the wall at that Board meeting to discuss that.

But, anyway, any questions or comments -further questions or comments from the Board?

Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Boatner.
MS. BOATNER: Rhonda Boatner with Didier Consultants. I just want to make it clear that, to answer your point, once this sixth train and third berth
is completed, we will begin putting the advance notifications under the new rules. So it's understood that once this project is complete, they will fall under the new rules.

MR. JONES: Thank you.
Any other questions or comments from the public concerning Sabine Pass Liquefaction?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any further --
MR. WALTZER: I do have just one.
MR. JONES: Sure, Mr. Waltzer. Come on. I'll grant you another minute.

MR. WALTZER: Thank you.
First of all, I really was not intending to denigrate the company. I'm looking at, for one, I was sourcing the statements from Cheniere. And then secondly, I mean, I've been following this and obviously know how difficult it was for them to get financing and everything else. Whatever you think about the project or that kind of it, I mean, it's a lot of money, and I'm not denying that.

Part of the problem comes when you submit
the original application and the project description says "liquefaction project" and it doesn't provide any details. And I understand that there were meetings. The project descriptions have to mean something because that's what $y^{\prime}$ all rely on when you're making these suggestions. It doesn't mean, however, that they should not be submitting final cost affidavits because that's going to be important for assessors later on, and it's part of your regulations. And from what I can see in FastLane, there's only one project that has been, and that was $20110659-A$, where a final cost affidavit was submitted, and, yet, you know, all of these other ones, you know, they've said that ending the project close date is a year ago, so...

MR. JONES: Fifteen seconds.
MR. WALTZER: And that's all. And I just wanted to make sure you understood that $I$ wasn't denigrating.

MR. JONES: I don't think he was denigrating the project. I think he was denigrating the Board, but that's okay.

MR. WALTZER: I wasn't denigrating the Board.

MR. JONES: I'm accustomed to it. You're not.

Thank you, Mr. Waltzer.
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: Then perhaps what I took exception to was you being denigrative on behalf of our project, but I appreciate the clarification, sir.

What I will add is that the rules require that for each contract that's executed and approved by the Board, executed by the Governor, you have 90 days from that execution to submit a project completion report. That project completion report then applies to that specific agreement. For each one of those phased contracts that we have phases under the front-end advance, we have submitted project completion reports within 90 days of the Governor executing the contract.

Affidavits of final costs, similarly, are also required for each contract within six months after execution of by the Governor, and so for each of those phases, again, there have been affidavits of final cost that have been submitted in compliance with that requirement. In fact, I'm finalizing affidavit of final cost for project for Phase G, as in "Jerry," and my husband's namesake, and H, as in "hippo." We'll say those affidavits of final costs are due before July 5th.

Rhonda keeps me honest on the date, so I appreciate that, but all of that has been done in accordance with the rules. If they're not readily
available or visible in FastLane, I can't speak to that, but I can assure you they have, in fact, been submitted.

MS. BOATNER: May I speak again?
Rhonda Boatner with Didier Consultants, and I'm not sure if Hud and Kristin can verify this or not, but during this project, LED has had a system change, so there may be affidavits of final costs that were paper copies that may have not been migrated with this new system. And so we have paper copies of every one of the affidavits of final cost and project completion reports from the very beginning.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.
All right. Any further questions, comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Are we ready to vote?
We have a motion and a second to approve; am
I correct?
We do have a motion and second?
MS. SIMMONS: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, the motion carries.

Thank you very much.
MS. CARTER-VIRTANEN: Thank you.
MS. BOATNER: Thank you.
MR. USIE: Next we have one new
post-Executive Order application following the 2017 rules: 20170399, Plastipak Packaging, Inc. in Rapides Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve. Motion from Mr. Moss.

MR. NASSAR: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Mr. Nassar.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, other than Mr. Waltzer's phone. I'm sorry, guys.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, motion carries.

MR. USIE: Next we have 21 post-Executive

Order applications following the 2016 rules.
MR. JONES: Just one second, Mr. Usie. Mr. Pierson.

SECRETARY PIERSON: As part of the procedure for today, we have one that's included in those 21 applications for Procter \& Gamble, and I think we're going to need to make sure that we have an infinite discussion regarding that particular application. We just want it noted on the record so that as you proceed --

MR. ALLAIN: Mr. Chairman?
MR. JONES: Yes, Mr. Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: I understand that there is at
least one solar project included in this. In light of there being a -- the Speaker of the House has put forth a referendum to do a more touring on them so the state could further study those, and I also have a bill, which is Senate Bill 185, that directs the Secretary of Natural Resource to develop and adopt regulations governing solar devices and property leases for the expiration and development and productive solar energy, I would respectfully request that we delay action on these. I don't think it's appropriate for us to incentivize these until the legislature has had a chance to weigh in on it.

The concern -- one of the major concerns is having the -- protecting the state lands and having the ability to decommission these things. As you know, we have a huge problem with solar -- with orphaned wells in this state, over 4,000. It's doubled since I've been in legislature. We don't want to create thousands of acres of land that have no means to be decommissioned in taking them out of production in the state, and I think it's dependent upon the state to look at this.

So in light of those two, I would not kill, but $I$ would like to delay action on these items until after legislative session and process is complete.

MR. JONES: Okay. Mr. Allain, so to be clear, you're asking to defer two -- I think there are two solar projects in this list. Is that right Mr. Usie?

MR. USIE: One is already requesting deferral.

MR. JONES: Which one is that?
MR. USIE: It is 20200422, Bueche PV1, LLC in West Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. JONES: And the other one?
MR. USIE: The other solar one is 20210138, Bayou Galion Solar Project, LLC in Morehouse Parish.

MR. JONES: So it is Mr. Allain's motion
that we defer each of those two applications; is that correct?

MR. ALLAIN: That is correct, until after the legislative session and action thereof.

MR. JONES: So we have a motion to defer until the adjournment of the legislative session.

Do we have a second?
MR. ST. BLANC: I second.
MR. MCINNIS: Second.
MR. JONES: Mr. McInnis and Mr. St. Blanc. We have two seconds.

Any questions or comments from the public?
Come on, have a seat.
MS. KING: My name is Kay King. I'm the CEO of Morehouse Economic Development Corporation in Morehouse Parish where the Bayou Galion project is located.

We have been working on solar projects in Morehouse Parish since 2015. The delays and the things that have taken place and just trying to determine what the rules are have delayed and delayed and delayed. I'd like to point out some things that we've had meeting with all of our public bodies, and not an official meeting, but they're all ready to go, and we have enthusiastic support for this project. I mean, because

I just want to point out some things, and when you were talking about tax base, but first I'm going to address jobs.

Morehouse Parish has 8.3 percent unemployment rate at the moment. We lost our paper mill back in 2008. It's been a very difficult time, even though we've made great stride in the parish. We look at solar development as a way to develop another sector in our parish. And I'd just like to point out some facts.

We have 265,000 acres of agricultural land in Morehouse, and we have 162,000 acres of timber. When we look at the percentage of land in Morehouse Parish that's in use-value assessments, it's over -- by my calculation, it's 84 percent. 84 percent of our parish is in use-value assessment, and the state regulates that. And just to give you an idea of what that is in one assessment in this state is $\$ 42$ and a penny per acre. That's the assessed value.

So when you begin to look at the tax base that we have -- so I had a lot of time in 2020 and I studied the budget and all the taxes collected and we have \$13.6-million. That is our total parish and local taxes, \$13.6-million. That's our total ad valorem taxes, and we're trying to maintain and provide services
for 508,000 acres. We have a large parish. It's a struggle. It is a tremendous struggle.

This project would not only bring millions of dollars in sales to our school, \$1.2-million to our school system in sales tax, that's the estimate, $\$ 900,000$ to our sheriff's office, and 300,000 to our parish, and that's just the sales tax. And then the diversification of this, of just the improving our grid, you know, making us more viable to attract other industries.

We hope that it's three jobs, but the significant amount of three jobs in Morehouse Parish is that it's three good-paying jobs with a population of 25,000. That's the equivalent, as someone has pointed out to me, that's the equivalent of about 60 jobs in Baton Rouge.

Now, the other thing is the project would bring around 150 jobs over the construction period. We have an enormous amount of blue collar workers, we have people that do construction work, we have people that work offshore, they go off. We have people that when they get laid off at a wind farm project, they come back home. They send their money home. So I look at those 150 construction jobs as a way to improve the workforce that we have, that they'll be able to acquire skills.

We diversify their skills to be able to go out and acquire different types of work and bring their money back to Louisiana and bring their money back to Morehouse Parish. And that I understand -- I understand some of the concerns, but these solar projects do not dilute. They require no water. They just -- they don't use the roads, they don't tear up the roads. They just sit out there and collect sunshine, and I don't see a problem when you have thousands of acres of land.

I mean, these wells, and I understand what the gentleman is talking about, wells. We have orphaned wells. At one time Monroe was a big gas field, but we don't have that gas now, and we don't have that luxury of having big companies coming in and supplying us with tax revenue. And as $I$ said, these things have been delayed. They have been delayed and delayed on how we're going to assess these, and I think it's time that we put some of that aside and understand that these projects are very important to rural areas. And there are many rural areas that are in much worse shape than Morehouse. There are rural areas that I've looked at the numbers on the 2019 report. It's published by the state. We have rural parishes that get $\$ 3-m i l l i o n ~ o f ~ a d ~$ valorem tax, and I don't think that some of the people at the southern part of this state understand the
situation that we're in, and I'm respectfully asking this Board to quit delaying progress in our area and help the rural parishes. And I respectfully ask that you approve the Bayou Galion project today.

MR. JONES: Forgive me, and you may not know the answer to this question, but $I$ just don't know the answer. Agricultural assessment at, you say, \$42 and a penny per acre; is that correct?

MS. KING: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Is it assessed differently as a solar farm?

MS. KING: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: What's the assessment?
MS. KING: The land itself would possibly -I've talked with the assessor. It would be probably valued $\$ 4,500$ an acre.

MR. JONES: So it would go from $\$ 42$ an acre to $\$ 4,500 ?$

MS. KING: It goes to $\$ 4,500$ an acre, and normal 10 percent assessed value would be $\$ 450$. So there's about 10 times difference in the actual valuation of the property, plus you have all of the assets that come in here.

MR. JONES: And I think one of the things that a lot of times, again, gets lost in the noise is
that the tax exemption -- and, staff, correct me if I'm wrong on this, the tax exemption is on the facility and the assets, the land, when land goes from being agricultural to industrial, is the assessed value goes up and the local government gets that increased taxes. Even though the equipment may be exempt, the ad valorem taxes on the land itself is not. And so I'm not arguing against you or your motion, Mr. Allain, but I want people to understand that because it's not just sales tax, it would be an increased ad valorem tax.

MS. KING: Our ad valorem tax would go up. I looked at this thousand acres, and I figured it out. I don't know the exact configuration. In fact, we're in two different millage rates on this.

MR. JONES: Right.
MS. KING: But at \$42, you're looking at \$4,050 I think was what I figured out that's currently paying, and it would go up 10 times. It would be basically 10 times the amount of money that we're collecting on that property now.

MR. JONES: Understood. MS. KING: Plus the improvements. MR. JONES: Understood. MR. ALLAIN: May I respond? MR. JONES: Sure, absolutely.

MR. ALLAIN: Thank you. Listen, I'm not denying the economic impact of solar farms. I'm not even trying to stand in the way of them, but we have a responsibility at the legislature to protect the state's land. We have regulated the leasing of land for energy in this state for 100 years, and we've got a lot of decisions that create the mineral code. Maybe we need an energy code.

So 1,000 acres of solar farm, is there any money set aside to decommission this if technology changes or anything happens? Is there money set aside to return the land to agricultural or any other production if these things go off? Is there money set aside? Has that been discussed?

MS. KING: The farmers that are leasing this, and one of the farmers that I'm aware of, their responsibility, they're very savvy. We're not dealing with people leasing these properties back.

MR. ALLAIN: You didn't understand my question. You didn't understand my question.

Is the solar farm -- is the company setting aside money to decommission these in case technology changes or go bankrupt?

MS. KING: That's a question you need to ask the company.

MR. ALLAIN: Okay. With that being said, I think this begs for further evaluation. If we end up -let me finish my point. If we end up with orphaned solar farms, the cost to remove the solar panels is cost prohibitive for the productivity of the land into the future. I've got 4,000 orphaned wells out there right now taking up an acre a piece. That's 4,000 acres of state land that's out of production. These things are 1,000 acres a piece, and if there's not a path to be decommissioned, you could have thousands and thousands of acres of state land that could be productive and producing into the future. I don't want an immediate benefit to blind us to the risk that we're taking, and without some path for these things to be decommissioned and put back into agricultural production and any other kind of production, $I$ think is taking a huge risk for the state.

And before we get too far down this road, all I'm asking is to delay it until the legislature has a chance to weigh in, where the Department of Natural Resources has a chance to weigh in to be able to look at these things.

I don't mind solar production. I'm not anti-solar, but this state better be smart about what we're doing or we're going to end up 20 years down the
road with no land productive in this state, and that would be a crime.

MR. JONES: Thank you.
SECRETARY PIERSON: I'm just seeking, respectfully, Senator, a point of clarification in that you have identified that public lands and utilization of perhaps the Department of Natural Resources to help identify a pathway forward, but this seems to contemplate restrictions on the use of private property. MR. ALLAIN: No. This --

SECRETARY PIERSON: That's why I'm trying to understand the difference.

MR. ALLAIN: This seems to contemplate permitting these things to make sure that the lands of the State of Louisiana are protected, which is what we do with permitting oil and gas leases, which is what we permit a lot of things in the state to do. So before these things are built, there has to be a smart path of what happens next in the future. And if we don't think about the future now like we haven't in the past, we're going to find ourselves in a bad place.

I'm not asking -- I'm asking for the delay till the end of session, which is just a two-month period. I think to incentivize this and go forward right now, $I$ would be very much against it, and I hope
the Board would consider my argument.
MS. KING: I would like to respectfully make a comment.

MR. JONES: I'll give you one minute, please, ma'am.

MS. KING: Okay. These companies are constantly operating under a different set of rules. We've heard this -- many of these solar companies have spent millions by doing these interconnection studies. They spend money doing the leases. They have millions of dollars tied up in these, and I think to continue to delay these projects with these concerns that should have already been ironed out is unfair to companies that are coming in and making these kind of investments, and it sets a real bad image for the State of Louisiana.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. King.
MR. ALLAIN: Well, $I$ have a question.
MS. MALONE: So has the company already been approved to proceed with the project, are they under construction or...

MS. KING: No, ma'am, they are not under construction at the moment, but they have a lot of their approvals. I'd like for the company to come up and talk to you about the approvals they already have in place because there were some other products that weren't as
together as this one.
MS. MALONE: So before they proceed with the project, they want to be certain that they receive the ITEP?

MS. KING: Yes. In fact, $I$ worked two more projects. This is my third project. And the main reason $I$ have been wanting to get the projects to come together is because of uncertainties of how the assessors are going to assess them. I mean, this is new. I agree with that.

MR. JONES: Thank you.
Mr. Holley.
I'm sorry.
MS. MALONE: I was just going to make one point. I've worked with a couple of solar projects in the parish that $I$ work in, and each one of those, the company did put into the lease whenever they were negotiating with the landowner that they would put the property back to its original state once it was gone, and some have also gone on to discuss, you know, how they would pay for that, putting money back in escrow or, you know, some way of having the funds to do that.

MR. ALLAIN: And that's what the legislature will address in the near future. I want to make sure before these projects go forward that they understand
what the requirements are going to be. If it's the health and wealth of the company that could go bankrupt, that indemnity doesn't mean a whole lot. If there's actually money escrowed and put aside, no problem, remove all my objections. But if we're not smart about this, I think it could create a huge problem in the future, and the future of Louisiana is something that's near and dear to my heart.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Allain.
Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Ms. King, can you tell me whether or not a two or three-month delay in action by this Board would jeopardize that project?

MS. KING: I think that's a question for the company to answer, but I think that when we constantly keep these projects in limbo, that it does.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. King. I think you answered.

Do you have another question, Mr. Holley?
MR. HOLLEY: Is there anyone hear from the company that could answer that question?

MS. KING: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: I think we have a representative, we just haven't been able to get to him yet.

MS. KING: Okay. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Mr. Leonard, are you representing the company?

MR. LEONARD: Yes, sir. I'm Jimmy Leonard with Advantous Consulting. I'm here representing the company, but we also have Michael here who is an actual representative of the company.

MR. JONES: I think we'd like to hear from the company.

State your name and your position, and then I think Mr. Holley would like to follow up with some questions.

MR. DENNISON: Sure. Thank you for having me here this morning. I appreciate it. My name is Michael Dennison. I'm Senior Manager of Development at Recurrent Energy, which is the owner and developer of the Bayou Galion Solar Project.

And your questions, sir?
MR. HOLLEY: Well, I have several, so excuse me for jumping around.

One Board member has brought up the valid concern about the environmental impact of a large solar panel project such as this, which I understand encompass about 1,000 acres.

MR. DENNISON: That's correct.

MR. HOLLEY: And how many panels would that mean to have a solar farm -- (interruption via intercom.)

MR. DENNISON: Thank you for the question, sir. It depends on the exact size of the panels and efficiency, but for a project of this size, it would be about a quarter of a million solar panels.

MR. HOLLEY: Quarter of a million solar panels. And the solar panels do wear out over the course of time, do they not?

MR. DENNISON: They do slowly degrade over time, same as any other piece of equipment.

MR. HOLLEY: And the lease that you have is for what, 20 years, if $I$ understand?

MR. DENNISON: The lease is for 30 years with some options to extend.

MR. HOLLEY: I see. And what does your company or whomever is going to run this farm intend to do with those quarter million panels as they go bad?

MR. DENNISON: When the project is over and the lease term is done, we have stipulations in our lease agreements that require us to pull out all of the equipment and restore the land to its original state.

MR. HOLLEY: Okay. Are you going to also be responsible for the employees that was mentioned by Ms.

King? In other words, are they employees of the company are or they independent contractors?

MR. DENNISON: Just to clarify, you're asking about construction or operations?

MR. HOLLEY: Operations.
MR. DENNISON: Those jobs are primarily done through contract labor. Our company does do that as well. However, it's premature to say at this time who would be doing that work, whether they would be contractors.

MR. HOLLEY: I understand that it's three permanent employees; is that correct?

MR. DENNISON: That's correct.
MR. HOLLEY: Can you tell he how much they would earn in pay?

MR. DENNISON: I think that's in our application. I think the number is -- Jimmy, correct me if I'm wrong -- about 177,000 per year for those total three jobs.

MR. HOLLEY: And if they're contract employees, I take it they would not be getting health insurance or retirement, $401(\mathrm{~K}) ?$

MR. DENNISON: Not directly from us since they're contract jobs, but, you know, they would be compensated by their employers.

MR. HOLLEY: One or two other questions and I'll be through.

Ms. King is quite concerned for Morehouse Parish, and I am too. I'm from Morehouse Parish, live there, born there and practiced law for 40 years, so it means a lot to have revenue come in to a very poor parish.

Who is responsible for paying these ad valorem taxes every year for that 30-year contract?

MR. DENNISON: It's the project and the project owner. So in that case, that would be us.

MR. HOLLEY: And if you default and you can't wholesale your electricity, how do we get paid?

MR. DENNISON: You're asking if the project goes bankrupt?

MR. HOLLEY: Correct.
MR. DENNISON: Well, I would say that it's -- that's not entirely out of the realm of possibility, but $I$ will say it's very, very unlikely. These solar projects represent an enormous upfront capital investment, and they take very little -- very little maintenance to run, so there is -- once the project is built and financed, there's not much additional investment that's required. So for the project to go bankrupt is almost unforeseeable. I mean,
even if the contract that -- you know, the contract for the sale and the power that's produced, even if that contract expires or defaults or goes away, for example, the project could still sell power into the wholesale market without a contract.

MR. HOLLEY: And you sell into the wholesale market in Louisiana or out of state?

MR. DENNISON: It's part of a market called the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, or MISO, and that's a power market that expands from East Texas to Mississippi and all of way north into Canada.

MR. HOLLEY: So this is not a mom-and-pop operation then?

MR. DENNISON: No, sir.
MR. HOLLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. JONES: I thank you, Mr. Holley.
Ms. Malone.
MS. MALONE: What permits have you received to date and which permits are still outstanding to proceed with the project?

MR. DENNISON: The question of permits is an interesting one. There's some regulatory approvals that are needed, some consultations with federal agencies, like U.S. Fish and Wildlife and Army Corps. Those are all completed. And as far as local permits, there are
none that we're aware of in order to operate in Morehouse Parish.

MS. MALONE: And there are no state permits today that you're required to receive?

MR. DENNISON: No, ma'am.
MR. JONES: I'm sorry, Mr. Allain. You had a question?

MR. ALLAIN: Yeah. So is there provisions in your contract for decommission? Is there one set aside?

MR. DENNISON: Yes, sir.
MR. ALLAIN: Is there an escrow? Not for the health and wealth of your company. Is there an escrow?

MR. DENNISON: Our contract stipulates that we must remove all equipment and restore it.

MR. ALLAIN: I understand. I understand. Is there money -- so at the end of the project, when this needs to be decommissioned, yeah, you can make money all the way through, but at the end of the project, is there money to decommission this that's lockboxed that just doesn't depend on your health?

MR. DENNISON: I think you have a very valid concern, sir. What $I$ will say is there is not that provision in the project as of today.

MR. ALLAIN: Okay. And also -- and I've tried to negotiate several of these things. I'm a large landowner in several parishes, and I've been intimate in trying to negotiate these things. Every time I ask for that, they walk away. So that being said, this is kind of like the wild, wild west, or at least in oil and gas leases 100 years ago, before anything else, and I think there needs to be some safeguards put in and some minimum requirements that need to be done. And that's what the legislature is fixing to address. So a delay in three months in this project doesn't kill the project.

MR. DENNISON: I can't say that it will kill it, but we would really appreciate somebody taking action today.

MR. ALLAIN: Also in the contract, and because obviously you're being financed, is there a provision, as I've seen in previous contracts, that the financier has the right to step in and take over if there's some default?

MR. DENNISON: I believe that's always the case, yes.

MR. ALLAIN: Yeah. Okay. So is that third-party or that financier responsible also for the decommissioning?

MR. DENNISON: They would be if they entered into contract, yes.

MR. ALLAIN: But, there again, no actual money set aside to do that, no letter from a bank, no mechanism of financing, no direct escrow to be able to do that; correct?

MR. DENNISON: Not in the contract as it is today. And if you permit me to expand on that, that is something that we include in all of our contracts normally. In this case, in the case of this specific project, we actually acquired the rights to the project, and the leases associated with it were already signed. We acquired those leases from another company who did not include those provisions in the contract.

MR. ALLAIN: Let me ask you this: In the case of it needing to be decommissioned at the end of the life of this thing and there needs to be a decommissioning and there's no money, there's nobody to stand behind, nobody to pay for the decommission, is the cost of decommissioning that 1,000 acre site prohibitive to the future earnings of that in an agricultural setting?

MR. DENNISON: I'm not sure I fully understand the question.

MR. ALLAIN: Okay. It cost way more to
remove them that it's worth to put it back into agricultural production from every estimate I've seen by four or five times. It would be feasible for the landowner to come in and say "Well, I'm going to remove it and grow whatever on it."

So what do we do with that land? Do we have just -- somebody walks away. What do we do with that?

MR. DENNISON: That's a very good question, sir.

MR. ALLAIN: My point made.
MR. JONES: Any other questions?
Mr. McInnis.
MR. MCINNIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Seems like you should -- well, you would be interested in what's going to happen in the legislature before you move forward with this project, and that's what I'm thinking. I mean, I'm just hearing 30,000 feet here. It would make it a lot easier for us if you guys would just understand that and delay your application until the legislature speaks.

That's all I have to say, Mr. Chairman.
MR. ST. BLANC: I just want to say a landowner can do whatever he wants and sign -- as Senator Allain said, we're not here to -- we're just here to delay for three months, and if you can say that
this project is going to be hurt by a delay for three months, you -- how long -- let me ask you one question. How long do you think before you can get all of your permits on through the PSC, who you hadn't mentioned yet, and selling commercial, how long you think that before you're going to be able to put one solar panel on one acre of property?

MR. DENNISON: I can tell you our construction schedule is planning start of construction next Summer.

MR. ST. BLANC: Next Summer. So three months is going -- this delay of ITEP, which you're going to get, I'm pretty sure, but the thing about it is there's very a lot of concerns about the contracts, and there's a lot of them that already signed that that's between them, and our resolution is trying to just slow it down a little and make sure that the State of Louisiana and the citizens of Louisiana -- we want solar bad. I've got solar projects that's been going on right now for 44 years. Okay? And this two months that we have, that just to delay it is not going to make a hill of beans when it comes to all of the other contracts.

So it's protection. It's protection for the State of Louisiana, it's protection for Morehouse Parish and everything. And the people in the State of

Louisiana, we can't wait for solar. Solar has been trying -- we've been working on solar for 12 years. So this two months is not going to really hurt any solar project because y'all know what I'm talking about.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. St. Blanc.
Ms. Cola.
MS. COLA: So I'm learning as I'm listening.
MR. JONES: Do we have a microphone for Ms.
Cola?
MS. COLA: I'm learning as I'm listening to this process, and you mentioned that your prior contracts, you had an escrow agreement, but you -- this new contract, you, $I$ guess, acquired, and it was not there. In my mind's eyes, that was your course of business, understanding the concerns that were discussed today. Is it a possibility that that is something that you would consider going forward? Because you paused a couple times when it was mentioned in terms of the contract and the concept of there being an escrow agreement in the past, so I'm just kind of curious as to if that was your mode of business going back (inaudible).

MR. DENNISON: Oh, we would absolutely consider it. Normally every landowner that we negotiate with -- and it's private landowners in this case. I
just want to make that clear -- they often ask for decommissioning. I think they have the same concerns that you do, sir. And in the case of this project, like I said, we acquired these leases after they were already negotiated and signed. It does represent a material cost to us to post those bonds, and so in some places where they're not already in the leases or they're not required by state government codes, you know, that's just normal course of business.

MS. COLA: I'm sorry. And another point of education for me.

MR. DENNISON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. COLA: So we talked about decommissioning the solar panels, and my question is -and I did some very quick Google research; right? So I'm very knowledgeable now. What do you do with those panels? When you demission the physical asset, where do you -- what do you do with them thereafter?

MR. DENNISON: That's a great question.
Typically they would be planned for recycling, and there are a number of materials that are used in those panels, aluminum, copper, silver, silicon, that can be easily recycled and reprocessed.

MS. COLA: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Ms. Malone.

MS. MALONE: So, Senator, you stated that there is a moratorium on solar projects?

MR. ALLAIN: There is a House Concurrent Resolution put forth by the speaker -- and I think it was dropped yesterday. I don't even know if it's on there -- that would create -- I don't want to speak for the speaker of the resolution. I know that the representative here was intimate in working with some speaker on it.

I know the legislation that $I$ have, which is to require the Secretary to evaluate those things, bring in the stakeholders and create a process by which we protect the future viability of the state -- the land in the State in Louisiana.

MS. MALONE: So should we proceed with the motion, and, say, the Board does accept it, they would be unable to proceed with this project anyway should this moratorium --

MR. ALLAIN: They would have to -- they will be able to proceed, but they may have requirements that they don't have right now. Basic requirements in oil and gas is a 29-B cleanup. There's rules and regulations to what specs you need to clean the property up, to what needs to be removed. There are things in those solar panels that if they -- that could
contaminate the soil. There's a whole laundry list of things that $I$ believe the state needs to understand what it's getting into before these things proliferate all over the state and we have a huge problem we can't control. Let's be smart about it today.

They -- I would think they'd want, before they go forward, they'd like to know what the rules of the road are going to be.

MS. MALONE: Correct, on the project as a whole, but --

MR. ALLAIN: Correct.
MS. MALONE: -- as far as the Senate knows, they are considered a manufacturer and they do qualify for the incentive program. I mean, so if we allow for the incentive to move forward and the state comes up with these rules and regulations, they would still have to abide by those or decide not to do the project and we're not out anything.

MR. ALLAIN: I don't want to argue, but, you know, $I$ mean, if you back me in a corner, is this manufacturing? Do they meet the job requirements? There's a whole laundry list of things. You know, I've met with some people in the administration that don't believe this is manufacturing. We have approved previous processes, but you back me in a corner and
we're going to have those discussions and it's going to be at the building over there.

So all I'm asking for is a delay to have the discussion. You know, $I$ may not have objection to moving forward with the ITEP application, but if the delay is not granted, then we'll probably seek other means to have this talked about.

MS. KING: Can I ask one more question, please?

MR. JONES: Okay, Ms. King. I'll give you one more minute, please.

MS. KING: Okay. Just a quick question. MR. JONES: Get in front of the microphone, please.

MS. KING: Just a quick question.
MR. JONES: We don't answer questions. Make your comments.

MS. KING: Okay. My comment is I have not really ever heard when we do a project like we did in the Drax Biomass project where we built a big plant there, and so $I$ don't recall any stipulations in that project that would require bonds or something for redevelopment if the project goes away. So are we putting higher stipulations on solar projects for some unknown real reason, but are we putting higher -- my
opinion is we're putting higher stipulations on solar projects than we do on other types of projects.

MR. JONES: I think, Ms. King, that argument is one to be made in the legislature, not before this Board, but that's --

MS. KING: And I intend to make that.
MR. JONES: I understand.
All right. Any other questions or comments?
Mr. Leonard, did you have any comments on behalf of the company?

MR. LEONARD: No, sir. I originally came up here to assist with some of the economic numbers that were prepared for the project.

I would just take this one opportunity real quick to let everyone know that, you know, Senator, we appreciate your concerns, we're aware of them. We were not at the time that we were taking the steps necessary to come to the Board here today. We have been working very diligently with the communities in Morehouse Parish. They've always already set meetings up. They've already anticipated the approvals based on the prior precedence of this Board. The application does meet all of the required LED rules and regulations, and we absolutely look forward to working with you and your concerns.

We've represented a number of companies, and this company here, quite frankly, has commented on issuance of bonds if need be, if that was something that would help make a difference in moving this thing forward to alleviate those concerns.

So I'd just like to conclude with the application before you today is aligned with the rules and regulations. The property itself pays about $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ a year in property tax revenue. During the exemption period, that revenue will move up to 200 grand a year, and then once the exemption expires, it averages about $\$ 400,000$ in property tax revenue here. So the local community is very excited about revenue opportunities on the business side of this, but we absolutely recognize your concerns, sir, and we'll work with you on them.

MR. JONES: All right. Any other questions or comments from the Board?

MR. HOLLEY: I have a comment.
MR. JONES: Yes, sir, Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: If the Board acted today and acted favorably on your behalf, when would construction start?

MR. DENNISON: Next Summer.
MR. HOLLEY: Next Summer? So if the legislature, in the meantime, put some type of temporary
restraining order on these solar farms, that wouldn't present any problem to you because you're not starting till next Summer; is that right?

MR. DENNISON: I mean, it's hard to comment on that, sir. It depends on what that looks like and how it would apply.

MR. HOLLEY: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I see both sides, believe it or not, even though I'm from Morehouse Parish, and I'd like to see it, but is there any way that we could assure this company that they will get a response from us by the next meeting that we have, which would be, I think, after the legislature?

MR. JONES: I think our next meeting is in June.

MR. ALLAIN: June 12th, and then the Governor has 20 days to either sign or veto the legislation. So you're talking the end of June, beginning of July.

MR. JONES: Our meeting would be very close to that deadline, so I don't believe we could, in good faith, guaranty action at the next meeting, but if it were not at the June meeting, it would then be the July/August meeting when this would come up back up. If there's -- if there's been activity in that action by the legislature before the June meeting, then we could
act at the June meeting, but there's no way to predict that at this point.

Mr. Holley, does that answer your question?
MR. HOLLEY: Yes, it does. Thank you.
MR. ALLAIN: And I'd just like to state this is bigger than just one project in Morehouse Parish. This is about the future of solar in the state and protecting the state moving forward with the solar projects. I'll say it again, I'm not anti-solar by any means, but I think the state, it's incumbent upon the state to do it smart, and all I'm asking for is a little time to think about it.

MR. JONES: All right. We have a motion from Mr. Allain to defer the 20210138, Bayou Galion Solar Project, as well as 20200422, Bueche PV1, LLC project.

Did we get a second, Ms. Simmons?
MS. SIMMONS: Yes, sir. We have a second from --

MR. JONES: Second from Mr. St. Blanc. That's correct.

We have a motion and a second.
Any other questions or comments from the Board on the motion to defer these two projects and the motion to defer specifically until the legislature
adjourns?
MR. ALLAIN: Legislature process is over. The governor has to weigh in.

MR. JONES: Oh, okay. So your motion is until the legislation process is over and all bills are --

MR. ALLAIN: Dispensed with.
MR. JONES: -- signed or vetoed or -- got it. I'm with you.

For this session.
MR. ALLAIN: For this session, correct.
MR. JONES: If there's a special session called, it does not apply to that. Thank you, sir.

Are we clear? I think I am.
All right. Are we ready to vote?
All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
MR. HOLLEY: NO.
MR. JONES: There is at least one. Let's do
a rollcall just to be clear.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Defer.
MS. SIMMONS: Is that a yes?
MR. JONES: That's a yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Okay. Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Guy McInnis.
MR. MCINNIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Vincent St.
Blanc.
MR. ST. BLANC: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: George Nassar.
MR. NASSAR: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ronnie Slone.
MR. SLONE: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Travis Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: NO.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Beverly Thompson.
DR. THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: We have two noes.
MR. JONES: All right. The motion carries.
Those two matters are deferred.
And Mr. Pierson has asked that we pull out the Procter \& Gamble Manufacturing and consider it independently. Do we want to go ahead and do that now?

MR. USIE: We have another deferral too while we're at it.

MR. JONES: Okay. Which one is that?
MR. USIE: 20180409, Richard's Cajun Foods, Corp. in Acadian Parish.

MR. JONES: SO I would entertain a motion to approve the deferral of Richard's Cajun Foods.

Motion from Mayor Toups; second from Mr. Nassar.

Any comments or questions from the Board -excuse me -- from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)

MR. JONES: All in favor, say "aye." (Several members respond "aye.")

MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, that matter is deferred.

MR. USIE: I also have a clarification to make on the agenda. We left off a note about Shintech, LLC, 20210072. It's stating that zero new permanent jobs are being created, but there should have been a note that there are 20 new contract jobs with 1.6-million in payroll to be created by a qualified contractor, and it's not noted at the bottom like the others.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.
All right. Let's go ahead and take up the Procter \& Gamble Manufacturing, 20180499.

You want to go ahead and tell us about that project and then we can address it as the Secretary wishes?

MR. USIE: It's 20180499, Procter \& Gamble Manufacturing in Rapides Parish, and...

MR. JONES: Mr. Pierson, did you have specific comments about that one that we need to consider?

SECRETARY PIERSON: I think the Procter \& Gamble reps will be coming forward now and be able to give you some overview of this. It just falls outside of what we typically have in terms of the situation. We've addressed one of those for U.S. Foods today, but I just wanted the opportunity for Procter \& Gamble to be able to review with the Board why their project has fallen out of typical use category.

MR. JONES: Okay. Let's, in order to get this in proper posture, I'd entertain a motion to approve.

Motion from Ms. Malone; second from Mr. Moss.

So now we have an appropriate motion on the table. Now we can open it up to discussion.

MR. URAS: (A presentation was given by Mr. Taylan Uras.)

MR. JONES: Thank you. Welcome.
Do you have some comments as well? Please state your name and your position with the company.

MS. DAY: I am Mary-Anne Day. I am the site finance director for the Alexandria plant.

I think the one piece to really address here is what you're going to see in this application, we're filing on a retention basis. You will see a decline
versus our baseline.
As Taylan mentioned, we are going through metamorphosis right now. Dry laundry forms have changed over the years. They will continue to change going forward. Dry laundry is less and less of a desirable product in the United States, and so, therefore, our dry laundry operations has been closed down in the last few months. We do have -- we continue to invest for the future. We will be bringing in new lines over the upcoming years, and that is very much the plan.

These incentives, though, remain critical. Our company does follow the competitive capital process. There are multiple sites in the United States that either make exactly what we make today or are able to convert to making it within a matter of months. So what we would really like to say is we truly believe that while we are currently seeing a decline in the enrollment, this does enable retention for the long term. And we, as Taylan said, we have been here over 50 years now. Our site goal is to be a huge contributor to South -- I'm sorry -- Central Louisiana for at least the next 50 years, and these incentives are just a critical part of that. We need it to enable us to continue to be a key member of the community.

MR. URAS: (Further presentation given by

Mr. Taylan Uras.)
MS. DAY: There's only one thing I would add too, we have started hiring again. So with the dry exit, we, as Taylan said, let natural attrition bring our numbers down (inaudible) everyone who wanted a job either had continued in Louisiana or in other sites, actually in the United States. Actually we even had people that moved to Europe because that was their personal desire. So we have started hiring.

We've hired two classes of 15 each over the last six months, so 30 people total, and we are looking forward to hiring hopefully another class of about 15 in the next three to six months as well. So we do see basically we've hit the inflection point where we do expect, with the new line (inaudible) that's in advance of following it, we do expect to see that continuing to go up. But, again, it's just critical that we do maintain the incentive for now in order to make sure that we're competitive going forward.

MR. JONES: Let me be sure $I$ understand so that at least in my mind I'm clear. So basically the company -- first of all, thank you for your presence in Louisiana. Fifty years, a company manufacturing in Louisiana for 50 years is a great story in and of itself, and we thank you for your presence here and for
your contributions to the state. But what $I$ understand is happening is the business is evolving.

MS. DAY: Exactly.
MR. JONES: And as my wife doesn't like powder, she likes liquid. I don't understand. We don't eat the pods. We use them. But as I understand, the industry is evolving, and in order to maintain this manufacturing facility, you have to evolve with the industry. However, you aren't creating any new jobs in the process.

MS. DAY: Exactly.
MR. URAS: For last year, yes.
MS. DAY: We are not creating new jobs right now. We do expect to going forward and after getting through this period. However, we do feel that we meet the retention requirement, because even though we are declining, this incentive is critical to be able to retain the current jobs that we now that we have right-sized with the dry laundry --

MR. JONES: I understand.
MR. URAS: (Further presentation was given by Mr. Taylan Uras.)

MR. JONES: And to be sure the record is clear, how long will it take you for you to -- for this revamp of your lines? How long is --

MR. URAS: (Mr. Taylan Uras responds to Mr.
Jones' questioning.)
MR. JONES: All right. That's all I have.
Any questions or comments from the public?
Any other questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. We have a motion and a second approve this application.

All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion
carries. Thank you.
MR. URAS: Thank you very much for your time. We really appreciate it.

MS. DAY: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for being here today.
Mr. Usie, let's work through those that have not been deferred and that have not been acted upon.

MR. USIE: 20190444, ADDP Property, LLC, Lafayette Parish; 20210035, Avant Organics, LLC, Rapides

Parish; 20200045, Best Stop Cajun Food, LLC in Lafayette Parish; 20210146, Bio-Lab, Inc., Calcasieu Parish, 20200026, Centric Pipe, LLC in Bossier Parish; 2020268, Community Coffee Company, LLC in Jefferson Parish; 20200004, E.I. Williams Industries, LLC, Webster Parish; 20180238, LACC, LLC, LLC Calcasieu Parish; 20170498, Packaging Corporation of America, Beauregard Parish; 20190497, Packaging Corporation of American, Beauregard Parish; 20210068, Shintech Louisiana, LLC, Iberville Parish; 20210069, Shintech Louisiana, LLC, Iberville Parish; 20210070, Shintech Louisiana, LLC, Iberville Parish; 20210072, Shintech Louisiana, LLC, West Baton Rouge Parish; 20200049, Supreme Rice, LLC, Acadia Parish; 20210032, Supreme Rice, LLC, Acadia Parish; and 20200065, Weyerhaeuser NR Company, Natchitoches Parish. MR. JONES: All right. First I would entertain a motion to pull any one of those out and address them individually or $I$ would entertain a motion to approve all.

MR. MOSS: I motion to approve.
MR. JONES: Okay. I have a motion from Mr. Moss to approve all that have just been listed; second from Mr. Slone.

Any questions or comments from the public? Mr. Waltzer.

MR. WALTZER: I have on specific ones.
MR. JONES: Go right ahead. State your name and. . .

MR. WALTZER: My name is Joel Waltzer, individually and on behalf of together Louisiana.

How would you like to do this?
MR. JONES: Which one do you want to speak to, Mr. Waltzer?

MR. WALTZER: These are the 2000 -- for clarification, post-2018 applications; correct?

MR. JONES: Correct.
MR. WALTZER: So Avant -- I put in a card.
MR. JONES: I'm having a difficult time interpreting your cards is my problem.

MR. WALTZER: Maybe because I had to put more than one.

MR. JONES: Yes.
MR. WALTZER: Avant Organics, Shintech, Packaging Corp of America and Weyerhaeuser.

MR. JONES: Okay. So you want to speak to Avant Organics, which is 20210035 -- are the same comments for each one?

MR. WALTZER: No.
MR. JONES: Okay. Then let's go with Avant first. You can keep your mask off as far as I am
concerned simply because you're there by yourself in front of the mic.

MR. WALTZER: The project phase was confusing to me when I looked through their application, but the project was stated to create no jobs. Then, also, it said 20 jobs would be created. It seems inconsistent, and I would ask if the applicant would explain whether they are creating jobs or if they're not. And if they're not creating jobs, that they're providing a compelling reason why they need an ITEP or why (inaudible).

MR. JONES: Any comments from the staff?
MR. USIE: They are creating 20 jobs. I'm not sure where you were referencing the zero, but the overall project is creating 20.

MS. CHENG: It does say that zero is being creating for the phase because this is a front-end contract. None are being created right now, but as phases come up, I believe jobs will be created. Twenty are being created with this overall project.

MR. JONES: Through the contract term?
MS. CHENG: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Does that answer your question?
MR. WALTZER: There's no indication -- okay.
It's impossible to track because there's
nothing that says when the phases are coming and what they are.

MR. JONES: Well, that beautifully highlights the misunderstanding that Together Louisiana has of this program. These contracts are by no means a front-end engineering, designed, completed estimation of projects. It is where the company comes to the state and says "Listen, I want to invest money in your state, but in order to make my investment decision, before I spend the $\$ 10-\mathrm{milli}$ ( ${ }^{(1 t ' s}$ going to take for me to develop my project, $I$ want to know whether I'm going to have this incentive or not." Because, see, a whole lot of money has to be spent on a manufacturing project before they ever even make a final investment decision, and so what ends up happening, they come to the state and say "Listen, we're interested in the incentive program. This is what we're looking at, this is what we think we're going to spend, this is how we think it's going to cost, these are the number of jobs we think we're going to -- but we don't know for sure until we get done. We've got to get further into this, but we want to know whether we're going to have these incentives before we do."

So the specificity and the exactitude that you guys long for is simply not available at this stage
in the process.
MR. WALTZER: And so maybe I do understand that actually.

MR. JONES: Then I don't understand your question.

MR. WALTZER: Maybe. We look at the evidence before us, and if there was evidence submitted that 20 jobs will be created, then I understand that, but there is -- the application itself had conflicting information. I understand your point, and, you know, we need to be making decisions based on the record evidence in front of us, and I'm asking where is the record evidence that there's jobs being created?

MR. JONES: Ms. Cheng.
MS. CHENG: They haven't been created. They will be created.

MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. CHENG: The stating that they will create 20 jobs is also in the Exhibit A that's signed by the company and LED, that as after the first phase of the project is complete in 2022, they're supposed to have 20 jobs created by the time they file their compliance.

MR. WALTZER: All right. So let's move on, because it was unclear from the application, and that's
why -- if I'm confused, I'm sorry.
MR. JONES: Okay. Next.
MR. WALTZER: Packaging Corporation of
America, 20170498.
MR. JONES: Okay.
MR. WALTZER: The applicant says that the project involves the installing of a new pump turbine system. My opinion, you know, it confers that there is an old turbine system, and then if that is correct, they're replacing it, and under $502(2)$ they're not eligible for an ITEP unless the replacement is part of a rehabilitation or restoration under $502(4)$.

And 511 says that if this is part of -- and this is under the new rules system, if this is part of a rehabilitation or restoration, then the original cost needs to be deducted from the replacement cost.

MR. JONES: Ms. Cheng.
MS. CHENG: The application states that it's an addition. The project type is an addition. Just because it's new doesn't mean it's replacing something that's old. It might -- I mean, I can't speak for the company, but it doesn't refer to replacing an old one. It's new as in it's a new piece of equipment to the site.

MR. JONES: Okay.

MR. WALTZER: So the pump turbine system, I'm assuming is important to pump, you know, processing, and I think the answer to that question needs to be answered in order to ascertain what's the proper value of the ITEP, whether it would be the amount applied for or it should be the amount applied for minus the original cost.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you for your comment.

What about next, Shintech?
MR. WALTZER: Is there someone here from that company?

MR. JONES: I'll run the meeting. Thank you Mr. Waltzer. Let's get your comments out of the way.

MR. WALTZER: In Shintech, the applicant says the project involves certain equipment upgrades and modifications are required at the existing facility to meet demands of the new project, understanding that they're putting in a new unit. But that is correct, these upgrades and modifications at the existing facilities are not permitted under 502(2) and do not meet the definition because they are replacing old equipment with new.

If the BCI considers that part of a rehabilitation or restoration, which it may well be if
they're going to be putting in another unit, then the applicant and LED must deduct the cost of the original equipment from the stated value of the investment to meet the requirements of Section 511 in determining the amount of the exemption.

MR. JONES: Ms. Cheng, Mr. Usie, any comments?

MS. CHENG: If I can go back to the statement that was made in regards to the other project, these are all new -- the previous project we discussed, the Sabine project, these are new assets to the project. Just because it's an upgrade, it's an upgrade to the facility in order for them to complete this project. This is the new expansion.

MR. JONES: Okay.
MR. WALTZER: It says certain equipment upgrades and modifications.

MR. JONES: Okay. Weyerhaeuser.
MR. WALTZER: Weyerhaeuser, the applicant says the Pavarotti project involves an upgrade to the modern -- to a new, modern process control system on an existing LVL press. New, modern process control system, meaning they have an old, less modern process control system. An upgrade to the wood products, lamineer -upgrade to the laminated veneer lumbar press and upgrade
to a modern process control system.
It's the same thing. Again, if they're upgrading equipment, replacing the old with the new, then, again, granted, if it's just replacing, if it's not part of restoration or rehabilitation, the rules prohibit the ITEP. If it's part of a restoration or a rehabilitation of the facility under the rules, then the original cost needs to be deducted from the amount of the investment.

MR. JONES: Ms. Cheng.
MS. CHENG: Again, this application states that it's an addition to the facility. I believe that this upgrade is to expand what capacity that they have at the facility.

MR. JONES: Mr. Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: If this helps shed some light on this, we worked a long time on this project in Natchitoches, and it is a new siding line that they are establishing new capacity there. One of the reasons it was delayed for months and months and months is because COVID impacted construction activity, and with the construction activity down, they did not move forward with this new veneer press and Microllam.

I don't know if somebody from Weyerhaeuser is here or not, but it is a new product line that has
been approved.
MR. JONES: Okay. Any other comments from you, Mr. Waltzer?

MR. WALTZER: Nope, not for these.
MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you very much.
Any other questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: I want to go back to Packaging Corporation. Do we have somebody here from Packaging Corporation?

Please come forward. Please state your name and your position with the company for us, please.

MR. MARCHBANKS: Yes. My name is William Marchbanks. I'm with DuCharme, McMillen \& Associates. I'm here representing Packaging Corporation of America.

MR. JONES: Great. And did you understand the question from Mr . Waltzer about the nature of the upgrades that are going on with your?

MR. MARCHBANKS: Yes.
MR. JONES: Could you explain that to us, please?

MR. MARCHBANKS: Yes. This is an addition to the facility that manufactures craft paper, and if you know what that is, that's just you're packing, say,
from your house, you're stuffing your glasses in the box, you want to wrap it up with wrapping paper. So this is a very competitive market, commodity market, and this is an addition to keep the operation running efficiently reducing costs and allowing them to retain a (inaudible).

MR. JONES: Are you replacing old equipment or is this new equipment?

MR. MARCHBANKS: This is an addition to existing equipment.

MR. JONES: So all of it is new equipment?
MR. MARCHBANKS: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Okay. Any other questions or comments for the company rep?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: I wanted it to be clear on the record on that.

All right. Thank you, sir. Appreciate it.
All right. We have a motion and a second to approve this list that Mr . Usie has walked us through, less those that have already been handled and the three deferrals.

Any other questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)

MR. JONES: I see none.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yeah. I just want to really understand, when these projects come, like what kind of due diligence is done? I know I've asked, for instance, of this before, but to determine whether something is an upgrade versus a new project, I mean, do you go out to the site or what's the level of analysis that happens at the staff level? Because it comes up several times in this meeting, and $I$ just want to kind of understand what independent verification happens.

MS. CHENG: I mean, what's provided, if it's unclear, we do reach out to the company and ask them for additional information because they are attesting that is it new equipment.

MR. MOLLER: So we take them at their word, essentially? If they put that in an application, that's kind of what we go by?

MS. CHENG: Yeah. I mean, we do.
MR. MOLLER: Is there any verification after the fact or...

MR. JONES: Mr. Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: The state of process right now is that this is the advance notification.

This is saying "Hey, we're going to execute against a project."

After it goes from here, the locals are going to sign a contract, which will be Exhibit A, which attests to what the program is. They'll go through and get an affidavit of final cost. And at that point is where we would conduct these inspections.

So this early stage is a look at what is proposed being a qualified approval.

MR. MOLLER: Okay.
MS. CHENG: We do, after an affidavit of final cost is provided, we can at any point, according to the rules, go do an inspection, and if anything doesn't, we don't feel, fits into the assets that are provided on the affidavit of final cost or the application, we can ask them to revise the affidavit of final cost to remove those assets.

MR. MOLLER: Thank you.
MR. JONES: And, again, this may be helpful for the entire Board, at this stage, this project is a glint in somebody's eye. It's a concept. There's not a widget that exists to satisfy this thing yet. They're saying this is what we want to do. So all they can do is attest that they're going to build this or going to buy this piece of equipment or install this piece of
equipment. The question of whether it's an upgrade or not, you've got to wrestle through that, but at the end of the day, the attestation from the company is all you can get because there is no thing to go look at.

So I think the process, as we have to take it at the stage that it's in, and then as the project progresses, at the appropriate times, there may be audits, there may be reviews at the site. I know there have been site visits before.

So just to understand, where we are here, this is just a concept when we're approving these contracts.

MR. MOLLER: And so these attestations, these applications, do they make clear whether they're building something new or they're just replacing something that's already there with a newer version of that? I mean, because if it's replacing --

MR. USIE: Our review process, we start looking at the advance, compare the descriptions, go over the project that's on the advance to what's stated on the application. We'll consider the project type. It maybe be addition, it may be expansion, it may be a renovation. We look at -- they also provide a breakdown of purchases that list out all the assets.

As Kristin described, later on, once those
assets are online, we do -- they do file an affidavit of final cost listing out the final cost of each asset or anything that changed, and then we do do site inspections.

MR. MOLLER: And you compare that to what's already there; right? If it's -- you know, most of the applications you get are for projects or facilities that are existing. You compare that -- I mean, I guess I want to distinguish between things that are actually new and things that are upgrades.

MR. USIE: Yeah. If we get an inspection, we will take out, we would bring the affidavit of final cost and have them walk us through what any given assets might be that we might have a question on. So we would verify what they're submitting to us, that they're certifying this project.

MR. MOLLER: How often do these site inspections happen?

MS. CHENG: We're supposed to, prior to COVID, go quarterly. We would pick different parts of the state. I mean, there are thousands of contracts and two of us.

MR. MILLER: Yeah. No, I understand.
MS. CHENG: Prior to COVID, quarterly. We would go do quarterly.

MR. MOLLER: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Any other questions?
Mr. Nassar.
MR. NASSAR: I have a question. Let's just, for the sake of argument, a corporation comes in and says we're going to have an addition to our facility, but in order to provide that new addition with enough product, one of the older units may need to be upgraded, let's just say pumps, compressors whatever, so -- and I think that's some of the things that's being mentioned in here today. So if I have to upgrade my pumps and compressor to be able to get enough product with a new addition, wouldn't those new pumps and compressors that I'm adding go under a new equipment for addition and not as old equipment or replacement of old equipment?

MS. CHENG: Correct. So that would actually go under a new application, but you would have to remove the cost of the existing equipment that you're replacing. So it is deducted out of the cost on the new application.

MR. NASSAR: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Any other questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. Let's vote.

# All in favor, say "aye." <br> (Several members respond "aye.") <br> MR. JONES: Any opposition? <br> (No response.) 

MR. JONES: There being none, the motion carries.

All right. We have renewals.
MR. USE: Next we have 11 timely renewals:
20121300, CF Industries Nitrogen, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20160978, Conrad Shipyard, LLC, St. Mary Parish; 20150982, FlightSafety International, Inc., Bossier Parish; 20150939, Integrico Composites of Louisiana, LLC, Webster Parish; 20161155, Mele Printing Company, LLC, St. Tammany Parish; 20141575, Procter \& Gamble Manufacturing, Rapides Parish; 20160799, Roehm America, LLC, Jefferson Parish; 20100198-A, Sabine Pass LNG, LP, Cameron Parish; 20110659-B, Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC, Cameron Parish; 20161277, Southern Recycling, LLC, Lafayette Parish; and 20161302, Temple Inland, Inc., Washington Parish.

MR. JONES: All right.
MR. MOLLER: Yeah. I just had a question about FlightSafety International if there's anybody from that company.

MR. JONES: Please come forward, and if you
would, state your name and your position with the company, please.

MR. MORROW: Sure. My name is Kenny Morrow. I'm the Chief Operating Officer from Metro Aviation, and we contract with FlightSafety to house the devices.

MR. MOLLER: My question is simple. What do you manufacture? The application here says you bought two flight simulators.

MR. MORROW: We did not -- we didn't buy them, but we are contracted with FlightSafety. So Metro Aviation is the -- we have a few lines of business. One of our lines of business is that we continue the manufacturing process of helicopters. So primarily air medical, but we do a lot of law enforcement, high-end VIP. Used to do offshore when offshore was a thing.

And part of that process is we then either place these aircraft in service or we sell them, and the training is an integral part of providing those aircraft.

MR. MOLLER: But a flight simulator trains pilots; right? So you're manufacturing pilots? I mean, how is that different from any other -- it seems more like education, not manufacturing.

MR. MORROW: Well, the aircraft are not useful to the end customer unless pilots have been
trained to use them.
MR. MOLLER: I still -- can someone on staff explain how this is manufacturing?

SECRETARY PIERSON: I'll be happy to do some clarification. And correct me if I don't get this exactly correct. Hard to believe it's been five years since Senator Adley helped us move this through, but essentially they're taking a helicopter assembly and then doing very significant modifications on it, such as perhaps you get a helicopter from Europe and it doesn't have air conditioning. They're reconfiguring these helicopters for very specific uses, such as air ambulance, law enforcement. And so they may have winches, they have may have external lighting, they may have a number of things that they are then changing that base helicopter assembly that they've gotten, and those characteristics will also change flight performance for that specific aircraft.

So it goes beyond just that $I$ can fly a helicopter or $I$ can fly a B1B helicopter, but I've got to be able to fly the B1B that's been modified by Metro Aviation to meet certain requirements of the outfit that ordered it.

So essentially they manufacture a specific product that utilizes other inputs, customizes those,
adds to them, and then has to, in order to certify and be able to convey that over to that private purchasing entity, be able to specifically attest that it can be operated in the fashion in which it was represented.

Kenny.
MR. MORROW: Yeah, you're exactly right, Secretary Pierson, and our capabilities, our total capabilities that we have in Shreveport, Louisiana have allowed us to order or to have Airbus helicopters manufactured. We ordered 37 aircraft so far at five and a half million dollars each. It's a EC145 C2e is what it's called, and we're the exclusive purchaser of that aircraft. We've placed several of those aircraft in service for our own operations. We also operate air medical helicopters, and they're from places all over the United States.

We sold some of those helicopters to some of our competitors in that operating realm of our industry. We delivered two of those aircraft to an operator in Canada and just delivered one of those aircraft to the Buenos Aires Police Department in Argentina.

And, again, it's a total package. The training component, the ability of those pilots to come to our facility and learn how to fly the aircraft, both in the simulator and in the aircraft itself, and,
honestly, one wouldn't exist without the other.
MR. MOILER: I mean, if you're building airplanes, I completely understand, but that's not what this application says. It's a machine that people train on, and $I$ just $--I$ mean, $I$ understood your explanation, but it just doesn't meet a commonsense definition of manufacturing, so...

MR. MORROW: It's an integral part of us being able to --

MR. JONES: Ms. Cheng.
MS. CHENG: This is an application that went under the old pre-EO rules, and at that time, anything at a manufacturing facility was eligible for the exemption, if that helps.

MR. MOLLER: That is 2015.
All right. I mean, I'm not going to win the vote, so I'll let this go, but $I$ think this is exactly what the Executive Order was here to prevent because I just don't see how this comes close to meeting a manufacturing definition.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank You, Mr. Moller.
Any other specific items being pulled out and discussed from this group?

Thank you, sir. Appreciate you being here.

All right. Yes, there's one more.
MR. WALTZER: And that went for Metro
Aviation too?
MR. JONES: I understand. I'm not to you yet. I'm still in the report.

Do we have any others we want to pull out and discuss specifically so?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mr. Moss; second from Mr. Saizan.

Now, any comments or questions from the public?

Mr. Waltzer, I know you had put in a card for FlightSafety Metro, but also for CF Industries.

MR. WALTZER: I'm not for sure I heard whether the manufacturing he was discussing, if that is manufacturing.

MR. JONES: It's basically being treated under the pre-EO rules.

MR. WALTZER: Well, $I$ understand that that's what you're saying. I'm just not -- you know, the pre-EO rules also require it manufacturing to be constitutional.

MR. JONES: I understand your argument, but it is just an argument.

MR. WALTZER: As it regard to CF Industries Nitrogen, this appears that this project ties back to an old application approved in 2013. The project was completed in 2015. The LED record does not -- the project amount was stated in the application renewal to be \$2.237-billion. That round number is obviously an estimated amount. This project is long complete. There's no record in FastLane that a final cost affidavit has been submitted, so it's impossible to tell whether that amount is too much or too little.

And, you know, 503 (G), section 503 (G), allows the applicant to gain -- as you'll read here, "To gain a pre approval," and that's what the applicant got. There should have been some final cost affidavit submitted after the completion of the project over the course of the last five years; right? That would specify the amount of money, but we still are using that same 2.237-billion, and that's inappropriate. You know, the final cost affidavit is required under 527. You know, we're supposed to be enforcing that, and I would suggest either it be deferred until a final cost affidavit be submitted or for the BCI to consider rejection because there's no way the project costs
exactly the same amount as the estimated cost prior to the project actually happening.

MR. JONES: Ms. Cheng.
MS. CHENG: A renewal can't be requested without an AFCS and PCRs being filed. We have had records --

MR. JONES: I'm sorry. Your acronyms went a little fast for me.

MS. CHENG: I'm sorry. A project completion report and affidavit of final cost being filed. The affidavit of final cost was filed on May 16 th of 2016, and the project completion report was filed on March 7th of 2016 .

MR. JONES: Do we have -- it came up
earlier. Do we have situations where hard copies have not been entered into FastLane?

MS. CHENG: The actual payment and dates, receipt dates are in FastLane, but the actual copy, the hard -- the actual document is in a hard file in our long-term storage room.

MR. JONES: Well, that appears to be the disconnect between what's on FastLane and what -- when people go to FastIane --

MS. CHENG: It does have data in there. It does show the affidavit of final cost investment amount
filed is showing $\$ 965,772,766$.
MR. JONES: All right. Thank you, Ms. Cheng .

Thank you, Mr. Waltzer.
All right. Any other comments or questions from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. All in favor -- did I have a motion and a second? I'm getting lost.

MS. SIMMONS: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: I'm sorry, Ms. Simmons.
All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion
carries.
All right, Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: Next we nine late renewal applications. The first is 20131036, Metro Aviation, Inc., Bossier Parish, initial contract expiration date $12 / 31$ of 2019, late renewal request date $12 / 30$ of 2020.

MR. JONES: Okay. Mr. Morrow, are you still here? Would you come to us and explain to us what happened on the late application?

MR. MORROW: Simply a change in personnel that was responsible for filing that application, so we missed our renewal date.

MR. JONES: Okay. You understand that under our accepted practice, we can still approve the renewal, but there will by a penalty that goes along with a late application?

MR. MORROW: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: The question is have we taken steps to ensure you don't run into these kind of issues in the future?

MR. MORROW: Absolutely.
MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.
All right. Entertain a motion to approve this renewal application with a 20 percent penalty.

Motion from Mr. Moss; second from
Mr. Nassar.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)

MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
Thank you, sir.
MR. MORROW: Thank you.
MR. USIE: Next we have 20130050-A, Monsanto
Company \& Subsidiaries, St. Charles Parish, initial contract expiration $12 / 31$ of 2019 , late renewal request date June 23rd of 2020.

MR. JONES: Do we have someone here from Monsanto?

State your name and your position with the company.

MR. MARCHBANKS: Yes. My name is William Marchbanks. I'm with DuCharme, McMillen \& Associates. I'm a consultant with Monsanto.

MR. JONES: Okay. And tell us what happened here.

MR. MARCHBANKS: Unfortunately the link was sent for signature. Unfortunately the person that signing it missed it in his e-mails. There was a lot going on at the time with the cancelation of a large
project over there, so unfortunately we missed the deadline, and it took us until June to get the application signed.

MR. JONES: Okay. Has steps been taken to correct that communication issue?

MR. MARCHBANKS: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Does the company understand that this can be renewed with a penalty?

MR. MARCHBANKS: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Okay. Then I would entertain a motion to approve this late renewal with a 20 percent penalty.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mr. Stuart -- from Mr. Moss, and second from Mayor Toups.

Any questions or comments from the public?
Mr. Waltzer.
MR. WALTZER: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Again, state your name just so the record's clear.

MR. WALTZER: Joel Waltzer, individually and on behalf of Together Louisiana.

This renewal is governed by the 2011 rules, and I'm sorry I didn't jump up before, but the 2011 rules state in section $505(E)$, "If the application is
submitted after the final deadline, the 10-year term of the exemption available under an initial contract and renewal" -- that's combined -- "therefore, it shall be reduced by one year for each month or a portion thereof that the application is late up to a maximum reduction of five years and had to have been filed before the expiration and no later than the expiration." So, in this case, I think it's six months late. "The Board may impose any other penalty -- any other for late filing that it deems appropriate."

So it says, the rules says you shall be reduced by one year for each month that your application is late, and six months late. That's what the rule says.

MS. CHENG: He's referring to the section dealing with applications. The section under the rules dealing with renewal application does say that "the term of the renewal contract may be reduced by one year for each calendar month or portion thereof that the renewal application is filed late. The Board my impose any other penalty for late renewal submission that it deems appropriate."

MR. JONES: All right. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Waltzer.
All right. So we have a motion to approve
the renewal with a 20 percent penalty. All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.") MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There is no opposition. The motion carries.

Next, Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: 20140848, Norris Holdings, LLC, Iberia Parish, initial contract expiration 12/31 of 2019, late renewal request date January 29th of 2020; 20140849, Norris Holdings, LLC, Iberia Parish, initial contract expiration $12 / 31$ of 2019 , late renewal request date January 29th of 2020; 20141535, Norris Holdings, LLC, Iberia Parish, initial contract expiration 12/31 of 2019, late renewal request date $1 / 29$ of 2021.

MR. JONES: Do we have anyone here from Norris Holdings?

Yes, sir. Please state your name and your position with the company.

MR. DARBY: My name is Curley Darby. I'm representing Norris International. I'm a tax consultant with Darby \& Associates, Inc.

MR. JONES: Thank you. This renewal contract is actually two years late.

MR. DARBY: Correct.
MR. JONES: Tell us what the problem is here.

MR. DARBY: I can only say it's totally my fault. The company vested their interest and thought that I would take care of it. It slipped by me, and it's totally my fault.

MR. JONES: Okay. One of our concerns always is with these late renewals is for the company to understand the importance of not only renewals, because this will be -- there will not be another renewal on this, but that all filing requirements are essential.

MR. DARBY: Correct.
MR. JONES: And so as a result of this, we have -- the custom has been at least to a 20 percent penalty for each year. So, in this case, it would be a 40 percent penalty. Does the company understand that?

MR. DARBY: Yes, sir, we do.
MR. JONES: Okay. All right. Under these circumstances, for these three cases, 201408480849 and 1535, Norris Holdings, Inc., I would entertain a motion to grant the renewal with a 40 percent penalty.

Motion from St. Blanc; second from Mr. Moss.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)

MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There is no opposition. The motion carries.

Thank you, Mr. Darby.
MR. DARBY: Thank you.
MR. USIE: Next we have 20160738, WestRock CP, LLC in Jackson Parish, the initial contract expiration $12 / 31$ of 2020 , late renewal request date March 16th of 2021; 20160739, WestRock CP, LLC, Jackson Parish, initial contract expiration $12 / 31$ of 2020, late renewal request date $3 / 16$ of 2021; 20160740, WestRock CP, LLC, Jackson Parish, initial contract expiration $12 / 31$ of 2020 , late renewal request date March 10th of 2021; 20150527, WestRock CP, LLC, Jackson Parish, initial contract expiration $12 / 31$ of 2019 , late renewal request date March 9th of 2021.

MR. JONES: Do we have someone here from WestRock?

MR. PYLES: Good morning.
MR. JONES: Good morning. Thank you. State your name and your position with the company, please.

MR. PYLES: Todd Pyles. I'm the Engineering Manager at WestRock Company.

MR. JONES: I think you know the drill. What happened on this one?

MR. PYLES: Our corporate accounting office, all staff members, at the end of the year, were responsible for these renewals. During that process, they discovered it in February and submitted it in March. And currently the director is taking charge of this process until the staff members are replaced.

MR. JONES: Understood. So the problem, you think, has been rectified?

MR. PYLES: Yes. We have the ability to track it in the event this falls through the cracks again.

MR. JONES: Perfect. Good. Thank you.
And as you heard, our custom, at least, is to impose a 20 percent penalty for each year, so under this circumstance, it would be if we approve this renewal, it would we with a 20 percent penalty for each contract.

MR. PYLES: Yes, sir.

MR. JONES: Okay. Entertain that motion.
Motion from Mr. Moss; second from Mayor
Toups.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, any questions or comments from the Board?

MS. COLA: One question.
MR. JONES: Yes, ma'am.
MS. COLA: For the last application with WestRock, the contract initial date is that incorrect, 12/31/2019? Should that be 2020?

MR. USIE: No. It's correct. It would be two years. That one would be 40 percent.

MR. JONES: Good catch, Ms. Cola. Thank you.

So there would be a 40 percent penalty on 20150527, and a 20 percent penalty on the other three; is that correct?

MR. USIE: Correct.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. Cola. Appreciate you catching that.

All right. So the motion would be a 20 percent penalty for the first three WestRock, and for the last one, 20150527, a 40 percent penalty. Is that
okay with the mover and the seconder?
That's affirmative.
All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being no opposition, the motion carries.

Thank you, sir.
MR. USIE: Next we have eight full contract transfers: GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc., Contracts 20120341, 20130386, 20140572 and 20150658, new owner G2O Technologies, LLC in Morehouse Parish; Magellan Midstream Partners, LP, 20130738, transferring to Buckeye Marrero Terminal, LLC in Jefferson Parish; Nalco Company, 20110957 and 20130222, transferring to Nalco Production, LLC in St. John the Baptist Parish; and Nalco Company, 20150506, transferring to Nalco Production, LLC in West Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve those transfers.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mr. Moss; second from Mr. Briggs.

Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, the motion
carries.
MR. USIE: We have seven partial transfers: ChampionX, LLC, 20150552 is retaining $\$ 56,850$ in assets, Nalco Production, LLC is receiving $\$ 4,886,635$ in assets, and this is in St. John the Baptist Parish; ChampionX, LLC, 20150207, ChampionX is retaining $\$ 897,846$ in assets, Nalco Production, LLC is receiving \$4,098,516 in assets. This is in St. John the Baptist Parish; ChampionX, LLC, 20150246, ChampionX is retaining \$475,073 in assets, Nalco Production, LLC is receiving $\$ 3,219,122$ in assets. This is in St. John the Baptist Parish; ChampionX, LLC, 20150247, ChampionX is retaining \$113,839 in assets, Nalco Production, LLC is receiving \$1,632,161 in assets. That is in St. John the Baptist Parish; Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC, 20110659-G, is
retaining $\$ 2,776,745,501$, Sabine Pass LNG, LP is receiving $\$ 276,700,413$. That is in Cameron Parish; Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC, 20110659-H, Sabine Pass Liquefaction is retaining $\$ 106,387,697$ in assets, Sabine Pass LNG is receiving $\$ 34,021,347$ in assets. This is in Cameron Parish; and W.R. Grace \& Co., 20150966, W.R. Grace is retaining $\$ 33,247,134$ in assets, and Avance Refining Technologies, LLC is receiving $\$ 197,998,092$ in assets. This is in Calcasieu Parish.

MR. JONES: All right. I would entertain a motion to approve these partial transfers.

Motion from Mr. Moller; second from Ms. Cola.

Any question or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There is no opposition. The motion carries.

MR. USIE: Next we have five cancelations:
Georgia-Pacific Wood Products South, LLC, Contracts 20120368, 20130719, 20140920, 20150703, and 20161077, these are all in Beauregard Parish. LED was notified by the company of the facility being idle since July 2020 and no manufacturing is currently taking place.

MR. JONES: Point of order, the Chair will be recusing himself for any action on this cancelation, but $I$ will facilitate the meeting.

Is there a motion on this?
MR. MOSS: Motion to defer.
MR. JONES: Motion to defer from Mr. Moss.
Is there a second?
MR. NASSAR: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Mr. Nassar.
We have a motion and a second.
Any discussion from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Without comment, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: No opposition. The motion to defer carries.

MR. USIE: Next we have a special request for Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Contract 20150876. On December 16, 2020 Air Products submitted their renewal application stating a change in manufacturing operations at the contract site.

MR. JONES: All right. Do we have a representative -- I think Mr . Bolen is here.

MR. BOLEN: Thank you, sir. Good afternoon.
MR. JONES: Good afternoon. Appreciate you being here.

Would you state your name and your position with the company for us?

MR. BOLEN: Nile Bolen, Hydrogen Product Manager for Louisiana, Air Products and Company.

MR. JONES: Great. Thank you.
And tell us what we're trying to accomplish here and what's happened.

MR. BOLEN: Well, I was going to be brief and say I submitted the request to speak just to update with some positive developments since we submitted the application.

The plant was idle as of the time the Shell

Refinery went idle. At the time, we were waiting to hear what Shell was going to do with the facility. It is dependent upon them to provide us utilities so that we can operate.

The positive developments that have happened since then is that we are making plans and started negotiations to actually transition that plant to operate independent of the refinery. It is connected to our 600-mile long pipeline system, hydrogen pipeline system, that owns all of the way from the Houston Ship Channel and serves almost of the major refining at the petrochemical site. It supplies into that, so it's not dependent upon Shell operating.

We will have to make some transitions with some equipment, utility sourcing, things like that, but it's fully capable of supplying. And our intent is to continue operating the plant, and we have started plans to those negotiations and actually start transition to do that with hopefully restart by mid to end of next year, 2022.

MR. JONES: Okay. Any questions or comments -- something else, Mr. Bolen?

MR. BOLEN: I was just going to add as well, and it was written in there that we have retained all of our personnel. We're keeping a small team on site, a
few of our maintenance personnel, operators that we allocated to some of our other 15 plants along the Mississippi River. We continue to maintain the plant as if it was operating. So we, again, fully intend on restarting this, and I think that's the difference with some of the other applications that have chosen to cancel.

MR. JONES: Understood. Thank you.
Any questions or comments for Mr. Bolen from the Board on what's going on at Air Products?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. Thank you, sir. Appreciate it.

Do we have a recommendation from the staff or the department on this special request?

None? All right. I think Mr. Secretary is whispering in my ear. It sounds like a support.

SECRETARY PIERSON: LED staff supports. We are hopeful that this operation can resume full, normal operations and retain those employees here in our state and appreciate that they're meeting their requirements to come forward and let us know the material changes and status of operating issues at the plant. Thank you.

MR. JONES: Thank you, sir.
I would entertain a motion to --

MR. NASSAR: So moved.
MR. JONES: What is my motion, to approve the application, approve the renewal application?

MR. USIE: Approve the renewal application.
MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you, sir.
MR. NASSAR: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mr. Nassar. Thank you, sir.

Second from Mayor Toups.
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, the motion carries.

Thank you, Mr. Bolen, for what you're trying to do.

MR. USIE: Next we have an appeal from Sommer Brothers Enterprises, 20141045.

MR. JONES: We have Mr. Watson.
MR. WATSON: Jerry Watson, representative of Sommer Brothers.

MR. JONES: Great. Tell us -- we've read what's in the agenda, but tell us what happened here.

MR. WATSON: They had a late renewal in February. The freeze, nobody could come here from Houston, so they weren't here. They filed all the paperwork for the late renewal, I believe it was 10 months late for the renewal.

MR. JONES: And I understand that when you came in the February meeting or when it came up in the February meeting, because there was no one here, we denied the renewal, which is, again, our custom. But I also understand that you submitted through the e-mail system some comments or requests, because of the freeze situation, that you were not going to be here, and in that case, we probably would have deferred to this meeting.

MR. WATSON: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: But as we all know in the last meeting, we had an e-mail glitch, and the e-mailed public comments that came in were not allowed through into our system. So I think the situation here is is that at the last meeting we had, had we gotten the
e-mail that we should have gotten from -- through the system, they probably would have been, or at least a consideration, a motion to defer until someone could be here. Now they are here.

So at this time, I would -- again, it was a late renewal, so there's still going to be the 20 percent penalty. I think you've been in the audience and heard us discussing what happens there.

Ms. Cheng, do you have something else?
MS. CHENG: Also, since that date, we've been notified that they have paid taxes on these assets.

MR. JONES: Ah, correct.
MS. CHENG: And in the rules, it does state that the Board shall not consider for exemption any establishment that has paid taxes.

MR. JONES: So the taxes have been paid this year?

MR. WATSON: They paid 2020 without the exemption in place.

MR. JONES: That creates a problem. You understand what the problem is, is that the rules say that the only way it could be considered a renewal is if the taxes had not been paid. Have there been any discussion with the tax assessor about the potential for refunding those taxes?

MR. WATSON: There have been. There has not been any agreement in place on that, so...

It's a small company. They only have this one operation in Louisiana. They got a tax bill and paid it is what happened. They didn't bill either, so they just paid it. But they have discussed it with the tax collector, but there's no agreement in place to refund it at the moment.

MR. JONES: Do you anticipate an agreement?
MR. WATSON: Hopeful.
MS. CHENG: The rules do state that the Board shall consider granting a tax exemption only if the assessor agrees in writing to remove the establishment or addition from the taxable rolls should the tax exemption be granted.

MR. JONES: Let me make this suggestion, and, staff, if I'm wandering outside the bounds here, stop me, but it would seem appropriate under the circumstance to defer this perhaps. We have -- the next meeting is in June. Defer this till June, and then allow, if you are able to reach an agreement with the tax assessor and the tax assessor is able to give us the writing that is required by the rules, then perhaps we can keep the renewal alive, but absent something in writing from the assessor, as required by the rules,
even with the deferral, come June, we're going to have to deny the application. Is that understood?

MR. WATSON: Understood.
MR. JONES: That would be my suggestion to the Board, if -- can I get a motion to that effect?

Ms. Malone; second from Mr. Moller. Thank you.

Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
All right. Anything else from our ITEP

## gurus?

MR. USIE: Next we have an ITEP resolution. MR. JONES: Ms. Bourgeois, would you come to the mic, please?

The Board has received a proposed resolution with your packet, and it went out with the agenda with
this recommended resolution.
Ms. Bourgeois, would you kind of explain how this works and what it will appreciate?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Tam Bourgeois, Executive Counsel for LED.

I believe the Secretary is going to make the motion.

SECRETARY PIERSON: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I make a motion, and just a quick preface before the motion simply to say that since 2016 we've continued to a journey of constant improvement for the ITEP program. What we're bringing before you today is another way to provide some clarity on issues that help guide your staff through the process and procedures.

So upon the idea here of the overarching engagement has ground a need now that we have a contract, a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement, that Exhibit A, inside these ITEP awards, there is a contract that's very specific about what the company's going to do, but in real life, sometimes you need to change those. So how do we go about doing that is what this motion is to address.

So upon consideration that an ITEP participant company has circumstances that may change in ways unforeseen at the time of entering into Exhibit A's
with LED, and in consultation with LED ITEP
administrators and supervisors, as well as the Secretary of LED, I make the following motion with regard to the Board's consideration of amendments to Exhibit A's requested by participant companies:

Number 1., Prior to consideration by this Board of whether to approve an ITEP participant's amendment to an Exhibit A previously approved by the Board and approved by the participating local governmental entities, the ITEP participant shall first obtain an approval by resolution from all of the participating local governmental entities (or letter by participating sheriffs) for any changes that would affect the following terms and conditions of the Exhibit A required by ITEP rule Section 503)E), ("jobs, payroll or compliance terms or conditions.")

Subparagraph a., either the number of jobs and payroll to be created at the project site or the number of jobs and payroll to be retained at the project site where applicable, (Section $503(\mathrm{E})(1)$ ); and
b., the provision addressing the penalty for failure to create the requisite number of jobs and/or payroll at the manufacturing establishment (Section 503 (E) (6) ).

Number 2., Amendments to jobs, payroll or
compliance terms or conditions will be prospective and applicable no earlier than the project year in which the Board approves the amendment.

Number 2., For consistency of annual compliance determinations, in the event that any approval by a participating local governmental entity of an amendment to a job's, payroll or compliance term or condition to an Exhibit $A$ is different from an approval by another participating local governmental entity, then that most demanding term or condition approved by a participating local governmental entity will be the term or condition considered by the Board for final approval. For example, if a participating sheriff approves an amendment requiring five jobs and 250,000 in payroll, but the police jury approves an amendment requiring 10 jobs and $\$ 500,000$ in payroll, then the Board will consider an amendment to require 10 jobs and $\$ 500,000$ in payroll.

Number 4., Amendments to Exhibit A's with regard to following terms and conditions in Exhibit A's that are also required by ITEP Rule Section 502 and 503(E) will not be considered by the Board:
a., changes to the definition of Jobs in Exhibit A that are inconsistent with the definition of Job in ITEP Rule Section 502;
b., that the initial exemption contract
shall be for a term of no more than five years and may provide for an ad valorem exemption of 80 percent, except that the initial exemption contract for mega projects shall be for a term of no more than five years and may provide for an ad valorem exemption up to 93 percent (Section 503 (E) (2));
c., that the applicant can apply for a renewal exemption contract, the consideration of which will be based upon the applicant's performance during the initial term of the contract and that the renewal exemption contract shall be for a term of no more than five years and may provide for an ad valorem exemption of 80 percent, except for the renewal exemption contract for mega projects shall be for a term of no more than five years and may provide for an ad valorem exemption of up to 93 percent (Section 503 (E) (3));
d., that the department, on behalf of the Board, will notify the local governmental entities and the assessor when jobs and/or payroll requirements are not met in accordance with the Exhibit $A$ as cited in Section 503 (E) 4));
5. Any requests by an ITEP participant for a substantive amendment to Exhibit $A$ with regard to term or condition not required by ITEP Rule Section 503 (E)
will be presented to the Board for consideration and the Board reserves the right to present those amendments to the participating local governmental entities for approval by all participating local governmental entities, without condition, and otherwise in accordance with current ITEP Rule $503(\mathrm{H})$, as in hotel;
6. Requests for the Board to consider amendments to Exhibit $A$ will be made by special request to the ITEP administrators;
7. All as specifically set for herein, $I$ hereby enter this motion into the record and move that this motion be adopted by the Board for all ITEP projects subject to the rules promulgated by the Board in 2017 and 2018, as applicable.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Pierson.
Do we have a second?
Second from Mr. Nassar.
Before anybody else has a question, I have a question. Mr. McInnis and I talked about it earlier, and I think I know reading the verbiage how this is supposed to happen, but $I$ want to be sure that the record is clear, or, either that, or you can correct my understanding.

The process in Number 1, let's give you the example that an applicant has been approved by the

Board, has gone to the locals, and let's say two of the three local governmental entities have approved the original contract, let's say the sheriff didn't; okay, but the school board and the parish did. So as a result, the only exemption they're their receiving is the tax to the school board and parish, and they're not receiving any exemptions as to the sheriff.

Is that correct?
MS . BOURGEOIS: Right.
MR. JONES: Okay. So now they need to change the Amendment $A$-- excuse me -- the Exhibit A. When it says that "prior to consideration by this Board, the participant's amendment to Exhibit A previously approved by the Board and participating local governmental entities," does that mean then that the applicant only goes back to those entities which previously approved them?

MS. BOURGEOIS: That is correct.
MR. JONES: So he does not -- they would not have to go back to the sheriff, who denied him before, then get approval before it comes back to the Board?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Correct. It is not to change the terms of the actual exemption to the facts of the jobs and --

MR. JONES: So the word "participating" here
is those local governmental entities who actually participated in the original exemption?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Correct. You can say that opted in to that particular exemption.

MR. JONES: Okay. All right. I want to be -- I think that's there in the wording, but I wanted to verify my understanding for the record so if that question ever comes up in the future, we have something in the minutes that might indicate that that is an understanding.

MS. BOURGEOIS: I certainly appreciate it. This is technical so that we can be very clear for our purposes.

MR. JONES: Right. Very good.
MS. BOURGEOIS: But happy to answer any other questions or clarification.

SECRETARY PIERSON: More in line of Cliffs Notes, and certainly our executive counsel can weigh in here, but largely what this does is if there's going to be changes to that agreement that the company made and represented to this Board and to the locals, it's got to have that local approval first coming to you at the Board level so you're not in the middle of trying to triangulate between the company and the locals. They do their homework in the field first, then they come to the

Board for your authorization to proceed in the manners that have been outlined in this, which don't stray far from the original commitments.

MR. JONES: Thank you. Thank you for that.
Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: So practically speaking, a company gets an exemption from us in 2017 and they promise to create 100 jobs and "X" amount of payroll, and five years later, it's only 50 jobs and half the payroll that they promised, they go back to locals and say "Well, we're not in compliance with our contract," for whatever reason, $I$ mean, "we had a recession," and the school board says no, does that cancel the contract? I mean, do they lose their exemption?

MS. BOURGEOIS: No. So for a little context of why staff is presenting this today, we've had some general inquiries from consultants and companies asking what happens if we know going forward, not necessarily today, that we're not up in compliance, but going forward, our numbers might be lower, and, you know, as it stands, there are provisions in the current Exhibit A, but they were asking proactively is there anything that can be done. And I think without this, they can come to you and ask for anything, and so we were trying to come up with a process by which it would be
streamlined, efficient and, you know, tracking how the current application process occurs.

However, alternatively, if there is that, as you say, we're not going to ask for any exhibits to Exhibit A's, what happens now and always is that if you're not in compliance, that is reported it back to the locals, the locals will have probably 30 to 60 days to then recommend to the Board what they think the penalty should be. That recommendation will come to you, the Board, and ultimately you will decide what that penalty is. You might agree with the locals, you might not. The locals might be silent and you come up with your own scheme.

So it's not that we don't have a way to address noncompliance. It's just this is a way for companies to retrospectively say "We know that the circumstance is going to be changed."

And I think probably to get back, also, to your question, these amendments are perspective only. So if someone comes to you in December and says "I need an amendment," it will not apply to the year 2020. So if they weren't in compliance with 2020 , they have to go through that process of going to the locals, explaining themselves and coming back to you for you to consider whether or not they get penalized.

MR. MOLLER: What's the recourse for the locals now if a company doesn't comply with the contract?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Well, in the Exhibit A's now, there is the locals make a recommendation to you what the penalty should be. So they can come to you and say "Penalize them a year," "Cancel the contract" or "We're satisfied with their explanation, Board, please don't penalize them."

MR. MOLLER: Okay.
MS. BOURGEOIS: Or there's also a provision where the locals and the companies can agree to basically a penalty amount, and if both parties agree to an amount, well, then, you go right back on course. You're considered in compliance.

MR. MOLLER: All right. That's all for now.
MR. JONES: Thank you.
Any other questions?
MR. HOLLEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
MR. JONES: Yes, Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: What happens if all locals say cancel the contract? I understood you to say it comes back before this Board; is that correct?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Yes. Then the staff would let you know that all of the locals have recommended
that the Board cancel the contract, and then you either take the recommendation, provide an alternative penalty or no penalty. It's up the Board's discretion.

MR. HOLLEY: So basically you're telling me, so I'll understand, this Board at that point would have the right to overrule the locals?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Correct.
MR. HOLLEY: And what about the provisions about the 20 percent payment to the locals, that would just be by the wayside?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Correct. That is -- it's kind of parallel with what we call clawbacks in our other Cooperative Endeavor Agreements that basically the company pays a penalty. They are actually then considered to be in compliance.

MR. HOLLEY: Thank you. That clears it up.
MR. JONES: Thank you.
Any other questions?
Yes, sir.
MR. MOLLER: Why are we doing this by a motion? I mean, after the Executive Order, we went through a long round of rulemaking for the first set of rules. The second one was much shorter and not done by a committee, and now we're proposing to do this by a resolution that, you know, I saw for the first time, you
know, a few days ago. Why not do this through the regular rulemaking process?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Well, I think what we have found and will always find is that the rules cannot simply account for or address every single situation that will be brought to the Board, which is why in your, I guess, procedural section of the rules of the Board as a whole, you have that company's can come to you with a special request on anything. And so what we were foreseeing is that without this, a company would simply come to the Board, let's say in August, December, you know, whenever and say "Please allow us to amend our Exhibit A." And you could take up that special request, you can not take up that special request, but we were just trying to come up with a process whereby the Board would monitor how you consider Exhibit A's so that you're not kind of day of.

MR. MOLLER: I understand rulemaking is more cumbersome and it takes more time. Is the timing of this related to fact that we're coming up on five years of the Executive Order and that you expect this to become an issue or -- I mean, again, I think this seems like a substantive change to how we operate. It would be nice to see this go through the rulemaking process.

MS. BOURGEOIS: To answer your question, no,
there's no specific reason why the timing of it. It's just we feel the general inquiries and know that you will likely be getting some requests in the not-so-distant future. We just don't have any specific requests right now.

MR. MOLLER: Okay.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Would it be fair to say this is less than a rule? This is an adoption of a process.

MS. BOURGEOIS: It is. And I guess, you know, now that we have the Exhibit $A$, that's a key element and it does have provisions for things like jobs and payroll if you're dealing with the construction of a project that, you know, circumstances do change. Similar to some of our other programs that aren't necessary, you know, up for approval by this Board, but contracts get renegotiated and amendments are made. So we just did not feel like it warranted the necessity of a rule change. It provides you with this mechanism by which to consider amendments to the Exhibit $A$.

SECRETARY PIERSON: And for -- I won't speak for other members, but, you know, the first words that come out of my mouth are "Where are the locals on this?" Now, that's the most salient thing that's going to help inform your decision as you consider any of those
matters. So this puts the onus on the staff to be able to say "Go get the locals' viewpoints on this and bring them with you as you approach the Board." We think it is protecting your time and helpful in the process.

MR. MOLLER: Is there any way to get a report before our next meeting on how many post-Executive Order ITEP contracts are in compliance and how many may not -- how many may be out of compliance? I mean, I recognize that things change and representations you make in 2016 may not be exactly right in 2021 , but it would be nice to get a sense of the universe of how many companies that we granted this tax relief to are out of compliance.

MS. BOURGEOIS: Yes. I do appreciate that staff has been working on putting together that list, so to the extent --

MR. MOLLER: So we will have that by the next meeting so we can look to that at some point in the future?

MS. BOURGEOIS: We can get you a list of ones that we are aware of by the next meeting.

MR. MOLLER: And what's the process for becoming -- are they required, if they know they're not going to meet the requirements, to notify you or what's was the process now?

MS. BOURGEOIS: They have to provide compliance documents evidencing that they're in compliance, and so then those are reviewed by staff and then we are -- I believe staff is finalizing the process and the paperwork by which they then send to the locals for their consideration and recommendation.

MR. MOLLER: Do they do that once a year or do they do that when it's time for renewal?

MS. BOURGEOIS: That would be annually because the companies have an annual jobs and payroll requirement.

MR. MOLLER: Okay.
SECRETARY PIERSON: It's a very complex set of questions. These are manufacturing exemptions, and there's usually a ramp-up period that's happening during that early first segment of the contract, we've got the backdrop of COVID. There's a lot of disruption out there. We can try to get this information to you, and we'll do our very best to do that, but there's a lot of complexities involved here.

MR. JONES: All right. Any other questions or comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, say -- I'm sorry. I didn't ask for the
public. Forgive me, Mr. Waltzer. Please come forward.
Again, state your name.
MR. WALTZER: Joel Waltzer, individually and on behalf of Together Louisiana.

You know, this clearly modifies -- it's a change in the policies. It is policy that is applicable to all of the ITEP recipients, and this very, very clearly is a rulemaking matter. Whether you write "Motion" on the top or you write "Rule" on the top, it's universally applicable to the set of people who would come in and are not compliance or whatever.

MR. JONES: Except -- just one correction. It's only these 2017, 2018 rules. It's not all. Not everyone. It's not applicable to everyone.

MR. WALTZER: I understand that. Meaning it's applicable to everyone who follows within that regime. Within that regime.

MR. JONES: Okay.
MR. WALTZER: This has to go to notice and comment, and it's good for it to go to notice and comment because it can give people time to look at it, it can give local governments time to look at the verbiage; right? And to comment to you guys and to suggest changes that are appropriate; right?

I mean, $I$ just looked at this a little bit
ago, and $I$, you know -- think what you will. I really do care that we have a process that works, and, I mean, I see some issues and questions are raised. It seems to me that the motion does tend to tie your hands by saying well, some things are off limits, like shortening the term of the thing, you know, or lowering the percentage. That seems needless to me. You know, where the company is saying "Yeah, we're not meeting our obligations, we're scaling back. Shouldn't you also be able to scale back?" And, again, in some ways it appears that it would be depriving local governments of say, and certainly situations where now it may be considered those, you know, the ones that have been carved out that must go to new local governments. Maybe those are the more significant ones, and that was determination that was made when they were drafting the motion, but the point is that you need the notice and comment. I mean, it's the law, and it serves a really valid and good point, which is it's going to give the local government an opportunity to give input, it's going to give the public an opportunity for input. And, I mean, it's not that big of deal.

You know, I also think that, you know, what this is isn't that basically these are going to be recipients who received a very large, in some instances
possibly, large remunerative benefit, and now, you know, how now they're going to get caught not having met their obligations. Maybe some happen for good reason, some don't. You know, I'm also interested to know whether their self reporting requirements, not on an annual basis, but when something changes and goes down, then that would, again, be something that could be possibly incorporated into a rule because that's what this is. It's a rule. It's like -- and so my bottom line is I suppose, you know, this is really about enforcement. This is about how the Board enforces the contracts, the Exhibit A's, and, you know, you don't fix things that aren't really broken and you don't do it hodgepodge. If we have a deficiency, you know, put it out there, let people consider it, really consider it, and give you specific language change for your consideration, and this is in my view.

Adopting this and saying that this is now our rule going forward for everything that applies to 2017 and 2018 is an actual illegal rulemaking, and it's just going to lead to further, you know, problems down the road, it could, where you would then take an action based on this illegal rulemaking, and the very people that, you know, they don't like it, now they're going to say "Yeah, but these rules don't apply because it was
pursuant to an illegal rulemaking, null and void, gone." MR. JONES: Thank you.

Ms. Bourgeois, do you care to comment?
MS. BOURGEOIS: I guess to provide a little more context, what the rule requires, what this was based on was Section 503 (E), and what Section 503 (E) says is that in order to receive the Board's approval, applications filed after 2016 shall include an Exhibit A," and that's what we're talking about; right? And the rule provides that there are six different things that go along with that Exhibit A that shall be in Exhibit A. One is the jobs and payroll, and that's really kind of what we're talking about, $I$ think, that we're anticipating the most, and that's what we're saying that that is negotiable. And so the two is the terms of the exemption, the percentage and the years. Three is a process for renewal. Four is notifying the locals having input on compliance and six is the ROI.

So we're not changing the rules. We're simply layering onto that how the Board will consider a request for an amendment to that Exhibit $A$. It is in no means altering what is required by the rules.

MR. JONES: Sure.
MS. MALONE: So if, let's say we don't, you know, pass this motion and we just proceed as is and one
of these comes up to the Board and we would probably set a precedent, as Secretary said, go back to your locals first and come back and tell us what your locals, you know, will agree with. And so similar to the fact where like if you're up for late renewal and you're not here, we typically deny the renewal, and so now staff tells these applications who are up for late renewal you better come or they're going to deny your renewal; correct? And that's not in the rules; correct?

MS. BOURGEOIS: Correct.
MS. MALONE: So this is essentially
establishing a process by which we will, I guess, set a precedent of we want you to go your locals first and have the locals make a recommendation of whether or not they want to make this amendment to the jobs and payroll before we act. So we're not taking any steps out of the process. We're just setting a precedent by this motion of how we want things to happen in order to revise the Exhibit A.

MS. BOURGEOIS: Yes. You're -- that's a great way to state it. We're -- I think what we're doing is setting up the parameters by which the Board will and won't consider an amendment to an Exhibit A. MS . MALONE: Okay.
MR. JONES: Mr. Saizan, did you have --

MR. SAIZAN: I'd just like to call a
question.
MR. JONES: We have a call for question. Any objection?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Are you objecting?
MS. BOURGEOIS: We have to vote on call of question.

MR. JONES: Okay. Let's vote on call.
All in favor of call for question, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
MR. MOLLER: No.
MR. JONES: We have one opposition. So what's my --

MS. BOURGEOIS: I believe now you vote.
MR. JONES: All right. We have a motion, and a question has been called with a proper vote.

Now, all in favor of the motion, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
MR. MOLLER: No.
MR. JONES: We have one no.
That is it for our agenda, except we have
comments from our Secretary.
SECRETARY PIERSON: I know the hour grows late. I'll be very brief, but I want to acknowledge Mr. Joel Waltzer and the participation by Together Louisiana today in a very professional way, pointing out areas where there remains a shallow misunderstanding. We understand that restoration, rehabilitation and routine maintenance are disallowed, and appreciative of this professional and detailed dialog on behalf of the public.

We remain mindful of devastation in
Southwest Louisiana, working hard over in Lake Charles. It's tough. It's a tough scene, but we do have some exciting new announcements around the state in the coming weeks, some big projects in some areas that we really need them. Our interactions with our existing industries are growing great, a lot of news coming there, and we continue a very strong focus in our rural areas where we've seen a lot of investment, but there's a lot of fucus there. And also in support for small businesses, some tremendous, accredited initiatives are coming down from the federal government that are going help us with Loan Guaranty programs and other programs that support entrepreneurship.

Again, thank you for the Board members here
today for your participation in the important activities that allow us to move the state forward.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
Anything else for the good of the order?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: I'll entertain a motion to
adjourn.
We have a motion and a second.
All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Motion carries. We will see you-all in June. Thank you.
(Meeting concludes at 1:08 p.m.)
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