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MR. JONES:
Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
I've been informed that we now qualify for a quorum. Let's get the meeting called to order.

This is the October meeting of the Board of Commerce and Industry.

Ms. Simmons, would you call roll, please, ma'am?

And one thing, let me ask for all of you on the Board, in order to record this meeting, we ask that you speak clearly into the microphone during the meeting. Some of you had some difficulty picking up voices. So if we could help in that way, I'd appreciate it.

Ms. Simmons.
MS . SIMMONS :
Good morning.
Mr. Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS :
Present.
MS. SIMMONS:
Representative Phillip Devillier.
MR. DEVILLIER:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS :
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Tanita Gilbert-Baker.
MS . BAKER:
Here.
MS. SIMMONS:
Mayor Glenn Brasseaux.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS:
Representative Thomas Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Yvette Cola.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS :
Major Coleman.
MAJOR COLEMAN :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS :
Rickey Fabra.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS :
Manuel Fajardo.
MR. FAJARDO:
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:

Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES :
Here.
MS. SIMMONS :
Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE :
Here.
MS. SIMMONS:
Senator Danny Martiny.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS:
Charles Miller.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS:
Jan Moller.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS :
Senator Troy Carter.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS:
Secretary Don Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON:
Present.
MS . SIMMONS:
Scott Richard.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS :
Darrel Saizan.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS :
Daniel Schexnaydre.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS:
Ronnie Slone.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS:
Bobby Williams, Junior.
(No response.)
MS . SIMMONS :
Steve Windham.
MR. JONES :
Here.
MS . SIMMONS:
Dr. Woodrow Wilson, Junior.
MR. W. WILSON:
Here.
MS. SIMMONS:
Dr. Shawn Wilson.
DR. S. WILSON:
Here.

MS. SIMMONS:
We have a quorum.
MR. JONES :
Thank you, Ms. Simmons.
Ladies and gentlemen, you've been provided a copy of the minutes from the meeting of August 28. I would entertain a motion to approve those minutes.

We have a motion. Do we have a second?
We have a second from Drs. Wilson.
Any comments or questions from the
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(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Any comments or questions from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :

There is no opposition. The minutes are approved.

MR. JONES:
Ms. Booker, will you help us with the Quality Jobs Program, please, ma'am.

MS . BOOKER:
I have three new Quality Jobs applications starting with Application Number 20170481, Manchac Technologies, Rapides Parish; 20170346, Sleep Number Corporation in Jefferson Parish; 20170465, Syrah Technologies, LLC in Concordia Parish. And that concludes the new applications.

MR. JONES:
I would entertain a motion for these new applications.

So I have a motion to approve from Dr. Wilson, second from Ms. Malone.

Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the public -- I'm sorry. Mr. Devillier.

MR. DEVILLIER:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Ms. Booker, is this program capped?
MS . BOOKER:
No.
MR. DEVILLIER:
Okay. Thank you. That's the only
question I have.
MR. JONES:
Thank you, Mr. Devillier.
Any other questions or comments from the
Board or from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There is no opposition. The motion carries.

MS . BOOKER:
Next we have Quality Job renewals starting with Application Number 20141254, Cameron RNG, LLC in Cameron Parish; 20121272, German Pellets

Louisiana, LLC \& Louisiana Pellets, Inc. in LaSalle Parish; 20140140, LaGrange Acquisition, LP in Lincoln Parish; 20141403, LaGrange Acquisition, LP in Lincoln Parish; 20141305, Perficient, Inc., Lafayette Parish; and 20130926, Sasol Corporation USA, Calcasieu Parish. And that concludes the Quality Jobs renewals.

MR. JONES:
I'll entertain a motion to approve from Mr. Biggs; second from Mr. Fajardo.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
We do have three people who have registered to speak on behalf of the Sasol Corporation project. Would y'all come forward, please.

All right. Would you please state your name and your position with Sasol, please.

MS . STOFBERG:
Good morning, Secretary Pierson, Secretary Wilson, Chairman Jones and Members of the Board. My name is Nina Stofberg. I'm the Sasol Chief Financial Officer for North America. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today.

I'm here today to do two things: First
to thank you and to also to request approval for Sasol's Quality Jobs renewal; and, second, to answer this Board's request to see a return on the state's tax incentive investment.

About seven years ago Sasol and the State of Louisiana entered into a public-private partnership to invest in the state and Sasol's future. In short, Sasol agreed to make it's largest capital investment to date in the State of Louisiana, a more than $\$ 12-$ billion petrochemical complex, and by doing so, create hundreds of jobs. The state in return agreed to tax relief mechanisms to help in the first few and very expensive years of startup, and, as with any good business agreement, the term should benefit both parties. What $I$ can tell you today, the returns on this project for the State has already exceeded what we envisioned with the Sasol/State of Louisiana partnership agreement.

For the purposes of Sasol's Quality Jobs renewal program today, my colleagues and I will focus on the return on Sasol's direct and indirect jobs. In Louisiana, we have more than 1,200 direct employees working in our Southwest Louisiana facilities, about 400 at our existing facilities and about 800 at our new facilities. Ninety-two percent of our 800 new employees
are from Louisiana, the majority from Calcasieu Parish, and at an average tally of more on $\$ 80,000$ per year plus benefits. These jobs are absolutely quality.

We've had over 6,500 contract workers and construction workers supporting our projects on average daily over five years, the majority in Louisiana proffered from our eight major Louisiana contractors. And, as you will hear shortly from my colleague Kerri, we plan to retain more -- about 1,000 construction workers to support our operations in the long-term, the majority Louisiana residents.

Louisiana economist Dr. Jim Richardson has estimated that the State of Louisiana will receive a 2-to-1 return on its investment in our project. He projected that 1,200 direct jobs will become 5,000 total jobs throughout the region, and personal earnings to grow from $\$ 96$-million paid by Sasol to about \$352-million being earned throughout the community. As I said before, any good business agreement should benefit both parties. What I hope I have demonstrated to you today is that this one does. The State of Louisiana made a good investment in Sasol. The return's already there. Sasol has made a good investment in Louisiana, and on behalf of our more than 2,000 employees and contractors working in our Lake Charles
facilities and our more than 50,000 employees globally, we thank you for it. Thank you.

MR. JONES:
Thank you very much.
Again, state your name and position with the company, please.

MS. COURVILLE:
Good morning. My name is Kerri
Courville and I'm the Senior Human Resource Manager for Sasol North America and I'm based out of the Lake Charles facility.

And we've delivered. We have delivered our Louisiana promise of Louisiana first. We've hired hundreds of employees that are based out of Louisiana. Ninety-two percent, as you just heard, ninety-two percent are neighbors and our friends here in Louisiana. It was a deliberate effort among our company's leadership that nearly a decade ago today we would accomplish this goal, and we have.

We believe another important part of Southwest Louisiana's workforce is worth noting. You will not see their stats reflected in our Quality Jobs application, but they're every bit of quality and critical to operations, and that's our contract workforce. This is a workforce employed by Louisiana
companies and businesses. They're Turner Industries, Performance, Cajun, Excel and other names that you would know, and these employees work alongside our employees every day to help enable our business.

Aside from the construction contractors, Sasol will employ an average of 1,000 additional contract workers when we're fully operational bringing our Lake Charles employee contract workforce to well over 2,000 at steady state. But it doesn't stop there. A big part of ensuring sustainability of our company and our industry's operation over the long-term is maintaining a healthy workforce development pipeline in our community. Salol's workforce profile in Louisiana and our Southwest Louisiana profile and our pipeline is due because of you. We have a strong pipeline because of our Louisiana partners, state and local level.

I'd like to take a minute to recognize a few of those partners. First, the Louisiana State Community College and Workforce System's well-established, statewide network of workforce development experts, centers, candidate pools and technologies support were invaluable to us. Louisiana Economic FastStart Program, their comprehensive workforce solution plan for Sasol and ensured qualified applicants that were screened. The State invested
\$20-million into a regional training facility at SOWELA Technical Community College. We still use the center today to support our development and training for our employees. The Louisiana Community and Technical College System and SOWELA Technical Community College have been key partners in developing new programs locally for high-demand curriculum. Sasol also partnered with McNeese State University's Engineering Department for employee training and development courses. The support of Louisiana Workforce Commission has been so tremendous. It's workforce investment council has created specialized industry workforce online application. The Louisiana Department of Education as well as Calcasieu Parish School Board supports a career track diploma option for high school students. This is vital to our workforce pipeline. So whether a component of our CEO, like a training center, or voluntary community contributions, like our scholarship program, has awarded close to 200 local scholarships to date. Sasol's proud to be delivering. We're delivering new jobs, we're delivering opportunities in Southwest Louisiana. We are delivering not only directly, but indirectly. So thank you.

So in closing, on behalf of Sasol to our community partners here today, thank you for your
partnership, thank you for supporting us and thank you for helping us build a better Southwest Louisiana. MR. JONES:

Thank you very much.
Ms. Kim.
MS . CUSIMANO:
Hi. Kim Cusimano, Manager of Government and Public Affairs for Sasol's North America Operations. I just have a few letters of support from some of our partners in Southwest Louisiana that I'd like to just acknowledge and read into the record. I'll submit them formally in just a second.

But Sara Judson, the President and CEO of the Community Foundation of Southwest Louisiana; President and Dr. Daryl Burckel, President of McNeese State University; Dr. Neil Aspinwall, SOWELA Technical Community College Chancellor; Stephanie Seemion, the Workforce Development Director for the Louisiana Workforce Commission; and George Swift with the Southwest Louisiana Chamber Alliance. So they also are in support of our application for renewal today. And thank you. And we're happy to take any questions from the Board.

MR. JONES:
Absolutely. You want to submit those
into the record?
MS. CUSIMANO:
Yes.
MR. JONES:
If you will give those to Ms. Booker, please, I'd appreciate that.

Any questions for this group from the
Board?
Mr. Devillier.
MR. DEVILLIER:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I've had the privilege to actually visit your expansion site, and it's pretty incredible. I just have a couple of questions, and it's just, $I$ guess, for those outside who haven't been able to understand what Sasol is, can you kind of just summarize what is Sasol? MS. CUSIMANO:

So we are a global international chemical and energies company based in Johannesburg, South Africa. We designated our North American growth hub to be in Southwest Louisiana at our Lake Charles site. It's a multi-asset site. It's the second largest to our South Africa site, and it's certainly an area of strategic growth for us for the long term.

MR. DEVILLIER:

Okay. I heard you mention it was
several years ago, almost a decade, when $y$ 'all entered this agreement with the state, so it wasn't most recent, but are $y^{\prime}$ all actually online yet?

MS. CUSIMANO:
Good question. So we're getting there.
We have three of our seven units online today. The fourth will come online before the end of this year, and then the remaining three in calendar year 2020. Once we have the fourth online, we'll reach about 85 percent of production capacity for the whole project.

MR. DEVILLIER:
Do y'all see any future investments as
far as additional growth?
MS. CUSIMANO:
Certainly. We hope. And certainly the three of us hope that that future investment is here in Louisiana.

MR. DEVILLIER:
And what is the biggest challenge you face as far as being in Louisiana?

MS. CUSIMANO:
We've had a tremendous amount of success operating and working in Louisiana. We've been a corporate citizen of Southwest Louisiana for almost 20
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years now, and we have grown our site from just a seven-unit legacy facility to our head research and development facility for North America and now with this more than $\$ 12$-billion expansion. So we've had a greet great deal of successes in large part to the partnerships we've mentioned here today.

Challenges, certainly workforce was something that we were concerned about early on, but we really have had a success due in large part to these partnerships.

MR. DEVILLIER:
Great. Thank y'all. Thank y'all for what $y$ 'all do for the state.

> MS. CUSIMANO:

Thank you.
MR. JONES:
Any other questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Thank y'all for your comments this morning. It's a fantastic success sorry, and we like to think we're pretty good at what we're doing, but we're only as good as those who choose to invest here in Louisiana, and we thank you for doing so. Thank you
very much.
All right. We have a motion on the floor, and it has been properly seconded, for approval of these Quality Jobs renewals.

All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There is no opposition. Motion carries.
MS . BOOKER :
Next we have two Quality Jobs special requests, one change in project physical location, Project ID 20131061, Fabricari, LLC, previous address 6 East 3rd Street in Kenner, Louisiana 70062, previous parish Jefferson, new address 18 West 3rd Street, Kenner, Louisiana 70062 in Jefferson Parish.

MR. JONES:
I'll entertain a motion to approve.
From Dr. Wilson; second from the other Dr. Wilson.

Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)

MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the
public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There being none, all in favor, say
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(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, that motion carries.
MS . BOOKER:
Next we have a change of affiliate and ownership, Project ID 20141061, Fabricari, LLC in Jefferson Parish, old affiliates and LLC members Jonathan Kernion, Daryl Schloz, Donald McDaniel, J. Michael Hebert and Francis Guidry, new affiliates and LLC members, Jonathan Kernion, Donald McDaniel, J. Michael Hebert, Francis Guidry.

MR. JONES:
Do I have a motion to approve this change in affiliate and owner?

Motion from Mr. Windham; second from Ms.

Malone.
Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES :
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There is none. That motion carries.
MS . BOOKER :
That concludes Quality Jobs. Thank you.
MR. JONES:
Thank you for your help today.
All right. Ms. Lambert, help us to walk through the Restoration Tax Abatement Program.

MS . LAMBERT :
Good morning. We have five new
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application for the Restoration Tax Abatement Program. First one is Application Number 20170232, 546 Carondelet Partners, LLC as lessee \& Hebbler Properties, LLC, et al in New Orleans; 20161557, 640 Magazine Street, LLC, Orleans; 20190020, Hotel Lincoln, LLC, East Baton Rouge; 20190230, Monroe Development, LLC in Ouachita; 20161883, Urban Magazine Street Hotel, LLC in Orleans.

This concludes the new applications for 43,835,000 in investment.

MR. JONES:
Thank you, Ms. Lambert.
I'll entertain a motion to approve.
Motion from Mr. Coleman; second from Dr. Woody Wilson.

Any questions or comments from the
Board?
Mr. Devillier.
MR. DEVILLIER:
Ms. Lambert, what is the Restoration Tax Abatement Program? Can you explain to us a little bit how that works?

MS. LAMBERT :
Yes. This program allows for companies and individual homeowners to receive property tax abatement on improvements they make to existing
structures.
MR. DEVILLIER:
And this may be a question for LED or you, does the state have a return on that investment? MS . LAMBERT :

We don't record it as such. I'm certain there is as far as -- but it's not the state. It's the local property taxes and the local parishes that give the abatement, so I know that they know what their return is.

MR. DEVILLIER:
So it's a local property tax?
MS . LAMBERT :
Correct.
MR. DEVILLIER:
Okay. Thank you.
It's my understanding that there's federal dollars also that follow this; is that correct?

MS . LAMBERT :
There are other programs that provide benefits, such as Historic Preservation, and there are others -- I can't think of the other one, but there are two historic federal programs. One is managed by the state Office of Culture, Recreation and Tourism. We manage this one that allows for local property tax

```
relief.
```

MR. DEVILLIER:
Is it capped?
MS . LAMBERT :
No.
MR. DEVILLIER:
Thank you.
MR. JONES :
Thank you.
Any other questions or comments from the
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(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, any questions or
comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Seeing none, all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There is no opposition. The motion carries.

Thank you very much, Ms. Lambert. I
appreciate your help.
MS . LAMBERT :
We have two renewal applications. One
is for 20101115, 139 Huey P. Long Avenue, LLC in Jefferson, and the other one is for 20121256, Oakwood Shopping Center, LLC in Jefferson for a total of two renewal applications, $\$ 16-\mathrm{million}$ investment.

This concludes the renewal applications.
MR. JONES:
Thank you.
Motion to approve these two renewals of the Restoration Tax Abatement.

Ms. Gilbert-Baker motions; second by
Mr. Carmody.
Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES :
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There is none. That motion carries.
Thank you, Ms. Lambert. I appreciate
your help this morning.
Ms. Metoyer, how are you this morning?
MS . METOYER :
I'm good. How are you?
MR. JONES :
Good. Thank you.
MS . METOYER:
I have seven new applications.
MR. JONES:
Give me just a second here. My pages
are a little out of order.
Okay. I'm with you now.
MS . METOYER :
21160890, Exxon Mobile Corporation, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20151343, Louisiana Fish Fry Products, LTD, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20160870, Om Shanti Om Six, LLC, Lafayette Parish; 20141082, Opelousas Hotel Group, LLC, St. Landry Parish; 20161875,

|  | Torres Reporting \& Associates, inc. | Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 <br> 225.752.7308 FAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SERVICES <br> www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free | New Orleans, LA <br> 504.392.4791 <br> 504.392.4852 FAX |
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Our Lady of the Lake Regional Medical Center, East Baton
Rouge Parish; 20160765, Placid Refining Company, LLC, West Baton Rouge Parish; and 20141574, Vidalia Hotel Group, LLC, Concordia Parish.

MR. JONES :
I have a motion to approve by
Mr. Carmody; second from Dr. Woody Wilson.
Any questions or comments from the
Board?
MS . MALONE :
I would like to recuse myself from
Application Number 20141574.
MR. JONES :
Okay. Would that recusal of Ms. Heather Malone be noted for the record.

Any other questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES :
Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There being none, that motion carries.
MS . METOYER:
We have seven terminations. 20111258, Domain Cos. Management, LLC, Orleans Parish. The existing contract is May 1, '15 to April 30, 2020. The request term date is 10/31/2015. The contract requirements were met; 20131048, Heritage Manor West, LLC, Caddo Parish. Existing contract, July 14 of 2014 to July 13 of 2019. The requested term date is 7/31/2017. Contract requirements met; 20081110, Our Lady Of Lourdes Regional Medical Center, Incorporated, Lafayette Parish. Existing contract 1/1/09 to 12/31 of '13. The requested term date is $12 / 13$ of 2011. Contract requirements were met; 20161395, Southern Designs \& Gifts, LLC, Concordia Parish. Existing contract is April 22 of 2016 to April 21 of 2020. The requested term date is April 21 of 2019. The contract requirements were met; 20151293, Sunrise Hospitality, VI, LLC, Lincoln Parish. The existing contract is July 16 of 2015 to July 15 of 2020. The requested term date
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is August 1, 2018. Contract requirements met; 20130905, Willis Knighton Medical Center, Incorporated, Bossier Parish. The existing contract is June 7, 2013 to June 6 of 2018. The requested term date is January 1, 2016. Contract requirements were met; and 20130904, Willis Knighton Medical Center, Incorporated, Caddo Parish. The existing contract is June 7, 2013 to June 6 of 2018. The requested term date is January 1, 2016. The contract requirements were met.

MR. JONES:
Ms. Metoyer, the third -- I think it was the third one that's not -- that you mentioned is not on the list that we have at the podium. What was the third one that you mentioned? I think it was Lafayette. MS . METOYER :

Our Lady of Lourdes Regional Medical Center?

MR. JONES :
Correct.
MS METOYER:
Oh, that was an older one.
MR. JONES :
Okay.
MS . METOYER :
That was an older one. In the rules,
it's not specific in the rules when you have to term. MR. JONES :

Okay. Well, my more immediate question is --

MS. METOYER :
It's not on the list.
MR. JONES :
Okay. Was it on the original agenda that was published for this meeting? That would be my question.

MS. METOYER:
I think it was. That's why --
MR. JONES :
Let's do this, just to keep to record straight, $I$ would entertain a motion to amend the agenda to add this. I'm going to ask you to read the information once again. Let's get the information, and then we'll have the motion.

And so, Board, so you'll understand where I'm going with this, we can amend the agenda with a unanimous vote of the Board. If you do not want to. I'm not putting any pressure on you. I'm just trying to keep the record clear.

Let's read the information to us.
MS . METOYER :

Okay. And this one was on there, and we took it off so there wouldn't be questions, but some of them will wait a while before they ask for it, a term. MR. JONES:

Okay. All right. Let's go ahead and read the information on that one again, please.

MS . METOYER :
20081110, Our Lady of the Lourdes
Regional Medical Center, Lafayette Parish. The existing contract is January 1, 2009 to 12/31/2013. The requested term date is December 31 of 2011 . The contract requirements were met.

MR. JONES:
Okay. So I have a motion to add that to the agenda from Mr. Carmody; second for Dr. Woody Wilson.

All in favor of the motion to amend the agenda to add this entry.
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
All right. So we have unanimously voted to amend the agenda to add --

MS . METOYER :
Thank you.
MR. JONES :
-- this. No worries. No worries. When
you read it, $I$ was going "Wait. Either I've got the wrong sheet in front of me or $I^{\prime \prime}$-- I want to be sure we're clear.

Okay. Now we have a complete list of those contract termination requests?

MS . METOYER :
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
Then I will entertain a motion to approve the terminations.

Motion from Mr. Coleman; second from Mr. Windham.

Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Any comments or questions from the public?

Ms. Guess?
Okay. All right. Any comments or questions from the public? I apologize for the
confusion this morning, but I think we've waded through it.
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
All right. All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, motion carries.
Thank you, Ms. Metoyer.
All right. We have a change in name.
MR. METOYER:
Yes, sir. 20160703, the existing name is SB Nola Subtenant, LLC, and the new name is Supreme Bright NOLA II Subtenant, LLC and this is in Orleans Parish.

MR. JONES :
All right. I'll entertain a motion to approve.

From Ms. Cola; second from Mr. Saizan.
Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)

MR. JONES :
Any questions or comments from the
public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
I see none. All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES :
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, that motion carries.
MS . METOYER:
That concludes Enterprise Zone.
MR. JONES :
Thank you so much.
Good morning.
MR. USIE:
Good morning.
MR. JONES :
Ms. Cheng, Mr. Usie, let's walk through the Enterprise Zone Program.

MR. USIE:
ITEP.
MR. JONES :

Excuse me. Thank you. Takes a village to raise me as well. So let's walk through the ITEP program.

MR. USIE:
We have seven pre-Executive Order applications: 20160001, C\&C Marine and Repair, LLC, Plaquemines Parish; 20121343, Entergy Louisiana, LLC Waterford 3, St. Charles Parish; 20161519, Entergy New Orleans, LLC - Michaud, Orleans Parish; 20161546, International Paper Company, De Soto Parish; 20161550, Methanex Louisiana, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20161549, Methanex USA, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20160666-A, Westlake Vinyls Company, LP, Ascension Parish. MR. JONES:

All right. We have a motion to approve these pre-Executive Order applications for the ITEP from Dr. Woody Wilson; second from Mr. Carmody.

Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
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There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There is no opposition. That motion carries.

MR. USIE:
Next we have four post-Executive Order applications following the 2017 rules: 201703233, AIT MACHINE, LLC, Terrebonne Parish; 20170390, Champion Home Builders, Inc., Vernon Parish; 20180075, LAPCO Manufacturing, Inc., St. Mary Parish; 20170239, LaSalle BioEnergy, LLC, La Salle Parish.

MR. JONES:
Do we have a motion to approve?
By Mr. Fajardo.
Can we have a second?
From Ms. Malone.
Any questions or comments for the Board?
We have on the -- for the information of the Board and the public, on Number 20180075, LAPCO Manufacturing, this has been hold over for a couple of
meetings. Mr. Windham and I met with the LED leadership and staff on this matter. There was some question as to application of the rules and concerning the interplay of the advanced notification and the ITEP application. I think it's been determined that the recommendation, at least from the Chair to the Board, is that we not penalize LAPCO with what the normal penalty would be under the situation because of the interplay of those rules. But we would ask that LAPCO provide to LED staff within 30 days proof of completion of construction or commencement of operations. If you could do that within 30 days, then we would approve to continue upon that provision.

I know that Mr. Triche and Mr. Fink have signed to speak on this matter. Is that the acceptable? MR. FINK:

Yeah, absolutely.
MR. JONES :
Thank you very much, Mr. Fink. Thank you so much. And you understand what we need within 30 days? Just written proof that commencement of operation or completion of construction.

MR. FINK:
Right.
MR. JONES :

All right. So with that, I would ask that the motion that we made be amended to incorporate the contingency on LAPCO.

And we have a motion from Mr. Carmody; second from Mr. Windham to allow for that modification to the LAPCO.

Any questions? I understand if you have any questions on that, feel free to ask.

Anything from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Anything from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There being none, all in favor of the amended motion, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There being none, those pre-EO
applications are approved.
MR. USIE:
Post-EO applications.

MR. JONES:
Post. Thank you. I'm looking right at it too.

Post-EO applications are approved with the special contingency on the LAPCO application. Thank you very much.

MR. USIE:
Next we have 15 post-Executive Order applications following the 2016 rules: 20190376, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Iberville Parish; 20170416, Cornerstone Chemical Company, Jefferson Parish; 20180171, Cornerstone Chemical Company, Jefferson Parish; 20180045, Hunt Forest Products, LLC; Grant Parish; 20190324, Huntsman International, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20180087-A, LaSalle Lumber Company, LLC, La Salle Parish; 20170492, Louisiana Sugar Cane Cooperative, Inc., St. Martin Parish; 20180365, Marathon Petroleum Company, LP, St. John the Baptist Parish; 20161839, Nalco Company, LLC, St. John the Baptist Parish; 20170302, Oil \& Grit, LLC, East Feliciana Parish; 20190250, PCS Nitrogen Fertilizer, LP, Ascension Parish; 20190251, PCS Nitrogen Fertilizer, LP, Iberville Parish; 20170377-A, Pala Interstate, LLC, Livingston Parish; 20190325, Rubicon, LLC, Ascension Parish; and 20170205, Westlake Vinyls Company, LP, Ascension Parish.

MR. JONES :
Motion to approve.
I have one from Mr. Briggs; second from
Mr. Devillier.
Any questions or comments from the
Board?
MR. DEVILLIER:
I do have a comment.
MR. JONES :
Comment from Mr. Devillier.
MR. DEVILLIER:
I just wanted to recognize that staff did add the column again that showed what was the estimate on the taxes that will be paid now, and it's
 that estimate, and I'm sure the locals will appreciate that as well.

MR. JONES :
Good information. Thank you.
Anyone else, questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Any from the public?
(No response.)

MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
No opposition. That motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next we have 151 renewal applications. Two have requested deferral. They are 20131327, Folger Coffee Company, Orleans Parish, and 20141383, Folger Coffee Company in Orleans Parish.

MR. JONES:
All right. First $I$ would entertain a motion to defer those two applications.

Motion from Dr. Shawn Wilson; second from Dr. Woody Wilson.

Any questions or comments from the Board on that?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, any questions or
comments from the public?

MR. JONES:
Those two application are deferred.
I would now entertain a motion to
consider the remaining applications -- excuse me -- the remaining renewals in globo if that does not preclude any discussion on any specific application.

MR. USIE:
I'm sorry. I forgot one more
withdrawal. It's actually not a deferral. It's a withdrawal. The location was closed, but they had already filed their renewal, so we were notified after.

MR. JONES :
Okay. Which one is that?
MR. USIE:
It's 20150851, International Paper Company in Webster Parish, and we were notified after the renewal was filed that the location was closed, so they're withdrawing the application.

MR. JONES:
They're withdrawing the application
altogether?
MR. USIE:
Altogether.
MR. JONES :
Do we need to act on that withdrawal or
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is it deemed as it's never been there?
MR. USIE:
It's just approved as it; right? It's withdrawing it from consideration altogether.

MR. JONES :
Let's just make sure that -- let's make sure the record's clear.

I will entertain a motion to recognize the withdrawal of that particular application.

Motion from Mr. Carmody; second from Dr.
Woody Wilson.
Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There are none. Questions or comments
from the audience?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Okay. So we have two deferrals, we have one withdrawal. We have all of the remaining
applications, do we have a motion to consider them in globo? We're not acting on them yet. We're simply moving to consider them in globo.

Motion from Mr. Carmody; second from Dr. Woody Wilson.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES :
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
All right. Now $I$ will entertain a motion on approval of them in globo.

Motion from Mr. Coleman; second from Ms. Cola.

Any questions or comments from the Board? Again, this does not preclude consideration of any specific application if you wish to.
(No response.)
MR. JONES :

No comments or questions from the Board.
Any from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Okay. All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, that motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next we have 16 late renewals, and we have 11 that requested deferral to the December agenda. Those are for International Paper. 20120045, International Paper, De Soto Parish; 20140338, International Paper, De Soto Parish; 20140339, International Paper, De Soto Parish; 20140340. International Paper, De Soto Parish; 20140341, International Paper, De Soto Parish; 20140342, International Paper Company, De Soto Parish; 20140343, International Paper, De Soto Parish; 20140344, International Paper Company, De Soto Parish; 20130345, International Paper Company, De Soto Parish; 20140346, International Paper Company, De Soto Parish; and
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20140754, International Paper Company, Lafayette Parish. MR. JONES :

All right. I'll entertain a motion to defer those International Paper late renewals.

Motion from Mr. Carmody; second from Dr. Woody Wilson.

Questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Question or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
No opposition. The motion carries. Those are deferred.

MR. USIE:
20110294, Begneaud Manufacturing, Inc., Lafayette Parish; 20120199, Begneaud Manufacturing, Inc., Lafayette Parish; 20130164, Begneaud

Manufacturing, Inc., Lafayette Parish; and 20140249, Begneaud Manufacturing, Lafayette Parish.

MR. JONES :
Do we have a representative from
Begneaud Manufacturing with us today?
MS . BROUSSARD :
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
Would you please come forward, please.
MS . BROUSSARD :
Sure.
MR. JONES :
Have a seat right there by the mic. If you'd state your name and your position with the company, please.

MS . BROUSSARD :
Denise Broussard.
MR. JONES :
Let's get the mic a little bit closer. Just pull it up to you. There we go. Thank you.

MS . BROUSSARD:
Denise Broussard. I'm the accountant over there.

MR. JONES :
Great. Thank you, ma'am.

MS . BROUSSARD:
Thank you.
MR. JONES :
Just looking at the information we have in front of us, it appears we have initial contract expiring dates going back to 2015, and it looks like someone determined that the renewals had not been filed and they were all filed on September 11th, 2019. Can you tell us how we got to where we are?

MS . BROUSSARD:
Initially whenever we started the program way back when, there was mechanisms that you did this, this happened, you did this, this happened, and then they had a change that $I$ was not aware of that the company was responsible to initiate the renewals. And when our assessor's office starting assessing those applications, they kind of said "Did y'all renew?" I go "I'm sure we did. You know, it's five years." And so looking into it, that's when I contacted these guys over here and they walked me through what I needed to do to get everything cleaned up and within accordance with the rules and everything. So it is my responsibility, and I did not keep up with it like I should have, you know.

MR. JONES:
I understand. Well, and we're just
trying to understand.
MS . BROUSSARD :
When those changes happened, I was not aware of it, and, you know, I do take responsibility for that, you know, so it just happened.

MR. JONES:
And under the rules, we have an obligation to -- when there are late penalties, we have an obligation to penalize unless there's a reason not to or we can change those penalties, but that's the reason we want to hear from the applicant as to what happened so we can determine what penalty, if any, to render here.

Mr. Usie, you may want to help me walk through this since we have four different contracts. As I appreciate it, for the first one, 20110294, that would be a four-year penalty on that one.

MR. USIE:
Correct.
MR. JONES :
The next one, 20120199, a three-year penalty.

MR. USIE:
Correct.
MR. JONES :

20130164 would be a two-year penalty. MR. USIE:

Correct.
MR. JONES:
And 20140249 a one-year penalty; is that
correct?
MR. USIE:
Correct, yes.
MR. JONES :
I would entertain a motion to approve the renewal for Begneaud for these four applications with the noted penalties on each one.

Mr. Fajardo. Thank you. A second from
Mr. Coleman.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the
public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")

MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There is no opposition. The motion carries.

Thank you, Ms. Broussard.
MS . BROUSSARD :
Thank you. Appreciate it.
MR. JONES :
Always, if you have any questions, the staff is always there.

MS . BROUSSARD:
Oh, absolutely. They were amazing.
MR. JONES :
Thank you very much.
MR. USIE:
Next we have 20140285, Kim Kool, Inc., Calcasieu Parish. Initial contract expiration 12/31 of 2018, and renewal request date 9/26 of 2019.

MR. JONES :
Do we have anyone here from Kim Kool, Inc?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :

Any representative from Kim Kool, Inc.? (No response.)

MR. JONES:
No? I would entertain a motion to deny the late renewal motion.

From Dr. Wilson; second from Mr. -- I'm going completely blank -- Saizan. Thank you very much. I apologize, Mr. Saizan.

I want to be sure we don't have a representative from Kim Kool.
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Okay. All right. Any questions or
comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There being none, the motion to deny the renewal request from Kim Kook, Inc., all in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES :

Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There is no opposition. That motion carries.

MR. USIE:
Next we have two change in location requests. The first one is Baker Hughes Oilfield Operations dba Drilling Services, Contract 20150686, previous location 1231 Old Spanish Trail, Broussard, Louisiana 70518 in St. Martin Parish, new location 1058 Baker Hughes Road, Broussard, Louisiana 70518, St. Martin Parish.

The other one is LAD Salvage, LLC, Contract Number 20150636, 155 Gordy Street, Baldwin, Louisiana 70514, St. Mary Parish, new location 1046 River Road, Berwick, Louisiana 70342 in St. Mary Parish. MR. JONES:

I'll entertain a motion to approve the change in locations.

Mr. Briggs; second from Mr. Carmody. Any questions or comments from the
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(No response.)
MR. JONES :

There being none, any questions or
comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES :
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There is no opposition. Motion carries.
MR. USIE:
We have one cancelation, Frederick's Machine \& Tool Shop, Inc., Contract 20110126, and it in Iberia parish.

MR. JONES:
I'll entertain a motion to approve this cancelation.

Mr. Coleman; second from Dr. Shawn Wilson. Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, any questions or
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comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There is none. All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There is none. The motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next we have four special requests. The first one is Fabricated Steel Products, LLC. They're requesting the re-approval of their Application Number 20190168 and corresponding Exhibit A that were previously approved at the August 28th, 2019 Board meeting. The two new jobs and 40,000 in total payroll initially reported has been revised to 10 new jobs with 300,000 in total payroll, and the corrected summary is displayed below.

MR. JONES:
Any recommendation from the staff on
this one?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :

So I would entertain a motion to approve this special request from Fabricated Steel Products.

From Ms. Malone, motion; second from Mr. Windham.

Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. WINDHAM:
I have a question. Do we have a representative from the company here?

MR. JONES:
Do we have a representative from the
company?
MR. WINDHAM:
I just want to know what happened in the process.

MR. WEGE :
So my name is Robert Wege. I'm a partner with Sumit Credits, the consulting firm that helped Fabricated Steel file the application, and at the time of the application, when we filed it, we were not aware that East Baton Rouge had set forth guidelines that as to how many jobs needed to be created in order for them to approve the application through their process, so that's why we increased the jobs.

And I would ask, if there's any way
possible that we could, once an application goes through this Board and is approved, if we raise the limits, whether it's investment or jobs, having to come back through this body for a second approval sometimes can be a little bit burdensome, especially if you've got a company that's anxious to get started on their project.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. So there's a followup to that. You know there's consequence if you don't meet the job requirements; correct?

MR. WEGE :
Yes, sir, absolutely.
MR. JONES :
So somehow to weave that into the process may not be such a bad idea, because on the downside, there is a consequence, but on the upside, if they meet the minimum threshold to support the application, I think that should be taken into consideration and possibly save our time, staff time, local's time, everyone's time in the process itself.

MR. JONES:
Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON:
Well, there's a very valid point before the Board, and it's not completely illuminated here by
the discussion. He's coming back to actually raise the number of jobs in this, we applaud that, but what he's pointing out is that our political subdivisions have enacted rules, process that are more stringent than what the Board has the ability to authorize. That's something we would like to address. We're having those conversations right now and anticipate that by our next board meeting, we'll come back with some stronger language directing political subdivisions to alleviate this kind of concern.

MR. WEGE :
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
If I might add to that too, just for consideration, you know, some of our industries work in very fluid operations. So where they may think they're going to have 10 jobs one year, that first year they may have that, but because of contracts, you know, changes in the oil industry, all of the sudden they increase their jobs to 40 , but then the next year or the next subsequent years, maybe they drop down to eight. Is it fair to not give them credit for those 40 jobs that were created for a three-year period due to the economics of the world?

SECRETARY PIERSON:

Well, without taking up too much time, I would say that certainly there's two elements involved here. One is the absolute requirement there is a return back to the state. So if we're going to grant these exemptions or other kinds of enumeration to the companies, then we want to confirm that we had performance. Now, we understand that the performance may rise and fall, business conditions are difficult, so typically when we get into the realm of what we might refer to in industry as "clawbacks," we will recognize the performance that the company did achieve and not claw everything back, but proportionate to their performance is the penalty for failing to meet the obligation that they entered their contractural obligation to the state with.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you.
MR. JONES :
You have some comments?
MS . BOURGEOIS :
Just to follow up a little bit on that, Mr. Windham. It will be up to the Board if and when a company does not meet their required jobs that they had committed to in Exhibit A as to what the penalty will be. So that will come back to you for consideration,
and ultimately you decide what the penalty is.
MR. WINDHAM:
Good. Thank you, Ms. Tam, from LED. MR. JONES:

All right. Any other questions or comment from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor, say
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There is none. That motion carries.
Thank you, sir.
MR. USIE:
Next special is for Bollinger Shipyards,
LLC. They are requesting the consideration of the below partial transfer of Contract Number 20151877 to

Bollinger Algiers, LLC. The transfer request was filed 7/31/2019 with a reported effective date of transfer of 5/1/201, which is beyond the three-month time period allowed for ITEP rule.

MR. JONES :
To the Board and to the public, on this particular matter, some information came to me yesterday, and I'm going to ask that we defer this until the next meeting. We simply didn't get an opportunity yesterday to get all of the questions that I had answered.

So I will entertain a motion to defer the Bollinger Shipyard special request from Contract Number 20151877.

Motion from Mr. Windham; second from Mr. Coleman.

Any question or comments on that issue from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
Any comments or questions from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor of
deferring the Bollinger Shipyard request, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
No opposition. The motion carries.
We'll take that up at the next meeting.
MR. USIE:
Next we have Precision Cutting
Specialties, LLC requesting consideration of the below partial transfer of Contract 20141149-A to Dis-Tran Steel, LLC, an affiliated entity. The transfer request was filed on $8 / 21$ of 2019 with a reported effective date of transfer of $5 / 1$ of 2019 , which is beyond the three-month time period allowed per ITEP rule.

MR. JONES :
Motion to approve, Mr. Devillier; second from Mr. Windham.

Do we have anyone here from Precision Cutting Specialties?

MR. WEGE :
Robert Wege with Sumit Credits again. We represent Precision Cutting, and they are actually a wholly-owned subsidiary of Crest Industries. And what
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happened here is they, both of these entities, are wholly-owned subsidiaries, and so it was an intercompany transaction that happened when these assets were transferred over, and it was not realized by us or anyone in the company that we needed to file for this transfer of ownership because it was an intercompany transaction.

MR. JONES :
Okay. All right. Do we have a
recommendation from staff on this at all?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
No recommendation from staff?
MR. WINDHAM:
If I may, there were no taxes paid on it?

MR. WEGE :
No, sir.
MR. JONES :
I would entertain a motion to approve the request.

Mr. Devillier or Mr. Windham moves;
Mr. Carmody seconds.
Any questions or comments from the
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
All right. Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES :
There being none, all in favor, say
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(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
No opposition. Thank you.
MR. USIE:
Next we have Louisiana Spirits, LLC, Application Number 20170480. It was approved at the June 26, 2019 Board meeting. Louisiana Economic Development posted the approval to their website within the three-day period required per ITEP rule. The application was deemed approved, however, the Jefferson Davis Parish School Board denied the application at it's August 29, 2019 special meeting, which date falls within the 60-day period to take action, but not for providing notice during the initial 30-day window.

LED is referring this matter to the Board of Commerce and Industry for their consideration, and there's a chart below outlining the timeframe. MR. JONES:

Before we even consider the motion on this, let me see if $I$ can help the Board on the facts of this case.

We have a situation where the -basically the local government is given 30 day's notice or given 30 days to act upon applications. This particular -- there was no action within the 30-day time period. After the 30-day time period, the school board disapproved or disallowed this particular application, but it was outside the 30 days. It was within the 60 days that is required to act.

I understand we have some
representatives here from the Jefferson Davis Parish School Board, but I understand that there were extenuating circumstances that led to this. As it stands right now, the ITEP application from Louisiana Spirits is approved because the school board disavowal was untimely, but what we're doing today is a special request to consider the school board's position.

So if we have representatives, I think Kirk Credeur and Bill Hebert from the school board, if
y'all can come forward and let us know what happened.
First of all, if you'll state your name and your position, please.

MR. CREDEUR:
Yes, sir. Thank you for the opportunity to represent the will of my board. My name is Kirk Credeur. I'm the Superintendent of Jefferson Davis Parish School Board. Also have with me Mr. Bill Hebert. He is my Chief Financial Officer.

MR. JONES:
Fantastic. Thank you very much. And I believe I've hopefully simplified the facts here for the Board in an accurate manner.

MR. CREDEUR:
Very well. Yes, sir, you did.
MR. JONES:
Let me know what happened. I know there were some things happening there at the time.

MR. CREDEUR:
There were. There were several things that were happening. Number one, since we're a small rural district, this process of the ITEP, as we very rarely go through this process, and so there's still some growing pains from this process from the way it starts to the way it finishes.

We've been willing to go and wanting to work with any kind of organization to make sure that we are open to any business that wants to come in and receptive to them. This particular process was interesting because when we did not get the original information sent to us or anybody contacted us when they started the process and started meeting with some of the entities in the school district, so we were alerted a little bit late.

We did, however, answer them within 30 calendar days -- I mean, excuse me, 30 workdays, but not 30 calendar days. So there may have been some misunderstanding with that. But the main that happened was is during the process that we're doing, for those that maybe keep up with the education business and what's going on with the state, we were hit with a lot of cyber attacks through the State of Louisiana that were attacking specifically school systems. During that process, we were mandated by the Governor of Louisiana to shut down all of our processes, which includes our e-mails, our phones, which are voiceover IPs that go through the computer system, all of our computer systems, our e-mails, all of our contacts, telephones and everything was shut down, and we were given a timeframe in which we had to get back on there with
approvals from the state. So it was all hands on deck at that particular time trying to make sure we did not lose any valuable information, payroll information, employment information and things like that. And it was a very trying period for us at that particular time.

As soon as we were able to, we
immediately contacted and they told us we were out of the 30-day window. We understood that, but we also talked with the council that Ms. Kristin Cheng, I believe, and then a Tam for the council, and she said go ahead and put it up before the Board anyway.

We went through the Board, and our board made the decision unanimously not to approve this particular thing, but we do understand it was outside the context of that. But in a small rural district, when you're having challenges establishing a procedural steps through all of the taxing entities, you know, there were several things that are challenging for all involved, the other taxing agencies as well as businesses, which we would like to eliminate, because we need it to be as efficient as possible for them. But we also felt we were not identified and provided this information in a timely fashion. We also felt that we had at no point at all been contacted Bayou Spirits to represent or present anything to us. I have still not

|  | Torres Reporting \& Associates, inc. <br> COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free | Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 <br> 225.752.7308 FAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX |

had a single call from them or any information from them.

Also in the process, we understand that they're supposed to give us an opportunity to have a phone call so that we can coordinate any kind of misunderstandings. Since we hadn't been -- we weren't contacted initially, there was no follow-up phone call for that particular thing either.

Now, I'm not blaming them. I just think it's the uniqueness of the process and the newness of the process in a very small, rural, agrarian district that is not used to these processes, that getting everybody to come together, there were just communication errors all around, which I think were not necessarily fair to Bayou Spirits nor to us.

So that's kind of the process in a nutshell out of how it fell.

MR. JONES:
If I may, do you know the dates that your -- that the e-mail or cyber --

MR. CREDEUR:
We were -- if I remember correctly, approximately July the 5th.

MR. HEBERT:
We were notified by the Governor's
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office on July 29th, Monday, to shut down our e-mail or internet connections and to begin immediately a series of six protocol maneuvers that our IT department and everybody else involved had to go in order to make sure that we were safe from cyber attacks in the future.

MR. JONES :
Okay. So you were shut down on July the 29th until when?

MR. HEBERT:
Yes, sir. And we were not able to get our systems back up to a barely minimal functional level until Monday, August the 5th, which is the day that I then contacted Ms. Cheng.

MR. JONES:
Now, according to information we have in front of it us, it appears that LED notified you of the this Board's approval of the Louisiana Spirits application on July the 2nd. Did you receive that e-mail?

MR. HEBERT :
No, sir, we did not receive an e-mail. We received a packet of information in the mail via certified mail. I want to say that we got that around July 10th.

MR. JONES:

It says here on July the 8th.
MR. HEBERT:
Okay. That...
MR. JONES:
Well, actually that's it was sent. You may have received it on the 10 th .

MR. HEBERT :
Yes, sir.
MR. JONES:
Well, actually it says you received it on the 15th.

MR. HEBERT :
I'll go with the $15 t h$.
MR. JONES:
I understand.
So as of July 15th you had actual notice of the Louisiana Spirits application?

MR. HEBERT :
Yes, sir. That's our first
notification.
MR. JONES:
All right. I know this is an unusual situation, guys, and that's the reason $I$ wanted to spend a little bit of time digging into the details.

Do we have any other questions or
comments from the Board before we even get to what the appropriate motion is?

Ms. Cola.
MS . COLA:
I just want a little clarity in terms of I think I understood you to say that Bayou Spirits had not contacted you prior to the application process; is that correct?

MR. CREDEUR:
I have not been personally contacted by anyone from Bayou Spirits.

MS. COLA:
Not only you, but no one with, I guess, your organization was contacted?

MR. CREDEUR:
To my knowledge, no, ma'am, but I can't speak for everyone for the organization. I know I am the Secretary for the school board as far as getting things on the agenda. I'm also the Superintendant, and I have not been contacted by anyone by phone or anything at this point.

MS COLA:
And to date? Okay. Thank you.
MR. CREDEUR:
Yes, ma'am.

MR. JONES:
Mr. Carmody.
MR. CARMODY:
Being that you did not hear from the applicant at all, $I$ guess my question for LED is when applications are made, there has to be now a checklist as in these are the local taxing authorities that you need to follow up with and get their approval in order to get the application processed; correct?

MR. USIE:
Under the 2018 rules, the applicant is not required to contact the locals. They can, but that 2017 rules they're required to contact the locals and get an Exhibit B. Under 2018, the process is reversed and we notify them. We post the approvals within three business days to our website, and we notify via e-mail and certified mail.

MR. CARMODY:
Okay. Thank you for your clarification. MR. JONES:

Any other questions or comments from the

## Board?

Dr. Wilson.
DR. S. WILSON:
Just for clarification, the school board
indicated that they received it and your comments indicate that it was received on the 15 th, but it shows that an e-mail was sent on the 2nd. Do we get a received or read report or anything like that from those that are sent?

MS . CHENG:
I sent it with a read receipt. I can check my inbox for one, but...

DR. S. WILSON:
And whose attention was it, an elected official or a secretary --

MS. CHENG:
It was to Mr. Hebert.
DR. S. WILSON:
Mr. Hebert. Okay.
MS COLA:
I'm sorry. Just to dovetail on that question, so there was no bounceback in your e-mail saying --

MS. CHENG:
No, ma'am, I did not receive a
bounceback.
MR. JONES:
Mr. Windham.
MR. WINDHAM:

I guess a couple quandaries that I have
within this. You received it on the 15th, you had 14 days before you got notice that you were under attack? MR. CREDEUR:

Yes, sir.
MR. WINDHAM:
Right? So did you know that you were going to be under attack on that 15th day? So for 14 days there was no action taken?

MR. CREDEUR:
That's correct.
MR. JONES :
Obviously. So did you know you were going to take action on that 30th day?

MR. CREDEUR:
Did we know we were going to take action on that 30 th day?

MR. WINDHAM:
Yeah. Did you know that "I'm going to send an e-mail to tomorrow because we want this to go to the council"?

MR. HEBERT:
I can answer that question.
The packet was directed to me. I was busy putting together the budget for the school board.

It was on my desk of things to do. I had a timeline on it. I knew I had to act by the 31st. Furthermore, with e-mail communications, it's instantaneous.

Now, in hindsight, maybe I should have acted immediately as soon as I got that letter on the 15th, but it didn't happen that way. And, furthermore, because of what happened with the Governor's Executive Order, I wasn't allowed all of the time $I$ was afforded to under the rules to be able to respond.

MR. WINDHAM:
I know, you know, this Board and the public and all of our stakeholders and the economic developers in the world, across Louisiana's world anyway, you know, we've gone through a lot of effort to provide certainty so the company's can make the investments. They know if they go through the process, that there's a timeline. There's a timeframe after "X" point that we don't have to be concerned that we're going to get restricted on what we've done. So my indiction with this is we put the timelines in place for a reason.

I make the motion that we deny the appeal by the local school board to reconsider this because the timeline was not met.

MR. JONES :

We have a motion from Mr. Windham to deny the request from the school board. Do we have a second?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Motion dies for lack of a second.
Okay. Do we have any other motion to consider?

MS . MALONE :
I have a question. So the other local governing bodies, did they respond back? Did they take anything before their respective boards or did they just not respond at all?

MR. CREDEUR:
Yeah. It was my knowledge that there was some hesitation at first by the police jury, and then they did finally go ahead and approve them the exemption.

MS . MALONE :
And what about the sheriff?
MR. USIE:
The sheriff did not respond, so it was automatically approved. But the police jury did, and they notified us of approval.

MR. JONES:

Do we have anyone here from Louisiana
Spirits?
I wanted to be sure that -- first of all, state your name and your position --

MR. BRODERICK :
Jesse Broderick with Sumit Credits. We represent Louisiana Spirits and Bayou Rum. I appreciate the opportunity to share our side of the story.

MR. JONES:
Let's get in front of the mic a little bit better. Pull it to you. Thank you.

MR. BRODERICK:
In working through the process, the new process, we generally work with the local economic development individual, and Marion Fox in this instance. And we were in contact with her and we rely on those local economic officials to know their jurisdictions and we relied on her to be the individual to help facilitate meetings with the appropriate locals that we needed to meet with.

We did have numerous meetings with the police jury. We were there many, many times, over five times, in the parish to talk about this project, and our understanding was the school board, you know, we would have been notified Marion if we should have contacted
the school board. Hindsight's 20/20. Maybe we should have reached out on our own and done that, but we did not.

So from our standpoint, you know, our understanding is that the police jury was the only individuals that we needed to work with them, we were there for many meetings and we got the approval. We were not aware of anything with the school board. We were not even aware of the special meeting that they ended up holding. We were not notified of that. LED was notified at 3 p.m. the day before. The meeting was held at 5 p.m. the next day, so just over 24 hours. We didn't know about it. We weren't notified. And the meeting, we did get the meeting -- the notes from the meeting, and it was not a unanimous decision. It was a 6-to-4 vote according to minutes that were posted online. So had we had the opportunity to know about it and be there, we certainly would have been there, we certainly would have brought the company and helped make a presentation and reached out to the school board. And if we need to do that, we're happy to at this point. Whatever we need to do. DR. S. WILSON:

I have a question for the staff. What is the value of the exemption?

MR. USIE:
We don't have it on this agenda. It was from the previous June agenda.

MR. BRODERICK :
I probably have that number myself.
Hold on.
Roughly it's about a \$7-million investment that we're talk about. So if you take about 1 percent of that, that would be about $70, \$ 80,000$ a year. We've got the application pulled up. One second. Thank goodness for technology.

MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. Jones, if I could just interrupt for one second.

So this is just a point for clarification --

MR. BRODERICK :
53,000 per year.
MR. CARMODY:
Total?
MR. BRODERICK :
Per year. The total over a 10-year period is estimated to be 535,000.

MS . MALONE :
But that's for all -- the whole parish,

I mean, the whole --
MR. BRODERICK:
That's the entire millage, correct, not just the school board.

MR. CARMODY:
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Real quick question. So if no action is taken by the Board, then the exemption is enforced?

MR. JONES:
Exactly.
MR. WINDHAM:
Okay. I'd like to reconsider my motion. And I'm not sure exactly how to phrase this, but I go back to the rules. The rules were 30 days, and I make the motion that we stick to the rules that we put in place, which say that any local objection has to be done within 30 days or the exemption moves forward.

So my motion is to move the exemption forward as approved by this Board.

MR. JONES:
Do we have a second to that motion?
Second from Mr. Devillier.
Any other questions or comments from the
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
Any questions or comments from the public including the parties?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
There being none, all in favor of said motion, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
No opposition. Louisiana Spirits' application and approval moves on. Thank you very much. MR. USIE:

That concludes the ITEP portion of the agenda.

MR. JONES:
All right. Now, do we have any other business to come before the Board before -- I know that the Secretary has a few comments, and frankly some very important comments that $I$ want the public to hear as well concerning some issues we have. So if there are no other business before the Board, I'll turn the podium
over to Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to this Board. Tough decisions come before you, and we have your responsibilities to execute those and appreciate that.

There have been some turbulence around the ITEP the last three and a half years that you've managed on our behalf. Evidence of that today as we entertained basically three sets of rules on the ITEP program, the pre-EO and then the '17 and the '18 set.

Looking forward, we would be hopeful that we will transition from pre-EO projects, those that filed an advance notification prior that point in June of '18. Today you still had some that were coming before you. When an advance notification is filed, it is to be a genuine commitment of intent to make an investment, a genuine commitment to create or retain new jobs. So those advance notifications may be extended, amended, by moving forward the estimated project completion date. So a process is in place that accommodates the flexibility needed by business and industry as they experience design issues or flooding may occur, all kind of things that may or may not be in their control, there's this mechanism to extend the
timeframe.
But now that we are more than three years past the Governor's changes to ITEP, LED believes it's a good time to gain an understanding of how many projects with advance notifications dating prior to the changes are still in progress, still being considered. We certainly understand the projects can take multiple years before being completed or commencing operation, and we are just gathering information regarding these projects and they're following the old rules and they remain in progress. We're hopeful of that. We'd like to see the investment. We'd like to see the jobs, but we are going to be asking both in the record today and in some written documentation for companies who intend to act on the advance notifications that they have on file with us or additional applications under a pre-Executive Order advance notification, notify our ITEP administrators by the end of the year so they can compile a listing and report back to you how many active projects are out there and what their status is.

So particularly to a lot of our professionals that manage these programs on behalf of their clients, we certainly would like to see this inventory of what you consider to be active pre-EO advances that are out there so that we can bring this
information, a compiled report for the Board of Commerce and Industry and advise you of the status of the projects that have expressed this genuine commitment to make an investment, it will be going on four years ago by the time you see this, and also advise you of their status as it pertains to the ITEP Executive Order.

SO we'll be sending out a written notice of this request, but $I$ wanted to mention it publicly now so that those present, both from the Board's perspective and professionals that were in this field, will begin to review their files for active pre-EO advances and allow us are to consolidate and give you a status report on that.

> I have some other comments just in
general, but this was one that was technically appropriate for the Board to have information regarding. If there's any questions related to this, I'll be happy to answer them.

MR. JONES:
Mr. Secretary, on that information, can that be just a simple e-mail to the appropriate staff in the ITEP program? How do you want the information transmitted?

SECRETARY PIERSON:
Well, we will look to the department,
but quite likely we have a universe small enough to do the electronic notification to those that have an advance and also do the certified mail to them based on it being a universe small enough that we can manage that. That's the intent going forward.

I'd like to say it's a small number, but until we've done this inventory and have the input from the professionals, that will really solidify things. As you know, at out last meeting we talked about that you pre-EO application can be phased, so this would also apply so if you have additional phases that have not yet executed, then we'd want to have notice of that.

All right. Well, Mr. Chairman, I'm just very pleased to report that the Louisiana economy remains strong. We're at a 4.3 unemployment rate, the lowest in 11 years, with more than 2-million people working in Louisiana today. New Orleans and Baton Rouge reported that they have achieved record levels of employment. So glad to see New Orleans back on their feet. This is their strongest number since Katrina, and that coincides with my service at the department, so it's particularly satisfying to see that much progress made there.

GDP is at an all-time high for us, strong workforce development initiatives. As you know,
we continue to have the Number 1 training program in the nation through our Louisiana FastStart with winning that award 10 years in a row. We're certainly continuing strong partnerships with our educational institutions across the state. There will be some good news up at Louisiana Tech a little later today, but it's these strong partnerships that are really driving a lot of our success in economic development.

Certainly very pleased with our accredited department, the only one in the nation, that also received last week the Gold Medal in the highest percentile of population over 500,000 , so the biggest category, and the Gold Medal came to us for our Small Business Suite of Services, something we put a lot of muscle against over the last four years and some fantastic support representing capacities through these programs for the small business fabric that backs up all of our big projects that keeps our existing industry, the products, services and the things they need the support from for small business strong. So it's a whole ecosystem there for us, and to get that recognition for a part of our department that labors with Small Business Service Development and Execution, very proud of their efforts and what we've been able to achieve there. That completes my report.

MR. JONES:
Thank you, Mr. Pierson. Great
information.
And for all of the times we don't say it, to all of the members of LED department, we than you for what you do. We know that when you get here on these meetings and you present the information that represents a lot of work on a lot of people's behalf, and meads extend our gratitude to all of the members of your team. We appreciate it very, very much. And congratulations to the work and recognition that LED has received.

Any other comments or questions?
(No response.)
MR. JONES:
I will then entertain a motion to adjourn.

Motions and seconds.
All in favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.") MR. JONES:

We are adjourned. Thank you.
(Meeting concludes at 11:12 a.m.)
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| units 18:7 | 50:14 walked 49:20 | work 14:3 59:15 68:2 79:14 80:6 |
| University 16:16 | wanted 41:12 72:23 | workdays 68:11 |
| University's 15:8 | 79:3 | workers 12:4,5,10 14:7 |
| untimely 66:22 | wanting 68:1 | workforce 13:21,25 |
| unusual 72:22 | Waterford 36:8 | 14:8,12,13,19,20,24 |
| upside 58:16 | weave 58:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 10,11,12,16 \text { 16:18, } \\ & 19 \text { 19:7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Urban 23:7 | website 65:19 74:16 | working 11:23 12:25 |
| USA 10:5 36:12 | Webster 43:16 | 18:24 79:13 |
| Usie 35:18,21,23 36:4 | Wege 57:16,17 58:11 | works 23:21 |
| 37:10 39:24 40:7 42:10 | 59:11 63:22,23 64:17 | world 59:24 77:13 |
| 43:7,14,22 44:2 46:12 | well-established |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 47: 2250: 14,18,2351: 2, \\ & 752: 1754: 655: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 14:20 | worries 33:4 |
| 56:11 61:22 63:9 65:15 | West 20:17 28:3 29:12 | worth 13:21 |
| 74:10 78:21 81:1 83:16 | Westlake $36 \cdot 13$ | written 38:21 |
|  |  | wrong 33:6 |
| V | wholly-owned 63:25 |  |
|  |  | Y |
| valid 58:24 | Williams 6:12 |  |
| valuable 69:3 | Willis 30:2,5 | y'all 10:16 18:2,4,13 |
| Vernon 37:14 | Wilson 6:19,20,23,24 | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 12,13,2141: 15 \\ & 49: 1767: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| VI 29:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 10 \text { 8:17 10:21 20:21, } \\ & 22 \text { 23:14 28:7 32:16 } \end{aligned}$ | year 12:2 18:8,9 59:17, |
| Vidalia 28:3 | 36:17 42:18,19 44:11 | 20 81:10,18,22 |
| village 36:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:5 47:6 53:6 55:20 } \\ & 74: 23,2475: 9,1480: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { years 11:5,13 12:6 18:2 } \\ \text { 19:1 49:18 59:21 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Vinyls 36:13 40:25 <br> visit 17:12 <br> vital 15:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Windham } 6: 1521: 25 \\ & 33: 16 \text { 38:1 39:5 57:4,7, } \\ & \text { 13 58:7 59:13 60:16,22 } \\ & 61: 262: 15 \text { 63:19 64:14, } \end{aligned}$ | yesterday 62:8,10 <br> Yvette 4:12 |
| voiceover 68:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { 75:24,25 76:6,18 } \\ & \text { 77:10 78:1 81:12 82:7, } \end{aligned}$ | Z |
| voices 3:13 | $12$ |  |
| voluntary 15:18 | window 65:25 69:8 | Zone 35:14,22 |
| vote 31:21 80:16 | withdrawal 43:9,10,25 |  |
| voted 32:24 | 44:9,25 |  |

