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MR. JONES: Ladies and gentlemen, we will call the meeting of the Board of Commerce \& Industry to order. This is the June 24 th, 2020 meeting. It's good to see everyone today. This is the first full agenda meeting we have had since the COVID pandemic reached our state, and just $I$ want to take just a personal moment -I just want to take a personal moment to remind everyone that we've -- a lot of us have had friends or families that have been affected by all of this, and I would ask that you keep our communities, our state and our nation in your prayers as we heal both physically, culturally, spiritually and we get past all of this back to a life that looks a little bit more like it did before all of this began.

So let's take a moment of silence and ask that you lift your families up in prayer.
(A moment of silence is observed.)
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
Good to see everyone today. We are attempting to do our meeting through Zoom, and so from a technology standpoint that we're going to do things just a little bit different, like she's sending me a sign right now that I've got to speak louder, and no one has ever told me to speak louder. Ever.

So people, Board members here in person,
when we speak into the mic, apparently put your mouth right on the mic, just like Steven Tyler does. Okay? And for those of you who are too young, that was an Aerosmith allusion. So speak right into the mic.

We need to -- I'm going to need to read a certification in a minute, but I'm going to give you some instructions right now. Speak directly into the mic. When we do comments through the meeting, we will have people who are here in the meeting room do comments first, then we will then go to the people who are participating through the Zoom ap. So we will have an opportunity for everyone to speak. Be patient with me as we work through this. I've never done this before, so if I make any mistakes, just be patient. We'll get them corrected.

Before we go any further, I have a certification that we need to put on the record.

In accordance with Executive Proclamation JBE 2020-75 issued by Governor John Bel Edwards on June 4th, 2020, the Board of Commerce \& Industry is providing for attendance at a Board meeting, which will only contain business deemed central government business via teleconference or videoconference as allowed during the pendency of the COVID-19 health emergency.

Pursuant to Section 2C of JBE 2020-75, the

Board of Commerce \& Industry will provide attendance for the 9:30 meeting on Wednesday, June 24, 2020 via Zoom, and in a manner that allows for observation and input by the members of the public, as set forth in the notice posted on June 8th, 2020. The Board of Commerce \& Industry would otherwise be unable to operate due to quorum requirements.

So with that said, we will call the roll. Again, members here, please speak directly into your mic so that the record can be clear.

MS. SIMMONS: Good morning.
Dr. Shawn Wilson.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Present on Zoom.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Rickey Fabra.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Manuel Fajardo.
(No response.)

MS. SIMMONS: Stewart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Here, Zoom.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Ronnie Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Here, Zoom.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALIAIN: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Bishop, Stuart
Bishop.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Don Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Here.
MS. SIMMONS: Scott Richard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Darryl Saizan.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Daniel Schexnaydre.
(No response.)

MS. SIMMONS: Ronnie Slone.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Travis Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Present via Zoom.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Present here Zoom.
MS. SIMMONS: I think we have a quorum.
MR. JONES: We do have a quorum. Thank you very much.

Again, as a matter of housekeeping, I would ask each of you to put your telephones, iPads on mute so that they don't interfere with the Zoom communications.

All right. With that said, let's get into the agenda. We have before us the minutes from the February 21, 2020 Board of Commerce \& Industry meeting. I would entertain a motion to approve.

MR. ALLAIN: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have a motion.
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: We have a second by

## Representative Moss.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
 when we go down to the main parts of the agenda, we will do rollcall, so we've got to be clear on that.

All right. Quality Jobs Program.
Mr. Favaloro is stepping in, I understand.
MR. FAVALORO: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Please lead us through it.
MR. FAVALORO: We have one deferral,
20180261, Shintech Louisiana, LLC.
MR. JONES: I would entertain a motion to
defer 20180261, did you say?
MR. FAVALORO: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Shintech Louisiana. I'll
entertain that motion.
MS. DAVIS: I move.
MR. JONES: We have a motion.
And a second?
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: We have a second from
Representative Moss.
Any discussion from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any discussion from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: We'll have a rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: David Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ronnie Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Here. Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Travis Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: All right. That item is deferred.

Lead us through the rest.
MR. FAVALORO: That leaves us with five Quality Jobs applications: 20170471, ControlWorx, LLC in East Baton Rouge Parish; 20170648, Fuji Oil New Orleans, LLC in Jefferson Parish; 20180087, LaSalle Lumber Company in Lasalle; 20190154, Sleep Management, LLC in Lafayette; and 20180282, Thomas Pump \& Machinery
in St. Tammany.
MR. JONES: I would entertain a motion to approve these Quality Jobs applications.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
DR. W. WILSON: So moved by Dr. Wilson.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from Dr.
Wilson; second from Representative Moss.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: David Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Senator Ronnie Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: I'm sorry. Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Thomas Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yes passes.
MR. JONES: Okay. We can move to the
Quality Job renewals.
MR. FAVALORO: There are nine Quality Jobs renewals: 20141243, Cajun Ready Mix Concrete, LLC; 20150970, Crying Eagle Brewing Company, LLC; 20150189, Epic Piping, LLC; 20140931, Integrico Composites of Louisiana, LLC; 20150912, Laitram, LLC; 20151124, Mosaic Fertilizer, LLC; 20151125, Mosaic Fertilizer, LLC; 20151210. Plastipak Packaging, Inc.; and 20140903, Vantage Health Plan, Inc. and Affinity Health Group, LLC.

That concludes the renewals.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve those renewals.

Motion from Senator Davis; second from Senator Johns.

Any comments or questions from the Board?
We have a question from someone participating by Zoom.

Okay. We do not have a question from someone participating by Zoom on this motion.

Rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.

MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Passes.
MR. JONES: Go ahead. The motion carries.
I'm sorry. I do need to declare that, don't I?
MR. FAVALORO: We have a change in company name only. We ask that we vote on these individually. It's basically one company with a name change catchup from the time of application to current. Housekeeping item.

MR. JONES: Okay. Do you want to read them?
MR. FAVALORO: Yes, sir.
20180119, Citadel Completions, LLC, current name, to new company name, Rampart Completions, LLC, Calcasieu.

MR. JONES: Motion to approve.
MS. DAVIS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Representative

Davis; second from Dr. Wilson.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from
the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: David Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
Next.
MR. FAVALORO: 20180199, Rampart
Completions, LLC to Citadel Completions, LLC in
Calcasieu.
MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
MS. DAVIS: Motion to approve.
MR. JONES: Motion from Representative Davis.

Second?
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Moss.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, any questions or comments from the public?

We have a question from the public? Do we?
Whoever is trying to ask the question, you may be muted. You may need to unmute your...

Apparently we're trying to get a question from a Zoom participant, but it is not transmitting for some reason.

Whoever is asking the question, if you have the ability to send an e-mail to -- I'm sorry -- or type it into the chat in the Zoom application and we'll try to get that answered as quickly as we can.

MS. BOOKER: She's unmuted now.
MR. JONES: Okay. Is there a question?
MR. HAVARD: Yes. Okay. Thank you. This is Kenny Havard.

MS. SIMMONS: He's a Board member.
MR. JONES: Welcome.
Mr. Havard, did you have a question or are you just joining the meeting?

MS. SIMMONS: I think he just joined the meeting.

MR. JONES: Do we have a question from a member of the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Okay. We do not.
All right. Rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Paula Davis.

MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Ronnie Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALIAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
We have a change in physical location.

MR. FAVALORO: One change in physical
location: 20131105, Gulf Crane Services, Inc., previous address is 1244 Wall Road, Broussard, Louisiana 70518 in St. Martin Parish. The new address is 115 Charbonnet Road, Duson, Louisiana 70529. And it should say Lafayette Parish not St. Tammany.

DR. W. WILSON: So moved by Dr. Wilson.
MR. JONES: We have a motion by Dr. Wilson.
Do we have a second?
MS. DAVIS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Davis.

Any questions or comments from the Board? (No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: David Toups.
MR. TOUPE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.

MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
Now we have to the Restoration Tax
Abatements.
Mr. Favaloro, you'll be leading us through those as well?

MR. FAVALORO: Yes, sir.

I have 29 Restoration Tax Abatement applications, 24 of which are for the same company.

20170258, Brown \& Taylor Development, LLC in Caddo Parish; 20190216, CKCC Bell in Jefferson. The following 24 are in East Baton Rouge Parish: 20190032, GCHP - Progress Park, LLC; 20190033, GCHP Progress Park, LLC in East Baton Rouge --

MR. JONES: Mr. Favaloro, I'm going to stop you right there. Make sure that we all understand, so that the Board members can at least keep all of this in context, these 24 are -- explain what's going on here. Why there are 24 separate applications under one company name?

MR. FAVALORO: Under the Restoration Tax Abatement program, you're allowed to have one structure apply at a time. This is multiple structures in the same area.

SECRETARY PIERSON: This is the affordable housing project.

MR. FAVALORO: Yes, sir.
MR. PIERSON: Don Pierson. Just for clarification, this is an affordable housing project, so each one of those represents a unit for housing.

MR. JONES: Very good. Go ahead.
MR. FAVALORO: I can't remember where I left
off.
So 20190034, GCHP - Progress Park, LLC; 2019
0035, GCHP Progress Park; 20190036; 20190037; 20190038;
20190039; 20190040; 20190041; 20190042; 20190043;
20190044; 20190045; 20190046; 20190047; 20190048;
20190049; 20190050; 20190051; 20190052; 20190053;
20190054; 20190055.
Then we have 20190068, HOMEWORK LLC in Orleans Parish; 20161410, M.S. Rau Antiques, LLC in Orleans; and 20180425, Mo's Art Supply Store in Orleans.

That concludes the new applications.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Favaloro.
Entertain a motion to approve.
MS. DAVIS: Motion to approve.
MR. JONES: I have a motion to approve. Do we -- excuse me. A motion from Representative Davis, and second from Representative Moss.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?

Yes. If you come to the front so we can hear you, please. Thank you.

Pull the mic very close and give us your name and your address, please.

MS. BURKE: Okay. My name is Simone Burke, and I'm representing Mo's Art Supply for the building at 2525 Bienville in New Orleans. The application has been a little complicated due to a typo by the assessor's office.

Prior to us purchasing the building, it was owned by several different churches, and so there was no property tax associated with this location. And I believe that a zero was added to the assessment before we purchased it, which reflected $\$ 1,670,000$ value, which was then amended when we purchased the building by Lisa Ross, who I think handles most of the RTA applications for the Orleans Parish Assessor's Office.

It was then amended again to reflect more accurately the value of the improvements versus the land. However, all of these were done post-renovation, and so we're still in a bit of a conundrum to try to establish the pre-renovation value that the property should be frozen at because of this weird typo situation.

So I'm not sure what number you are basing this application on, and I'm wondering whether it needs to be revisited as far as where to freeze.

MR. JONES: Okay. Based upon the record in front of me, it looks as though the investment is

60,000 -- this is Mo's Art Supply Store; right?
MS. BURKE: Mo's Art Supply.
MR. JONES: Mo's Art. I'm sorry.
The investment of $\$ 60,000$, a first year tax exemption of $\$ 1,386$. That's all the information $I$ have in front of me.

MR. FAVALORO: That's the information on the application, yes, sir.

Are you talking about the initial investment?

MS. BURKE: So the application was made using this number of the 402 on the building, and the 722 on the land, and then I submitted to Becky Lambert the revised assessment, which just happened recently, which she said she would put in the record, which reduced the building value to 233 instead of 402 .

However, both of these were post-renovation values, not pre-renovation, so we're not really reaping the benefit of this program because there's never been an accurate pre-renovation established.

MR. JONES: Let me ask this: Would it be appropriate to defer this matter and let's try to get this issue resolved and take this particular item up at the August meeting?

MR. FAVALORO: That would be appropriate if
you wish to.
MR. JONES: Would that be -- that way we don't act on the abatement today, and that way we ensure that the numbers reflect what reality should be and you can work with Ms. Lambert to get that resolved between now and the August meeting. Would that be satisfactory?

MS. BURKE: It is. And just so I'm clear, I'm not going to have a property tax bill generated until this is resolved? Because I haven't gotten one yet.

MR. JONES: Unfortunately we do not control the tax assessor of Orleans Parish, so I can't make that promise to you, but that is something that $I$ would be in contact with him about, let them know that this matter is still pending, but should be resolved at the August meeting.

MS. BURKE: So I should be in touch with Becky to get this resolve?

MR. JONES: I think that's correct.
MS. BURKE: Okay.
MR. JONES: Thank you for that.
Any other questions for us while you're here today? Any other questions for us?

MS. BURKE: No, sir.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.

And then let's -- I would entertain a
substitute motion to defer Mo's Supply Store,
Application 20180465. Entertain a motion to defer.
MS. DAVIS: So moved.
MR. JONES: I have a motion from
Representative Davis.
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Moss.
Rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)

MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion to defer carries.
Now we will go back to the main motion on the remainder of the applications. We have a motion and a second to approve those. I'll go back and be sure there are no further questions and comments concerning the remaining applications.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Seeing none, all in -- wait. We do have a question from a Zoom participant.

If you are a Zoom participant and have a question, please let us know now.


SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MR. JONES: And let's note for the record that she did not vote on this matter, but Board member Yvette Cola had recused herself from any action on the GCHP - Progress Park applications, all 24 of those, she had recused herself from that and did not participate in the discussion or the vote. So let the record be clear. Thank you.

MR. FAVALORO: There are two Restoration Tax Abatement renewal applications: 20130119, Jackson Group Investments, LLC, East Baton Rouge; and 20131360, STR One, ILC in East Baton Rouge.

That concludes the renewals.
MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
I have a motion to approve from Senator Johns, a second from Senator Allain.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.-
MR. JONES: Seeing none, rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.

MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion Carries. Thank you very much.

I'm sorry we do have a Zoom question after the vote. Zoom question.

We cannot hear the question either.
ZOOM PARTICIPANT: Okay. Well, I'm objecting to the grant exemptions to Genesis Baton Rouge, LLC, Genesis Crude, Georgia Pacific --

MR. JONES: Thank you very much, but we're not on that item of the agenda yet.

ZOOM PARTICIPANT: But I expect that these are not creating long-term jobs and are not within the spirits of the ITEP exemption, which isn't unusual, but I would vote that you would not approve those. Thank you.

MR. JONES: Thank you very much, but we are not on that item on the agenda, and I would ask for those Zoom participants that you limit your comments to the item that we are on at the time in the meeting. You'll have plenty of opportunity to speak, but just let's keep us focused on what's on the agenda. That means you may have to listen very carefully to what
we're talking about, but let's keep on point.
All right. We have a Specific Request under the Tax Abatement program.

MR. FAVALORO: Yes, sir.
Transfer of Ownership, 20141472, Slumber Corners Master Tenant, LLC to the new owner of EHP NOLA Hotel, LLC in Orleans Parish.

MR. JONES: Motion to approve that transfer of ownership.

DR. W. WILSON: So moved by Dr. Wilson.
MR. JONES: I have a motion. Need a second.
MS. DAVIS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Davis.

Any questions or comments from the Board? (No response.)

MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.

MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And, Ms. Cola, are you there?
MS. COLA: Yes. I'm here.
MS. SIMMONS: All right. Thank you.
MR. JONES: All right. Motion carries.

Thank you very much, Mr. Favaloro.
MR. FAVALORO: Thank you.
MR. JONES: We are now moving to Enterprise Zone applications. Ms. Metoyer, how are you?

MS. METOYER: I'm well. How are you?
MR. JONES: I'm well. Thank you.
Get as close to that mic as you can.
MS. METOYER: Okay.
I have 15 new applications.
MR. JONES: Stay close to it. Thank you.
MS. METOYER: 24141614, Associated Grocers, Incorporated, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20170002, C\&C Marine and Repair, LLC, Plaquemines Parish; 20160706, Cleco Power, LLC, St. Mary Parish; 20180192, Clint N. Sandefer, DDS, LLC, Livingston Parish; 20141441, Florida 59, LLC, St. Tammany Parish; 20180296, Martco, LLC, Natchitoches Parish; 20170513, Morris P. Hebert, Incorporated, Terrebonne Parish; 20161638, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, Jefferson Parish; 20170423, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, East Baton Rouge; 20170424, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, Jefferson Parish; 20170459, Southwest Louisiana Hospital Association, Calcasieu Parish; 20170462, Southwest Louisiana Hospital Association, Calcasieu Parish; 20161161, Sunrise Hospitality, Lafayette Parish; 20180104, Westport Linen Services,

LLC, Orleans Parish; and 20180461, YoungWilliams, Bossier Parish.

MR. JONES: Thank you.
Entertain a motion to approve.
I have a motion from Senator Johns. Do I have a second?

MS. DAVIS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Davis.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?

Come forward, please.
Please speak very close into the microphone and give us your name and address and address your question.

MR. MULLER: Wes Muller, 823 White Pine Drive, Ponchatoula, Louisiana 70454.

My question regarding the Enterprise Zone applications, can you explain why some of the -- on the chart of the meeting agenda, why some of the investments are listed as zero? Can you explain why some of the investments for State and Local are all listed as zero?

MS. METOYER: The State and Local column?

MR. MULLER: Correct.
MS. METOYER: This is for a rebate. That's not their investment amount. In Enterprise Zone, you can only have jobs. You don't have to have a project and a building or anything. You can just have jobs.

MR. MULLER: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Did that answer your question?
MR. MULLER: Yes.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
Any other questions or comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: We have a motion and a second.
Rollcall vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Paula Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: David Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion Carries. Thank you very much.

## Next .

MS. METOYER: I have one termination: 20140904, Affinity Health Group, LLC, Ouachita Parish. The existing contract period is $5 / 19$ of '14 to 5/18 of 2019. The requested term date is $11 / 20 / 2016$, and the program requirements have been met, no additional jobs are anticipated.

MR. JONES: We have a motion to approve this
termination.
MR. JOHNS: So moved.
DR. W. WILSON: So moved by Dr. Wilson.
MR. JONES: Senator Johns, second, from, I think that's Dr. Wilson.

DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Any comments or questions from
the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments or questions from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, vote, please.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS . SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.
MR. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
MS. METOYER: We have one Census Tract/Block Group Change Resolution. It's for East Baton Rouge Parish, and the existing census tract and block group is 36.01/3. The proposed new census tract block group is 36.01/1. The resolution change number is 54412, and it's to pursue economic development activities conducive to business development.

MR. JONES: And for clarity, this is a request coming from East Baton Rouge Parish; is that correct?

MS. METOYER: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
All right. Entertain a motion to approve.
MS. DAVIS: Motion to approve.
MR. JONES: Motion from Representative Moss;
second from Representative -- excuse me. Motion from Representative Davis; second from Representative Moss.

Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's have a vote.

MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Allain.
MR. ALLAIN: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Motion Carries.
MS. METOYER: This concludes Enterprise
Zone.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.

MS. METOYER: Thank you.
MR. JONES: All right. We have reached the end of Session 1; is that correct, Mr. Favaloro?

MR. FAVALORO: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Okay. If we have anyone who is outside the room that has issues before the Board concerning the Industrial Tax Exemption Program, now is the time to join us in the big room. If you do not have any matters on ITEP and we need the room, we may have to ask you to move to the overflow room, but that does not appear to be a need at this time.

If you just give us just a minute to make sure we have everyone in attendance that needs to be here or wants to be here.

All right. I think we're ready to begin the second session dealing with Industrial Tax Exemption.

Let me do this for the record, because I'm not sure how many of those are on the agenda, but Board member Travis Holley has asked to recuse himself from all matters related to Air Products, Inc. on the agenda, and so that means he will not be participating in the discussion nor participating in the vote on those matters.

Similarly, the Chair will be recusing himself of all matters relating to Hexion, which means $I$
will still be facilitating the meeting, but $I$ will not speak as a pro or a con versus the matter, and neither will $I$ be voting in the Hexion -- any matters dealing with Hexion.

All right. With that housekeeping matter in place, let's move to the Pre-EO Industrial Tax applications.

MR. USIE: We have five Pre-EO new applications: 20161510-A, Epic Piping, LLC, Livingston Parish; 20141610, Marathon Petroleum Company, LP, St. John the Baptist Parish; 20161492-A, Po Pack International, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20150156-C, Sasol USA Corporation, Calcasieu Parish; 20150966-B, W.R. Grace \& Co., Calcasieu Parish.

MR. JONES: In accordance with some discussion we had at our, I think it was our February meeting, we're adopting a new practice on pre-EO applications, and we're going to ask that a representative from each one of these come to the microphone and give us an update on what's been done on the original advance notification and what the project is looking forward to as far as future development under that advanced notification.

So if we could have a representative from Epic Piping in Livingston Parish. Is someone here?

MR. DIGRE: This is Peter Digre. Are you asking me to speak? It's hard to hear.

MR. JONES: No, not yet.
Do we have someone here from Epic Piping here in the meeting room?

If you state your name and your address and your position with the company.

MR. MICHAUD: Good morning. My name is --
MR. JONES: Please pull the mic as close to your mask as possible.

MR. MICHAUD: My name is Armand Michaud. My address is 1979 Longwood Drive, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. I'm the Corporate Controller and Director of Accounting for Epic Piping.

MR. JONES: Give us an idea of what has been appreciated under the original advance notification and then what the project is looking forward to as far as future development.

MR. MICHAUD: Yes, sir.
So under our original application, the total project was approximately $\$ 45-\mathrm{million}$ for our pipe fabrication facility in Livingston Parish. The application before you today, the dollar amount is about \$1.9-million, and it basically encompasses wrapping up and completing our project within our pipe fabrication
facility there. The lion's share of it was ground stabilization to increase our pipe and fitting laydown yard. Our project, our initial shop opened in '17. We had the flood in '18, which sort of delayed some of that stabilization in some of the perimeter areas of our property, and so that's the lion's share of these dollars, along with some additional equipment to that, you know, that just kind of finishing up the entire project.

MR. JONES: Okay. Do you anticipate any future work under that original advance notification?

MR. MICHAUD: Under the original advance, no, sir. This sort of wraps us the original project. Now, you know, our management team, we're always looking to offer new business lines, new business products to be able to grow the scope of our of throughput at our facility, so, you know, we're never shy to grow, but under this original application, no, sir, this sort of wraps that original project up.

MR. JONES: That's very helpful information. We appreciate it. Thank you for your investment in Louisiana.

MR. MICHAUD: Yes, sir. Absolutely.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
MR. MICHAUD: Thank you.

MR. JONES: Any questions for Epic Piping? We do have a question from the public.

I'm not hearing a question.
MR. LEDOUX: Good morning, Board. Can you hear me? This is Neil Ledoux testifying on behalf of SPLC.

MR. JONES: I can hear you, but, I can't understand you. If you could speak a little louder and slower that would be helpful.

MR. LEDOUX: Okay. Let me get close to my mic here.

MR. JONES: Perfect. Go right ahead.
MR. LEDOUX: Okay. Good morning. As I said, my name is Neil Ledoux, and I'm testifying on behalf of the SPLC Action Fund.

The SPLC Action Fund works to protect and promote civil rights in the South, and we are here today because we believe that the decisions you are making today about these exemptions are not simple economic matters. They go to the heart of the responsibility that all of us individuals and businesses share to ensure that our public institutions have the resources and capacity to perform their essential functions and provide the people of Louisiana the opportunity for a meaningful quality of life.

Approval of these tax exemptions would cost local governments and local school districts $\$ 1.5$-billion in revenue over the next 10 years. These funds are more important than ever to support the education of Louisiana students and essential for public services. Louisiana's school districts and local governments are already facing difficult funding decisions due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Given the pandemic and Louisiana's poor ranking on education, now is not the time to divest from education.

And just as a couple of high points I'd like y'all to consider is that Louisiana is facing harsh revenue shortfalls due to the COVID-19 recession at a time when students need supports and services to meet their educational, nutritional, health and mental health needs. For many students, school is one of the few places they're able to access meals and critical health and mental health services, and as you-all know, city sales tax have and are and will be decreasing.

Louisiana's schools are also face additional costs for education because of the school closures that have occurred. The Learning Policy Institute estimates that schools across its state will face an additional \$500-million in cost for education due to COVID-19 school closures. These include the costs for distance
learning, expanded learning time and food service, and Louisiana already ranks among the bottom of all states in funding the level of investment that we make in $K$ through 12 public education. These tax exemptions devastate $K$ through 12 education for years to come and send a message to our children about their futures.

The SPLC Action Fund is categorically opposed to these tax exemptions that would put corporate interests above the future of Louisiana's children. We respectfully ask the Board to reject these tax exemptions that divest from our children and the future of our state. Thank you, Board.

MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
Any other questions or comments?
MR. BRIGGS: This is Don Briggs. Again, tell me who you represent.

MR. MICHAUD: Is that addressed to me?
MR. JONES: No. This goes back to --
MR. LEDOUX: I'm making this comment on behalf of SPLC Action Fund. We're an organization that supports civil rights in the South.

MR. JONES: The acronym of your
organization, what does that stand for?
MR. BRIGGS: Action Fund?
MR. JONES: What does the acronym stand for?

MR. LEDOUX: The acronym stands for the Southern Poverty Law Center.

MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
Any other questions or comments?
We do have another question.
MR. DIGRE: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is Peter Digre. I have another comment and question.

MR. JONES: Go right ahead, please.
MR. DIGRE: Yes. I, in particular, am asking the Board to oppose tax exemption application of Marathon Petroleum Company, and let me explain why. They are a major industry in St. John the Baptist Parish. St. John the Baptist Parish is number one in the entire state and among the top three or four in the entire country in terms of deaths from COVID-19. Studies by Tulane and Harvard and others have indicated very clearly that the chemical pollution and the particulate matter pollution from companies like Marathon weakens peoples' lungs and is leading to this extraordinarily high level of deaths St. John the Baptist Parish. And, of course, this is causing enormous stress on government at all levels.

Therefore, $I$ ask that the Board, in this time of pandemic, vote no, and I would ask Marathon to withdraw this request as a good public citizen so they
do not undermine the care and services that the parish and the schools and law enforcement and others in St. John the Baptist Parish provide.

Thank you for this opportunity,
Mr. Chairman.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other questions or comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. Any other questions or comments specifically for Epic Piping?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, thank you very much. Appreciate you being here today.

MR. MICHAUD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Board.

MR. JONES: Now, do we have a representative from Marathon Petroleum?

And if you will, state your name and your position with the company and, again, pull the mic as closely to your mask as possible, please.

MR. Good morning, Board. My name is Dan Wortmann, and I live at 19112 Bellerive Court in Baton Rouge. I am the Division Controller for the Marathon refinery in Garyville in St. John the Baptist Parish.

MR. JONES: As we discussed with the earlier
representative from Epic Piping, we're interested in knowing what Marathon has done since the original advance notification on this project and what you are looking forward to as far as future development.

MR. WORTMANN: Yes. Thank you for the opportunity for me to speak today.

I think kind of the question is, you know, it is $\$ 60-\mathrm{million}$, and it does span out to 2027. What this program is, is it's not a margin and a hamster for the refinery, it has no return on investment. It is a safety program. So it's SIS, Safety Instrumented System, which basically means if we have an event, if there's a weather event, if it gets down to 16 degrees, like it did 18 months ago. These systems help us protect -- and, really, in this order, it really protects our people, it protects the environment and the community from a release, and then protects, thirdly, the equipment. So that's really the intent of this project. Sixty-million is, like I said, it's -- there's no impact on the bottom line. It's really to protect the people, the environment, the community and also the assets in that order.

As far as the duration, you know, we're talking about out to 2027. You know, we are a 24/7 operation, and we do plan shutdowns anywhere from a
range of three to sevens years, so we do need to stage this project and the scope of work on the units that are planned to be shut down at a certain interval. So that's why the duration is a little bit longer than normal.

MR. JONES: Okay. Do you anticipate any future development or work under the original advance notification on this ITEP?

MR. WORTMANN: No. The scope that is identified here of the max $60-$ million would cover that.

MR. JONES: And that will be it?
MR. WORTMANN: Yes.
MR. JONES: Okay. Great.
Any other questions or comments for the representative from Marathon?

We do have a question from someone participating by Zoom.

MR. MOLLER: This is Jan Moller.
MR. JONES: This is a Board member then. I'm sorry.

MR. MOLLER: I just have a quick question about the timing. I mean, this application, the advance was put in at least four years ago, and if these are important environmental upgrades and safety upgrades, why did it take so long to go from an advance to
completion?
MR. WORTMANN: I mean, part of the scope did change. I mean, technology is continuing to change, and it was also scheduling with our shutdowns. I mean, you know, some of these units do run five to seven years, like $I$ said, and it was just a scheduling of when the work would be done.

MR. JONES: Let me ask it this way: Was the work that you're doing now, was it contemplated at the time of the original advanced notification?

MR. WORTMANN: Yes. You know, corporate always does come up with some standards. You know, an event might happen at our sister refineries that says "Hey, you know, you want to enter a generic, just a slight tweak difference as far as, you know, what you're doing," but, you know, the concept is still there to "Hey, you know, we want, you know, when it gets down 16 degrees and we lose power for our facility, that this is a system that will successfully shut down in sequential order of the units." Okay? So this really helps us, like I said, protecting the people and the environment, you know, as far as this program.

MR. JONES: So is it correct to say that safety improvements was contemplated in the original advanced notification, the engineering may have changed
between then and now --
MR. WORTMANN: Correct.
MR. JONES: -- but the concept with the upgrade was always there?

MR. WORTMANN: Yes, correct.
MR. JONES: I don't mean to put words in your mouth, but I'm trying to make sure I understand.

All right. We have -- Board member Moller, is there any other questions from you?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: I'm hearing none.
All right. We have a request to speak from
Lady Carlson with Together Louisiana concerning Marathon.

Ms. Carlson, if you will state your name and address for the record, please.

MS. CARLSON: Do I have to leave the mask on to speak?

MR. JONES: You do not, but if you'll pull that microphone as close as you can, please.

MS. CARLSON: My name is Lady Carlson. I'm with Together Louisiana. My address is 2640 LaSalle. It's Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806.

And, Mr. Jones, $I$ want to start off really by reflecting on the way you opened this meeting. You
opened with reflexion to people that have died because of virus, and now we're sitting here dishonoring their memory by saying we're going to give tax exemptions to companies -- that we're giving tax exemptions to companies, our local governments are hurting. As you well remember and this Board remembers, these applications for Marathon were rejected by the local taxing bodies right before Christmas, and so to say now we want to give them an exemption when our local taxing bodies really are hurting worse than they were last year, I think, number one, is disgraceful.

Number two, have you done a cost benefit analysis? Do we know the cost benefit to this? Is it going to benefit in the long run those local taxing bodies? And if you haven't done a cost benefit analysis, then I think that needs to be done before we do these exemptions.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you four your comments Lady Carlson.

Any other comments or questions?
We have someone from Zoom.
MS. MO: Hi. My name's Christy Mo. I want to second what Peter said earlier about not granting the tax exemption to Marathon. Marathon is releasing a lot
of pollution in St. John the Baptist Parish and is already receiving a lot of tax breaks, and St. John currently has the highest per capita death rate from COVID and would be further hurt by more tax breaks from the industries there. It will prevent St. John from getting the funding they need to improve the health and wellbeing of its residents.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other questions or comments concerning Marathon?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. Let's move on.
Do we have someone here from Po Pack
Internation.
MS. SOYCHAK: Hi. This is Elizabeth Soychak. I'd like to comment as well, please.

MR. JONES: Okay. I'm sorry. We have several more Zoom questions. I'm sorry. I'm just getting word of that. Forgive me.

Go right ahead.
MS. SOYCHAK: Okay. Thank you very much.
Yes. I agree with what the gentleman said earlier in terms of giving these tax breaks right now to corporations in the State of Louisiana when there is going to be a fiscal crisis that's very large that's
coming over the next few years and the State cannot afford to give these corporations these exemptions when schools need this money now. And, in particular, with Marathon in St. John the Baptist Parish, these people are dealing with an enormous health crisis as having the highest rate of COVID-19 in the nation and per capita due to, in part, the industrial pollution that is there, and they should not have to bear this burden financially as well.

So please do not -- please do not grant these exemptions, especially to Marathon.

Thank you very much.
MR. JONES: Next question from a Zoom participant.

ZOOM PARTICIPANT: (Inaudible.) I live in St. John that Baptist Parish.

MR. JONES: We cannot hear you. Please speak closer -- please get closer to your mic and speak clearly and slowly.

MS. ANNETTE: I'm sorry. I didn't understand what you said.

MS. BOOKER: Please get closer to your mic and speak slowly and clearly. We can't hear you.

ANNETTE: Good morning. My name is Annette (inaudible.) I am a citizen of St. John the Baptist

Parish and have been for a number of years. I am respectfully requesting that you deny the exemptions from Marathon.

As you know and as has been stated several times that this area was hard hit by COVID, and we are trying desperately to overcome this disease in this area.

Not only that, it's a mere fact that Marathon, even though (inaudible) to the citizens here in St. John the Baptist Parish, say that 74 percent of their assets are (inaudible) tax roll. So the money that will come from them paying taxes can help the citizens in St. John the Baptist Parish help to overcome many of these (inaudible), specifically our school system.

Our school system is suffering, and if there was any representation on that Board from St. John the Baptist Parish, I and the citizens here in St. John the Baptist Parish would love (inaudible) to take a careful look at what's really going on here. The money is needed for so many areas. Our education system is suffering and is suffering in misery.

Has there been a cost benefit analysis? I don't know. I really don't know.

What concerns me also (disconnection.)

MR. JONES: We've lost the question.
MS. ANNETTE: Am I back on?
Decisions are made in Baton Rouge for parishes around the state, and what goes on there, I really don't know because those decisions are made with not one iota (inaudible) with how the citizens in the parish are affected.

It is -- I think it's incumbent upon you as the Board to really look at the needs of the area and not just the business. Don't think we don't appreciate the business. We truly do, but everybody needs to be treated fairly, and that is not happening. Everybody. I have to pay my taxes. I think businesses should pay their taxes as well.

Thank you. I appreciate your time.
MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you for those comments.

Do we have another?
MS . BOOKER: Christy?
MS. MO: I've already spoken.
MR. JONES: Anyone else?
MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Hello?
MR. JONES: Hello.
MR. SULLIVAN: Can you hear me?
MR. JONES: Yes, we can. Please speak.

MR. SULLIVAN: This technology is rather confusing to an old man like me.

MR. JONES: I understand.
MR. SULLIVAN: (Inaudible) Sullivan with the First Unitarian Universalist Church of New Orleans, and I would like to comment on all of the tax exemptions, but particularly Marathon.

It seems to me that the political duty of the government of the State of Louisiana to assure the wellbeing of the people. Fiduciary duties are not the duty of the government. Exemptions are no longer needed at all, but it is especially egregious to tolerate illegal exemptions as in the case of Marathon, which is demanding the right not to support this very threatened locality in which it is located.

Morality must predominate over legality as we have proved in Louisiana by abolishing slavery and segregation. So $I$ hope the Board will honor its political duty.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
Any other comments?
And if the representative from Marathon will come back to the mic, please.

MS. BOOTH: Hello. Can you hear me?

MR. JONES: Yes. Go right ahead.
MS. BOOTH: My name is Vicki Booth. I retired from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention last year and I have been working with some of the Cancer Alley parishes and I want to second some of the things that have been said earlier.
P.M. -- Marathon Refinery is the ninth largest emitter of fine particulate matter in the State of Louisiana, and they've recently applied for a permit that if granted, they would be the fifth largest.

For those of you that aren't familiar with fine particulate matter, worldwide it's responsible for over four-million deaths a year, and in the United States it's responsible for over 100,000 deaths per year. And as was already mentioned, St. John the Baptist Parish had the highest COVID-19 death rate in the nation for about a month after the data was being collected, and it remains as having the highest death rate in the State of Louisiana.

I would also bring to the attention of all of the members today that children in St. John the Baptist Parish have more than almost three times the asthma rates and the asthma hospitalization rates as the State of Louisiana and as the entire nation. So I would urge you, the response for the parish with the highest
death rate in the nation by the State of Louisiana has been -- and by local officials has been sorely lacking, and you guys are in a position to deny these tax exemptions so that that money can go to help these people that have suffered from some of the highest and worst air pollution in the entire country itself. Thank you.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other comments?
MS . BOOKER: Yes.
MR. BAGERT: Hi. This is Broderick Bagert with Together Louisiana. I want to speak as well to the Marathon exemption.

There's a lawsuit that's determined the criteria that's constitutionally provided for for any entity of the state, including this Board, to provide a thing of value for a valid public purpose. It's got three parts. It says you have the authority -- that you have to have the authority to provide that thing of value. It says that there has to be a objective, demonstrable and reasonable expectation of receiving at least equivalent value in exchange for the expenditure or transfer of public funds, and I think the tax exemption is that. And it says that the thing of value cannot be gratuitous. That means it has to be actually
incentivizing something. It cannot be provided for something that would happen without it.

We have an exemption request here for something that's environmentally required, that's already completed, and, to our knowledge, no cost benefit analysis has been conducted. There is no demonstrable, objective and reasonable expectation because there has been no formal or even, as far as we can tell, informal analysis on whether or not this thing of value that this tax exemption represents is gratuitous and provides more value than it's given.

In fact, it's almost certain it does not do that, but the thing it's meant to the incentivize already has been completed. So our question to the Chair of this Board, Mr. Jones, is has this Board or LED conducted a specific cost benefit analysis on this exemption to determine whether or not it is gratuitous and whether or not it provides for an equivalent value and the loss of revenue in terms of the benefit that's happening. Has that analysis been conducted?

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Next question.
MR. BAGERT: It's a question, not a comment.
MR. JONES: I'm sorry, but the Board does not answer questions. You're here to observe the
meeting and provide your comments, not to cross-examine the Board.

Next question or comment.
Next question.
ZOOM PARTICIPANT: Yes. This is (inaudible) speaking. I am urging you to listen to the voice of the representative from St. John that called in earlier and also to all of the other residents that are asking you to deny the request for Marathon Petroleum for this tax exemption. Given the conditions that residents in St. John are facing today, it would be like a slap in the face of the community that is suffering so tremendously under those conditions, and it would be just absolutely immoral to take away urgently-needed funds to help the community deal with the crisis they are in if you grant the tax exemption for Marathon.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Next comment.
MS. BOOKER: We've got one more.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much. I had one question for -- forgive me. Your name?

MR. WORTMANN: Dan Wortmann.
MR. JONES: Mr. Wortmann, I appreciate it.
Marathon has enjoyed previous ITEP benefits
prior to this application --
MR. WORTMANN: Correct.
MR. JONES: -- is that correct?
MR. WORTMANN: Correct.
MR. JONES: Have those ITEP exemptions rolled off and has the tax -- has Marathon begun paying taxes to St. John the Baptist Parish?

MR. WORTMANN: Correct. The Grassroots facility was built in 1976, so obviously as those 10-year exemptions rolled off, we continued to build the asset base and to pay taxes as applicable.

MR. JONES: Do you have any idea of what your tax burden to St. John the Baptist Parish is today?

MR. WORTMANN: Yes, I do.
MR. JONES: Could you tell us?
MR. WORTMANN: It was in the $\$ 50-m i l l i o n$ range. Fifty, 52, 55-million for property tax.

MR. JONES: For property tax?
MR. WORTMANN: Yes.
MR. JONES: Not counting sales taxes?
MR. JONES: That's sales -- fifty-five is for property and inventory tax. Sales and use tax would be another -- about another 12. And that depends upon the year too. That will fluctuate. When we have a plant shutdown, those numbers would increase.

MR. JONES: Twelve million?
MR. WORTMANN: Yes.
MR. JONES: Okay. Is there a ITEP application that is about to roll off the roles?

MR. WORTMANN: I do not have that information in front of me. I assume there would be. You know, we have capital budget items all the time, so I would assume, 11 years ago, 10 years ago we would have had something that was invested in and it would roll off.

MR. JONES: So if the Board of Commerce \& Industry 10 years ago or 25 years ago or 50 years ago had made the decision not to grant these exemptions, those tax revenues would no longer be available to St. John the Baptist Parish; is that correct?

MR. WORTMANN: Right, correct.
MR. JONES: Thank you.
Any other questions or comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, Mr. Wortmann, I appreciate your time today.

MR. WORTMANN: Thank you.
MR. MOLLER: This is Jan Moller. I'm just wondering, is there any elected official from St. John Parish on this call or in the audience? I'm curious
because I know the local authorities down there have, you know, voted against some of the tax -- the ITEPs that have been sought under the 2018 rules, and I just wonder if there's been any -- if any of the elected bodies down there have taken a position on this.

MR. JONES: Mr. Moller, there's no one here in the room.

MR. MOLLER: Okay.
MR. JONES: Thank you.
Do we have someone here from Pod Pack
International?
Thank you. If you would, pull the mic up to your mask as closely as possible, then state your name and your position with the company.

MS. HART: This is Kari Hart with Advantous Consulting. I'm the Senior Manager here representing Pod Pack.

MR. JONES: Okay. Speak a little bit louder if you don't mind. Thank you.

Okay. We need to get an idea of what has been done under the original advance notification and how the project will proceed from here.

MS . HART: Sure.
This project was for the move to a larger facility that would allow Pod Pack to expand their
manufacturing operation and additional packaging line.
So the application that you have before you is the final application under this project.

MR. JONES: So there will be no further development on this advance notification; is that correct?

MS. HART: That's correct.
MR. JONES: Great. Thank you very much.
Any questions or comments?
Yes, we have some Zoom questions.
Go right ahead.
MR. GRAY: Hi. My name is Marion Gray. I'm the Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer of Pod Pack International also representing the company and would be happy to address any additional questions the Board may have on this matter.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your attendance.
Any other questions or comments concerning Pod Pack International?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, thank you very much.

MS. HART: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Do we have a representative here from Sasol?

Thank you for being here, would you state your name and position with the company, please?

MR. THOMAS: Yes, sir. Good morning. Good morning, Chair and Board members. My name is Mike Thomas. I normally don't get --

MR. JONES: Get as close to the mic...
MR. THOMAS: My name is Mike Thomas. I am Sasol's Senior Vice President for North American operations, and I want to thank you for the opportunity to talk to you today.

Before I begin my testimony, let me tell you a few things that you're going to hear. I'm going to cover -- I'm going to give you quite a bit of information about the things that Sasol has going on in Southwest Louisiana, but one of the clear messages I hope you get from this is the return on investment for the State of Louisiana. You're going to hear and you're going to hear the details behind the two-to-one return on investment for the State of Louisiana benefitting both the State and the residents of our state.

So let me begin. Let me give you a little bit of information about our company. I've been here before, and I think you may know some of these things, but Sasol is an international chemicals and energy company that employs about 31,000 people in 30
countries. Sasol has been in Southwest Louisiana since 2001, so about 20 years. Today, we have about 1,200 employees working in Southwest Louisiana, about four of those were at -- the facility we had before our project, we've added over 800 employee with the project that $I$ am describing to you today.

In chemical plants like ours, not only do we have our direct employees, we have contractors that make up a large share of our workforce as well. We have an additional 1,000 Louisiana contract employees supporting our operations daily, every day, contractors that are generally employed by major Louisiana firms like Turner Industries. This is in addition to the Works contractors that have built our project, which have been many thousands of people more than that.

So it's interesting when $I$ stop and think about this. So our project, the project we have been building for years now, the project that we've been building for years now, we will have spent, when we're done, nearly $\$ 13-\mathrm{billi}$. We're nearly complete. We're not quite complete yet. The plant consists of seven operating units, seven individual plants and all of the infrastructure that it takes to build seven individual plants. It's a world-scale operation. They're world-class plants.

I'm pleased to report just last week we started up two of these seven units. We have one more to go, and we hope to have that done in the third calendar quarter of this calendar year.

So I'm here to do a few things, both to talk about the project and the things that we've been doing, and this project, because of it's magnitude, is the largest project that Sasol has ever built, in modern history anyway. In the State of Louisiana. We chose the State of Louisiana to build this project, and we're quite happy that we've done so.

So I'm here to do a few things. I'm here to thank you, thank you for the support that we've gotten from the State of Louisiana, from the residents of Louisiana, and I'm here to advocate for approval of Sasol's ITEP application. I'm also here to answer any questions you may have for me.

So about seven years ago -- this has been a very long project. About seven years ago, Sasol and the State of Louisiana entered into a public-private partnership to invest in the state's future, and as I said before, in short, Sasol agree to make the largest capital investment in history in the State of Louisiana and create hundreds of jobs, and in return, the state agreed to an opportunity to qualify for a 10-year, 100
percent abatement of property tax. And as you would expect, with any good business agreement, we would expect that the terms of the agreement would be beneficial to both parties. And as I've mentioned, with the return on investment with this, we're pretty sure that that happened.

So these projects are difficult under the best of circumstances, and certainly what we do today does not make this any easier. The circumstances, the state of COVID with the precautions we take here today is simply an example of how these things are complicated.

So, like with all of these things, we review numbers. We've been working on this a long time. We contemplate many different things, we forecast what we're going to do and how we're going to do it, when it's going to spend, how long it's going to take. Due diligence was executed. We mitigate more things than you can possibly imagine with regards to a project with the complexity of ours, and we are very happy to report on the progress that we have been making.

So what I can tell you today is that the benefits of this project achieved so far, even before we are done, has already outpaced what was originally envisioned in the Sasol/State of Louisiana agreement.

Let me give you some examples. So we have delivered. We have hired more than 800 new direct jobs. We have created more than 800 new direct jobs in Southwest Louisiana. Ninety-four percent of those are residents -- are Louisiana residents with an average annual salary of $\$ 80,000$ per year plus benefits. Those people pay taxes. We've delivered more than 6,500 construction jobs average over this period of time. We've delivered more than \$4.3-billion of spend with Louisiana businesses. We've delivered more than \$190-million -- \$190-million in local sales and use tax payments to the state and to the parish where we reside. We've delivered more than \$40-million of infrastructure improvements mostly in the City of Westlake, that includes infrastructure that's already speared the traditional economic growth and ripples from other
 property taxes even through the exemption period.

So before $I$ close, one forecast $I$ will leave you with, as you know, plant like ours are here for generations. It will be here in 2057. I am certain of that. I am certain $I$ will be long gone by then. At that point in time, which is not long in the lifespan of a plant like ours, we will have paid well over a billion dollars of property taxes to the State of Louisiana by
that time alone.
So as I said before, any good business agreement should benefit both parties. We certainly are sure that we have provided our side of this deal, and we hope to demonstrate to you one day that it does. So the state has made a great investment. The returns are already there.

On behalf of our more than 2,000 Louisiana employees and contractors in the Lake Charles area and our more than 30,000 employees globally, we thank you. And that concludes my comments.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Thomas, for that very thorough report.

Any questions or comments concerning Sasol?
Yes, sir, Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Thank you, Mr. Thomas, for being here. I hope you can hear me.

So as I remember, the initial investment was less -- projected investment was going to be less than 13-billion, and then it ended up being about 13-billion, so y'all actually invested more into Calcasieu Parish than you originally had committed to if I'm not mistaken.

MR. THOMAS: Yes, sir, that's correct. Our original estimated cost of the project, the original
benefits to the State of Louisiana were estimated upon was \$8.9-billion. The current cost estimate of the project will be closer to 13-billion, and clearly the other things that are different from the original expectation are that we have hired more people than we expected, the project has run longer than we expected, and, therefore, the benefit to the State of Louisiana is greater than what we originally anticipated it to be.

MR. JOHNS: And all of this has been done with the blessing of the local public entities back in Calcasieu if I'm not mistaken.

MR. THOMAS: Yes, sir. We have put a tremendous amount of effort into working cooperatively with our near neighbors, with our more distant neighbors, with the local authorities, with all agencies. Again, we began this project realizing that we need to maintain $a$-- we need to be sustainable. We need to have something that is good for the State of Louisiana, that is good for the citizens of Southwest Louisiana, that provide jobs and opportunity to those people there, and we are quite confident that we've delivered on those. We've partnered with local training institutes, community colleges to be able to train and upscale the local workforce to be able to take advantage of the economic boom that has come into Southwest,

Louisiana, of which we were amongst the first.
MR. JOHNS: Well, we want you to know that the State of Louisiana welcomes the investment that you have made, we thank you for it and we welcome those jobs. And I will tell you that Sasol has put Southwest Louisiana on a worldwide map, and no matter where I go around this country, people say "Oh, that's where Sasol is; right?" I say "Yeah."

Thirteen-billion dollars raises a lot of antenna. So thank you for being there, and thank you for your investment.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Mr. Thomas, one question. Given the work that has been done under the original advance notification, do you anticipate any additional projects to come before this Board under that original advance notification past what you have here on this application?

MR. THOMAS: Yes, sir, we do. So as I mentioned, we are almost done with the project, but we're not done yet. Therefore there will be at least one more -- I will sit before you at least one more time as we finish the project. So the remaining spend for our project that is not yet captured under this current applications is about \$2.5-billion.

MR. JONES: For each of those trains or project extensions, were they contemplated in the original advance notification?

MR. THOMAS: Yes, sir, they were.
Everything we are doing with the project are the things that we originally scoped and included in the original application.

MR. JONES: How did the numbers expand? Why did the investment end up being so much larger than you originally estimated?

MR. THOMAS: There are many factors, Mr. Chair, but let me try to explain a few of them to you.

So projects like these, as I mentioned before, are very complicated to begin with. They are -and they require the efforts by thousands and thousands of people. So you can imagine that one of the largest expenditures of projects like these are the workers, are the employees of those Louisiana Works contractors that build our plant. Well, we underestimated the amount of labor it would take to build the plant. We underestimated the rate at which they can get the job done. Therefore, the reason -- the primary reason that the project increased in cost was it went longer, we kept those thousands of people on our payroll longer and
that comes at a cost.
MR. JONES: I understand. Thank you very much.

Any other questions or comments?
We have some Zoom questions.
Go right ahead.
MR. DIGRE: Yes. Thank you. Just out of Curiosity -- this is Peter Digre again. To the Sasol representative, just my simple grocery store math says that those 78 jobs are being offset at the cost of about 1.8-million for each of their creation. Certainly government could do a better job than that in terms of preserving that 138-million. The impact on jobs would be much, much greater.

Why does it -- why does the tax offset, tax exemption only yield 78 jobs at a cost of 1.8 -million a piece?

MR. JONES: I will answer that the tax offset is not directly correlative to the number of jobs.

MR. DIGRE: So what economic benefit is there in this then if it's so inefficient in creating jobs? And thank you for the opportunity to comment.

MR. JONES: You're welcome.
If you would like to answer the question,

Mr. Thomas.
MR. THOMAS: I'd be happy to, Mr. Chair.
So thank you for the opportunity to answer, and it really allows me to explain one of the realities of projects like ours, which is that they take a long period of time. And actually the jobs that are associated with the expenditure that we are applying for today were hired in the past. They were hired year two, three or four of this, so they've actually been on our payroll longer than simply the 78 that are reflected in the small sliver that we hired this year.

The way the mechanics of the application work is, we include the number of people we hire just in the period of time. It does not reflect the hundreds of people that we've hired leading up to this, which are the people who are running, maintaining and will stay with this site going forward.

Again, to remind you, we will have hired over 800 employees here in Louisiana for this job. In the recent time, we've hired 78 . We hired the majority of those earlier because it takes time to train and get them qualified to run our plants.

MR. JONES: Any other questions or comments?
Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Would you just please
also comment that when we talk about the jobs the belong to Sasol, please illuminate the lanes for the contractors that basically have almost permanent employment to maintain and do certain operations at that plant related to the plant, and then the indirect jobs that flow throughout the economy. This is actually a tremendous number of jobs that are not even reflected in the conversation so far I don't believe.

MR. THOMAS: Yes, Mr. Secretary. Thank you. I'd be happy to describe that.

So in an operation like ours, a chemical operation as it is, we relied on many contractors to maintain and do other activities at the plant every day. And typically those are the same employees for the contract firms, like Turner Industries, that report to work at our plant every day. They do the maintenance at our plant, they do other things like that at our plant. To an outsider, they would look like another Sasol employee. And they work here for years, which is typical of how it works within our industry and with our company.

So we have -- because of that, that's the direct impact, so to speak, of the people who work there, but because of the wages that we pay, and I've told you what they were, on average about $\$ 80,000$ of
base pay, plus benefits our employees make. Now, those people spend their money in the community, and that has a tremendous multiplying effect for the other people in the community at grocery stores, at shops, at the car dealerships, wherever it may be. It typically tends to be in the multiplier area of four, five, sometimes six numbers of indirect jobs, jobs out in the community for every job we have in our facility because they pay as well as they do.

SECRETARY PIERSON: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank You, Mr. Thomas.
Any other questions or comments?
We have additional Zoom questions. Okay.
MR. BAGERT: This is Broderick Bagert with Together Louisiana. This is an enormous thing of value. It's \$138-million only in the first year of the exemption. Over the life of the exemption, our estimate
 benefit analysis appears to have been conducted, no analysis appears to have been conducted to determine whether or not this exemption is needed and whether it's needed in its entirety. There's been no but-for analysis conducted, that means there is no rational and reasonable and objective grounds by which this Board can make a determination whether 1.2-billion in public
resources is good value for money.
Without conducting an analysis, a formal, written, public analysis that has revealed and released publically and open to scrutiny, we think that the approval of this exemption is unconstitutional because it doesn't meet the standard of the Cabela suit to determine whether and under what conditions public bodies can approve things of value for private entities. Not meeting that standard means it should not be approved.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other comments?
Lady Carlson.
Thank you, Mr. Thomas. You can go back to your seat.

MS. CARLSON: My name is Lady Carlson. I'm with Together Louisiana. My address is 7640 LaSalle, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806.

And, again, the company has done a study, but has the state done a study? And I think that's important to recognize. Is the state studying the exemptions? Sasol is one of a property-rich company in Calcasieu, and, yet, Calcasieu pays some of the -- a lot of their property is not on the tax rolls, and Calcasieu
and Lake Charles has some of the highest millage in the state, and so we think that when you don't -- if you exempt these taxes, somebody has to pay it, and that "somebody" is us. And we are in the middle of a pandemic where our people, our economies are shattered, and to keep giving away money like this is ludicrous. It does not make sense to keep giving away our money when we need it so desperately. And so we're asking you to deny this exemption. There's a lot of property that Sasol should have on the tax rolls that they don't have, and so, again, we ask you to deny it.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Lady Carlson.
Appreciate your comments.
Do we have additional Zoom questions?
MS. MO: Hi. This is Christy again. I just want to request --

MR. JONES: I'm sorry. Please give me your full name for the record.

MS. MO. This is Christy Mo.
MR. JONES: Thank you.
MS. MO: I want to request that the comments that are being put into the chat be submitted into the official record and also read out loud because there have been a lot of people who are opposing Sasol and

Marathon and the other tax exemptions. I actually haven't seen a single person in support of any of these exemptions.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your request, but we're not -- we have formalized how to address the Board. Private chats, even on Zoom, are not properly addressing the Board. It would be just as if people in the audience were whispering to one another if we were to somehow put that on the Board. We will not do so. If you want to make comments to the Board, you can do so through the Zoom ap by talking to the Board, not to one another. Thank you.

Next question, next comment.
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Okay. Do we have someone here from W.R. Grace \& Company.

As you approach the mic, please get as close to your mask as possible, speak loudly. Give us your name and your position with the company.

MR. BRODERICK: My name is Jesse Broderick with Sumit Credits and we represent W.R. Grace and assist them -- we assist them with their incentive programs and specifically the ITE applications.

This particular application is the last application. As there's a process and a procedure for
these programs, the company filed in ITE application that was approved and a contract was put in place there for the entire project that encompasses 235-million in investment.

The company is building a brand new catalyst plant right next to their existing plant in Sulphur, Louisiana. As part of the ITEP process, when you have assets go in service before the final project and plant goes in service, you actually have to file a new application that comes before the Board, and that's what this is. This is the 2019 assets that were placed in service.

The big project, the final project is scheduled to be -- is done. It is scheduled to be finally complete and go into commissioning at the end of the month, and that will complete their project. So they're done with their project. You should not see any future applications for W.R. Grace under the old rules.

MR. JONES: At least under the old rules.
MR. BRODERICK: Correct.
MR. JONES: Thank you. We want you to continue to invest.

All right. Any other questions or comments for W.R. Grace?

MR. JOHNS: May I say something?

MR. JONES: Of course.
MR. JOHNS: Thank you for being here. I'm very familiar with W.R. Grace. I live there right in Sulphur. I just want you to know your company's reputation is impeccable. It's been one of the better employers in Southwest Louisiana for many, many, many years. So we thank you for you for those long-term jobs that y'all have created. I call y'all the quiet company over in Calcasieu Parish.

MR. BRODERICK: Well, thank you very much. And I will say that Jennifer Cousté, the comptroller, was attempting to get on Zoom. I don't know if she made it, but she was hoping to be on the Zoom meeting in case there were any specific questions for the company.

MR. JOHNS: Thank y'all for taking care of your business.

MR. BRODERICK: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Broderick.
Ms. Carlson, you wanted to speak?
MS. CARLSON: Lady Carlson, 7640 LaSalle, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806, and I'm with Together Louisiana.

No analysis has been done to determine whether this exemption is needed or not, and the economic activity that will be described. And so we
think to accept this application is unconstitutional because no analysis was done, that we are urging you, again, to deny it.

And I feel like a broken record. We are in the middle of a pandemic. Our local governments are suffering, our families are suffering. And when one group doesn't pay taxes, another group has to, and that tends to fall on the backs of poor and middle income families.

And I would just say this: The United Way has a report called $A L I C E$, and it documents the number of people in each parish and each town that are the working poor, and so we'd like to see something done where you're saying to companies let's start thinking about the working poor, our schools are in terrible shape, we don't know the impact of what the pandemic will do to school taxes. Please deny this exemption.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. Carlson.
Any other questions or comments?
Mr. Broderick.
For those of you who on are Zoom, the delay is we have to disinfect the speaker's table, microphone and chair between each speaker.

MR. BRODERICK: Sorry. I just want to make
one additional comment for the record, and that is that we have been working in Calcasieu Parish on a number of exemption contracts and they've gone before the police jury and have gone before the school board and those have all been approved. So $I$ just want to make a note that the locals in Calcasieu Parish have been approving ITEP applications, and so from that standpoint, the locals in Calcasieu are supporting the ITEP. I don't know what Baton Rouge thinks, but the locals in Calcasieu support it.

MR. JONES: Thank You, Mr. Broderick. Any other questions or comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Okay. I believe we have a motion and a second on -- we do not yet have a motion. All right. Thank you. Thank you for keeping me straight, Ms. Simmons.

I have a motion from Senator Johns to approve these five Pre-EO applications.

Do I have a second?
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Moss. Any further comments or questions from the
(No response.)

MR. JONES: There being none, any further comments or questions from the public?

We have one comment or question from the public.

MS. MANALE: This is Jodie Manale at 812 Navy Street in Metairie, Louisiana. I'm speaking to support the comments of Broderick Bagert and the other person who spoke from Together Louisiana.

I would just like to say, this reminds me of living in a third-world country where companies are allowed to come in and exploit the resources and the people of that country without paying anything into the infrastructure of the area, and I think the time for the free ride is over. I believe in economic development; I support economic development. My son and his family live in Lake Charles, so $I$ know Sasol is having a big impact there, but $I$ oppose to any enterprise getting such huge industrial tax exemptions so many times more than any other state in the nation grants because this is undermining the cost our ability as Louisianians to have good roads, good schools, well-staffed government resources, police protection, fire protection and all of that. And I think it's time for your Board to stop rubber stamping all of these applications, particularly since you don't seem to have any mechanism in place for
accountability once the exemptions are granted. So I oppose the approval of Sasol's application. Thank you. MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments. Any other comments?

Next. Now.
MS. DANIEL: Hello. Can you hear me?
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. DANIEL: Oh, wow. Okay. So for what my first question is --

MR. JONES: Excuse me, but I need your name for the record, please.

MS. DANIEL: Sorry. This is Anne Daniel. My zip code is 70119. I'm in New Orleans. I'm a citizen of Louisiana.

I want to make sure you're not going to approve all five at once because each one is different. And it seems like there was plenty of dissent on Marathon and Sasol, and those should be looked at separately. And then, also, there should be a cost benefit analysis for each of those.

There should be local parish representation on this Board for, you know, any parish that's being affected, and if we can take the time to read all of the comments of the public, I think the Board can take the time to read all of the comments before you vote.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, there is a motion before the Board and a second.

MR. MOLLER: There is one more person --
MR. JONES: I'm sorry. Go right ahead.
MR. HARMER: Hi. Yes. My name is Josiah Harmer. I'm a student at Louisiana State University. I live at 4040 Burbank Drive in Baton Rouge 70608. I just want to comment on the five collectively and some of the arguments that have been made.

So the purpose, as $I$ understand it, for ITEP, of the policy, is to create construction jobs and generally to promote the wellbeing of the state through that policy. So businesses pay taxes as a cost of doing businesses everywhere in every state, and the fact that -- the simple fact that they employ people has been used I think specifically by Sasol, the Sasol representative, to -- as an argument for this specific exemption, which the two are completely unrelated; right? Creating jobs is a simple, you know, you do that anywhere, but this specific exemption is about creating construction jobs.

So if there's no evidence before this Board that these tax exemptions specifically are not adding value to the state and no evidence that they're really useful and they're a lot of concerned citizens that are opposed to them, it seems to me that (disconnection).

MR. JONES: We've lost contact. We've lost contact. Is he --

MR. HARMER: Yes. Sorry. Can you hear me?
MR. JONES: I can hear you now.
MR. HARMER: Yeah.
-- does not satisfy the purpose of the ITEP policy, and I think that that has been repeated time and time again.

And I'd like that to echo all of the people who have said that, you know, that it simply doesn't advance any objective related to the wellbeing of the citizens of Louisiana and must be seen as such, and I think that is frankly embarrassing.

And that's all I have. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other comments for the Board?
Please speak.
ZOOM PARTICIPANT: Yes. This is
(inaudible). Zip code is 70115.
I also want to comment on the Sasol in
support of what the previous comments have said. Our Louisiana tax exemptions are off the chart. They have been off the chart compared to tax exemptions that are granted anywhere else in the country, and we have not seen any benefits. We are at the bottom in so many categories if you look at health or environment. These companies come in, they exploit our resources, they create toxic air and water, and we grant them tax exemptions for doing that. It is just outrageous and it has to stop. So please vote no on Sasol. Add my voice there too.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other comments?
Please speak.
MS. BOOKER: That's it.
MR. JONES: That's all.
We have a motion and a second.
All in favor, say "aye."
Excuse me. Scratch that. I'm sorry. We're doing rollcall votes. Forgive me.

MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Representative Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
MR. JOHNS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: NO.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Don Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Travis Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: NO.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: We have a majority.
MR. JONES: What is the final vote count?
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.

MR. JONES: That's Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Final vote count.
MS. SIMMONS: We have seven yeses and three noes.

MR. JONES: Seven yeses and three noes, but the motion carries.

Next item on the agenda, please.
MR. USIE: Next we have Post-EO 2017 rules, six new applications: 20180030-A, Cortec, LLC, West Baton Rouge Parish; 20180072-A, Cortec, LLC, Terrebonne Parish; 20161891, Inferno Manufacturing, Caddo Parish; 20161891-A, Inferno Manufacturing, Caddo Parish; 20180120, Reliant Technologies, Incorporated, West Baton Rouge Parish; 20170067-I, WestRock CP, LLC, Jackson Parish.

MR. JONES: These are all applications that were submitted to LED following the Governor's Executive Order of 2017.

MR. USIE: 2017.
MR. JONES: And so do we have a motion to approve? I'll entertain a motion to approve.

MS. DAVIS: Motion to approve.
DR. W. WILSON: So moved, Dr. Wilson.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from

Representative Davis -- forgive me -- and a second from Dr. Wilson in Caddo Parish.

Any question or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any questions or comments from the public?
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: There being none, rollcall, please, Ms. Simmons.

MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. JONES: Ms. Simmons would you put your mic a little closer to your mouth, please?

MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.

MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
He just left.
Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: An Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
Next item on the agenda.
MR. USIE: Next we have Post-Executive Order new applications for the 2018 rules. We have 34. Six companies have requested deferrals. The first is 20190367, Savoie's Sausage and Food Products, Inc., St. Landry Parish, and five of the Folger Coffee Company in Orleans Parish. They're 20170466, 20170467, 20180298, 20190391, and 20190392.

MR. JONES: So first I would entertain a motion to defer these six applications.

We have a motion from Representative Davis;
second from someone on Zoom.
MR. MILLER: Jan Moller, I second.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, all in favor -- I'm sorry. We do have a question from the public.

MR. BAGERT: Yeah, just for clarification, the five Folgers, what was the other -- this is Broderick Bagert from Together Louisiana.

MR. JONES: Sure.
MR. BAGERT: So what was the sixth application. We saw Folgers. What was the other one?

MR. USIE: The other one is 20190367, Savoie's Sausage.

MR. BAGERT: Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. JONES: There being no further comments, all -- rollcall vote, please, for deferral.

MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Senator Johns.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Travis Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
Go right ahead.
MR. USIE: 20190019, ASH Industries,

Lafayette Parish; 20180501, Alliance Compressors, LLC, Natchitoches Parish; 2020200128, Borne Technologies, Ascension Parish; 20190003, C\&C Marine and Repair, LLC, Plaquemines Parish; 20180516-A, Centric Pipe, LLC, Bossier Parish; 20190030, Community Coffee Company, LLC, Jefferson Parish; 20190082, Etheredge Electric Co, LLC, Calcasieu Parish; 20180373, GUILDCO, LLC, St. Landry Parish; 20190188, Gulf Island Shipyards, LLC, Terrebonne Parish; 20190283, Gulf Island Shipyards, LLC, Terrebonne Parish; 20180090, Gulf Island Shipyards, LLC, Terrebonne Parish; 20180403, Indorama Ventures Olefins, LLC, Calcasieu Parish; 20190026, International Mezzo Technologies, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20190173, Jackson Hardwood, LLC, East Feliciana Parish; 20180498, K\&B Machine Works, LLC, Terrebonne Parish; 20170492-A, Louisiana Sugar Cane Cooperative, Inc., St. Martin Parish; 20190120, MK Environmental, Inc., Caldwell Parish; 20180296, Martco, LLC, Natchitoches Parish; 20190393, Martco, LLC, Natchitoches Parish; 20190093, Neighbors, LLC, Ouachita Parish; 20180301, Packaging Corporation of America, Beauregard Parish; 20190031, Packaging Corporation of America, Beauregard Parish; 20180500, Peppers Unlimited of Louisiana, Inc., St. Martin Parish; 20200068, REG Geismar, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20180261, Shintech Louisiana, LLC, Iberville

Parish; 20190015, TDC, LLC, Calcasieu Parish; 20180533-A, W.R. Grace \& Co., St. Charles Parish; and 202000010, Weyerhaeuser NR Company, Livingston Parish.

And that concludes the 2018 applications.
MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
MS. DAVIS: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from
Representative Davis to approve.
Do we have a second?
MR. MOSS: Second.
MS. SIMMONS: We have a second from
Representative Moss.
Any questions or comments from the Board? (No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public? Lady Carlson.

MS. CARLSON: Lady Carlson, 7640 LaSalle, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. And I want to be sure, so you're approving all of these applications, all of these exemptions?

MR. JONES: There is a motion on the floor, yes, ma'am.

MS. CARLSON: TO approve them?
MR. JONES: Yes, ma'am.
MS. CARLSON: And so I would just like to
say, again, we're giving away money we need, and I know, Mr. Jones, you're getting tired of hearing me, but this is money we're giving away in exemptions. When one group doesn't pay taxes, another group does, and so just as you do this, realize that you are now saying that the millages in these communities can go up and that the middle, poor citizens are going to replace the money that you're giving away. So $I$ just want to put that in there.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Two things, Ms. Carlson. Number one, I'll never tire of hearing from you. Ever. Number two, we simply have a disagreement. I don't agree with everything you say; $I$ don't agree with everything that you contend. I go back to my comments earlier with Marathon, somebody back in 1976 sitting on this Board decided to grant Marathon an exemption. As a result, St. John the Baptist Parish is getting over \$50-million a year in tax revenue from that facility. So there are moneys coming into and will be come going into governmental entities today and in the future because of the investments the State of Louisiana is making through this program.

Y'all don't agree with me; I don't agree with y'all, but $I$ will defend to my death your right to
stand before this Board and make your points because I think at some point in time you may change my mind, but it's not going to be today.

MS. CARLSON: But, sir, with Marathon, you're giving them an exemption for something that's required by law. The improvements they're making in the air quality is required by law, and so that should be -that should be the cost of doing business. I don't get an exemption because $I$ improve my house, and so I think the same should apply.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
MS. CHENG: I do want to note -- this is Kristen Cheng, ITEP Program Administrator -- these are under the 2018 rules and still do need to go to the local bodies for approval.

MR. JONES: So these will be going to the locals?

MS. CHENG: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Excellent point, Kristen. Thank you very much.

Yes, sir. I had another -- somebody else has a question or comment.

MR. GUILLORY: Hi. My name is Dexter Guillory.

MR. JONES: Put it up a little bit closer,

Mr. Guillory.
MR. GUILLORY: Dexter Guillory. My company, which is a family-owned company, was on this last list of the people putting application, GUILDCO.

I guess my question is, because of the delays of no one's fault, the St. Landry Parish Assessor's Office would like some -- I guess some clarification so that they can honor our property tax freeze, and they're losing patience. How quickly can we get at least something from you guys sent to the parish so that we can go forward with this tax exemption freeze?

In short, we spent three and a half million dollars, and the only thing we're applying for -- can take use of is the property tax freeze, which will be about $\$ 10,000$ a year from us. Because we're seasonal, we're not requesting anything at this time for cost sharing of training or any of those type items.

MR. JONES: I understand. Thank you, Mr. Guillory. As Ms. Cheng just mentioned, what will happen next is the local taxing authority, your sheriff, your parish council or parish police jury, if you're facility is in a municipality, the municipality, they will -- and the school board will all have to approve this before it goes to the tax assessor.

MR. GUILLORY: Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. JONES: Thank you, sir. Appreciate your time with us today. Appreciate your investment.

Yes, sir.
MR. SPENCE: My name is Hartie Spence with ASH Industries in Lafayette, Louisiana, one of the contracts, one of the ones that's up for a vote. I can sit here and let the contract pass, but I can't stay silent anymore.

I started my business 30 years ago in Lafayette with me and my dog sitting on a couch next to a machine, and now I have 71 employees. I have been working real hard to expand our business. We're in the poorest section of Lafayette.

I'd like to debate anybody who say that we're not giving back to the community. I debate anybody to tell me that we're not giving Louisiana the critical jobs that are necessary to keep Lafayette alive in a time where we're really disparate.

Our employees are our neighbors. They're the poorest people in Lafayette. We bring them in without any skills, we educate them. We do our best to promote them. We educate them in business and money where they can use it in their home and to improve in their jobs. We do everything we can to help each other
out no matter where they come from or what their background is.

In the last few years, our business has used the ITEP program to expand our business 50 percent since 2016, and we will continue to expand our business. We have plans to triple the physical size of our plant in the next three years. And this monkey business about not contributing to infrastructure is a bunch of bunk. We're buying thousands of dollars of electricity on a monthly basis that's poured back into the economy.

Louisiana needs one thing, and that's more jobs. We need to put people to work because without jobs, we can't survive, and this ITEP program makes it possible.

This week and this month, we're moving in almost a million dollars in equipment that we'll put on another contract to bring back to the ITEP program. We're taking Louisiana plastic made with Louisiana plants from Louisiana oil and we're building parts for companies all over the United States and we're taking cash from California, New England and every other state in the nation for medical, consumer and industrial products and we're using our resources to brig money back that we need to survive.

So I'm very grateful for the program. I'm
going to have to go back to the local area and fight for their approval, which I also think is ridiculous, but I'm going to get it because local people believe in local investment and they understand what we're doing for them. We're taking small bits of money and are multiplying that effect. Nobody is giving us money and the money is not disappearing and the money will not have to come from anybody else because we're creating money.

Thank you very much.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your investment.
Appreciate your comments.
Any other comments before we vote?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: We do have a motion and a second; right?

MS. SIMMONS: Yes, we do.
MR. JONES: Okay. Let's have a rollcall vote.

MS. SIMMONS: I need all of the Board members to please unmute your phones at this time so that we can get your vote on record. Thank you.

Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: No response.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS COLA: Yes.
MS . SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
MR. MOLLER: My name was not called. This
is Jan Moller. I vote yes.
MS. SIMMONS: I'm sorry, Mr. Moller. I
missed your name.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Moller.

All right. Next item on the agenda, please,
Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: Next we have 67 renewal applications, two of which are requesting deferral. Those two are 20131327, Folger Coffee Company, Orleans Parish, and 20141383, Folger Coffee Company, Orleans Parish.

MR. JONES: Just those two?
MR. USIE: Just those two.
MR. JONES: So I would entertain a motion to defer the Folger Coffee Company renewal applications. MR. MOSS: So moved.

MR. JONES: I have motion from
Representative Moss.
Second? Do we have a second?
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Davis.

Rollcall vote -- excuse me. First, any comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
We do have a Zoom participant.
Please speak. Please make your comment.
(No response.)

MR. JONES: All right. It appears to have lost interest in the comment.

Okay. Let's move on, Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: We have 65 timely renewals. Do you want me to read them all individually?

MS. SIMMONS: You need to vote on the deferrals.

MR. JONES: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you, Ms. Simmons. I appreciate that.

We need a rollcall vote on the deferral of
the two Folger Coffee Company applications.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Davis.
MS. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries. Those two items are deferred.

Now, Mr. Usie -- I'm sorry.
There's nothing before the Board right now to comment on. There'll be opportunity for comments as we move through these applications.

Go right ahead, Mr. Use.
MR. USIE: We have 33 renewals for Genesis BR, LLC all in East Baton Rouge Parish, 20150540, 20150545, 20150546, 20150547, 20150548, 20150549, 20150550, 20150551, 20150552, 20150553, 20150555, 20150556, 20150557, 20150558, 20150561, 20150563, 20150565, 20150566, 20150569, 20150570, 20150571, 20150573, 20150574, 20150575, 20150577, 20150578, 20150579, 20150580, 20150581, 20150582, 20150583,

20150586 and 20150588. Again, those are all Genesis BR, LLC in East Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. JONES: Before we move on, let's deal with these. Are these the same ones that were deferred from the last meeting?

MR. USIE: Correct.
MR. JONES: Correct.
MR. USIE: We have the Genesis Crude Oil next up that were also deferrals.

MR. JONES: Let's go ahead and include those in the discussion point that $I$ want to cover right now.

MR. USIE: So we have 16 Genesis Crude Oil, LP, all in East Baton Rouge Parish, 20150535, 20150536, 20150537, 20150538, 20150539, 20150554, 20150559, 20150560, 20150562, 20150564, 20150584, 20150585, 20150587, 20150589, 20150590, 20150591.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you very much.
At the last meeting, these matters were before the Board for consideration, and by one of our Board members, Parish President Havard raised the question of whether and how these applications met the requirement of manufacturing under the state statute and rules.

I know that we have posed that question to the company, and, Secretary Pierson, did you have a
comment?
SECRETARY PIERSON: (Inaudible.)
MR. JONES: Well, I believe we have somebody from the company; is that right?

Mr. Fudickar, would you come forward, please.

MS. CHENG: Chairman Jones, we also have a public comment that was submitted regarding these. Do you want me to read it?

MR. JONES: Let's go -- go ahead. We had a comment that was submitted prior to the meeting pursuant to our new Open Meeting rules. So let's go ahead and pose that question.

MS. CHENG: This was from LaMont Cole, EBR Metro Councilman District 7. He writes, "Dear Secretary Pierson, Chairman Jones and Members of the Board of Commerce \& Industry, we are writing about 49 requests for renewal of Industrial Tax Exemptions by Genesis BR, LLC and Genesis Crude O, LP for consideration at the Board of Commerce \& Industry meeting June 24th, 2020.

Has the Board of Commerce \& Industry specifically determined that these establishments meet the constitutional definition of manufacturing, and if so, which of the companies' activities constitute manufacturing?

According to the company's website, Genesis BR and Genesis Crude Oil are pipeline companies which own and operate petroleum pipelines. These renewal
 taxable rolls from East Baton Rouge Parish for another five years costing local entities about \$7-million in lost ad valorem revenue.

LaMont Cole, Metro Councilman District 7.
MR. JONES: Thank you for the comments, Councilman Cole.

The specific question that was raised by Mr. Cole is fine, but more importantly the Board member, Parish President Havard raised the same question at the last meeting, and so we have a company representative.

So can state your name and your position with the company and let's address this question on the manufacturing nature of your work.

MR. FUDICKAR: My name is Robert Fudickar.
MR. JONES: A little bit closer to the mic.
MR. FUDICKAR: My name is Robert Fudickar, and I've been requested to represent Genesis in this matter under the Commerce \& Industry Board.

MR. JONES: You're going to need to speak a little bit louder, and actually pull the mic up to you.

There you go. Much better. Thank you.

So this question of manufacturing by Genesis, help us understand that.

MR. FUDICKAR: Yeah, you bet.
So upon the last meeting where Board Member Havard asked, I think he received this explanation, but I'll be glad to read it in for the record.

MR. JONES: Please do.
MR. FUDICKAR: The barrels of crude oil delivered at our facility are delivered through our Port Hudson facility via barge, pipeline or truck or to our Scenic station facility via railcar. The crude oil delivered to our facilities can originate from locations around the United States and Canada. Crude oil grades refer to the chemical components of the crude oil, specifically the sulfur content, the gravity and the viscosity of crude oil.

The products that can be manufactured from crude oil vary based on the components of the crude oil. Therefore, it is advantageous to the refining process to obtain crude out with varying components to create the best possible crude oil solution to then further process.

Once received at our facilities, the different grades of crude oil are then blended, combined, mixed in our tanks to manufacture homogenous
feedstock that has the appropriate specifications to be able to physically ship on pipeline and further manufactured at a refinery into certain petroleum products.

There are physical limits on both the pipelines that deliver the crude oil solution to the refinery from our facility and the refinery itself requires a specific blend of crude oil that we manufacture at our facility.

Once blended to crude oil feedstock is then moved out of our storage tanks and shipped via pipeline to the refinery of our customer. Once delivered to the refinery, the product is further manufactured to continue the downstream process.

Through the process at our facility, the local refineries are able to physically acquire crude oil feedstock with the specifications, chemical components that will facilitate the most sufficient production from their facility.

And, to our understanding, that has been delivered to the Board.

MR. JONES: Mr. Havard, are you still participating by Zoom?

MR. HAVARD: Yes, I'm listing.
I guess my specific question is are all of
these exemptions at one location?
MR. FUDICKAR: No. They're various locations.

MR. HAVARD: It just says East Baton Rouge, but I don't see the addresses.

MR. FUDICKAR: I don't have them specifically. It may be on the application.

MS. CHENG: These specific contracts are at the same location, yes.

MR. FUDICKAR: Well, because there're actually two --

MR. HAVARD: I guess my question is, are you -- I understand the production of blending, but are you doing it at every location that you are requesting, you know, the exemption for manufacturing or is some of these locations actually just pipelines or transport companies?

MR. FUDICKAR: Actually, there are no pipeline costs accrued.

MR. HAVARD: Like a tank farm, for example, of a plant. Inside the plant itself, I can see where it would be considered manufacturing where you're blending and maybe storage even, but outside the gates, when you're, you know, transporting it via pipeline or loading it on a tractor/trailer or whatever the
transport vehicle might be, whether barge or whatever, $I$ don't -- that's not part of the manufacturing process. It's part of the district transportation network. And is -- most pipeline companies are, you know, they're regulated by the department of transportation because of that, DOTD regulations, that are because they're a transport company, not a manufacturer.

MS. CHENG: Mr. Havard, these are all -- all ITEP contracts are site-specific, and the assets cannot leave the specific site, the actual address that's on the application. So this is specific to the site that is on the application, and these would be all at the same facility, if that answers your question.

MR. HAVARD: I couldn't quite hear you. I'm having trouble hearing.

MS. CHENG: Sorry. These are site-specific contracts, and all assets have to be on site and cannot leave the facility and must be used in the manufacturing process. So they do not leave and are not part of the pipeline.

MR. HAVARD: Okay. Well, just specifically just give me an example of one location in Baton Rouge that where you do the blending.

MS. CHENG: I don't have the renewal application in front of me.

MR. JONES: Mr. Fudickar, can you help us with that?

MR. FUDICKAR: I don't have those particular addresses in front of me, no. I can tell you generally there's a Scenic station facility and there's a Port Hudson facility among other -- the blending sites. The blending occurs up and down.

MR. JONES: Mr. Havard?
MR. HAVARD: Yes. Do you blend at the Port Hudson facility?

MR. FUDICKAR: We blend virtually everywhere that we move it because it comes and goes from certain grades and a certain -- what we look for in certain viscosities for the -- whether it's the sulfur content, the gravity, and so it's a virtual process. It blends per customer need at the end user, but, yeah, we do both at the Scenic station and Port Hudson. Excuse me.

MR. HAVARD: Okay. And can you just explain the process to me a little bit? Because I know that, you know, the trucks, when they leave the oil, you know, the field and they deliver to Port Hudson, they are offloaded there at that facility, and what happens at that point?

MR. FUDICKAR: Well, full disclosure, I'm not a chemical or a petroleum engineer, so $I$ would be
remiss in tell you I understood the process personally, but we can get that information for you.

MR. MOLLER: This is Jan --
MR. HAVARD: That was pretty much the information $I$ was asking for today so we would have it for this meeting so we can make a decision on if it's truly manufacturing or it's just a distribution network.

MR. JONES: Just one second, Mr. Havard. We have a comment from Secretary Pierson.

SECRETARY PIERSON: Thank you, Mr. Havard, for posing the question in our last meeting, and due to your appropriate questioning, the staff did do the research relative to this definition. Certainly the definition that was read into the record spoke to the process by where oil is not oil. Oil is lots of different kinds of oil with lots of different viscosities, lots of different sulfur content, et cetera, et cetera, and what this company does in its blending process is to provide to the end user a different product than that raw crude that came in the point of entry.

So we feel like the definition of their activities delivered does constitute manufacturing in that sense, and certainly there have been a number of other blending operations historically permitted to
utilize the ITEP program.
I think it's important to note that the company testifies through Louis Dicole (spelled phonetically) that construction costs, the pipeline in this are not a part of the exemption, and only the facility assets which are actually performing the manufacturing process here are the ones that are proposed.

You're seeing an unusual number of these because in the past it was an available option to get an exemption on miscellaneous capital additions when we entered into those contracts. That practice is no longer possible, part of the reforms enacted by Governor John Bel Edwards.

I did hear a comment earlier in this meeting relative to, "Geez, it's a Pipeline Company. They probably don't have any employees," but that was an incorrect statement again, and if we look at Genesis, we will find that they have approximately 375 employees in the state today.

So as the Board contemplates this, I just wanted to bring those points to the discussion that we have a significant employer paying a great rate of salary, which then multiplies into a lot more jobs across the state and that they're seeking to renew the
opportunities that were afforded to them a number of years ago. And that appropriately we would question these things, but in this case, we feel like they do continue to meet the definition of manufacturing, and we hope that we've cleared up that issue that was publicly brought forward by Mr. Havard.

MR. HAVARD: I'll just make one more comment.

I'm reading from Genesis' own web page here. The second line, second sentence in their opening statement, they -- "Genesis provides midstream energy infrastructure and logistics to move product to the market in the most efficient and cost-effective manner," and that then it says where all they're located. And then also it says "We have a variety of opportunities across multiple divisions including marine, well operations, trucking and pipeline operations. We have the newest and most advanced trucks, barges and ships in the industry."

So, I mean, just reading it, it looks like it's a transportation company.

MR. MOLLER: This is Jan Moller. I just wonder, you know, when Genesis said that they're only seeking the exemption on the portion of their operations that are actually manufacturing, what steps does the
department take to verify that? Does somebody go out and look at the operation or is this -- you know, do we just take their word for it?

MS. CHENG: Site inspections are done for all ITEP contracts. I believe this was done prior to back before either Hud or I were actually here, but when the manufacturing process was questioned prior to their initial contract even being approved, the previous project or program administrator went and did a site inspection.

MR. MOLLER: So under the previous rules, every time somebody submitted an MCA, because these are MCAs, somebody from LED went out to inspect and make sure that it actually covers manufacturing; is that...

MS. CHENG: Not before all of them, but when we get applications that have manufacturing processes that are not clear to us that are clear to us manufacturing, we do set up site inspections. Like if, per se, like Mr . Havard just said he read on their website, it did not seem like a manufacturing company, we would go set up a site inspection and ask them to show us their manufacturing process.

MR. MOLLER: So this was done prior to this meeting on this set of renewal applications?

MS. CHENG: Done before the initial contract
was...
MR. MOLLER: But the questions weren't raised before the initial contract. The questions were raised in February; correct?

SECRETARY PIERSON: The legal definitions were met, which is how the initial contract was executed on behalf the state by the Commerce \& Industry Board, so as a renewal, if they continued to do the same processes, which we have the confirmed status of the company to do, and. Again, when you look at Genesis, yes they're a transportation company. They have barges, they have trucks, they have all kinds of railcars. That's not what they're seeking the exemption on here. The exemption is for those assets that are actually performing the manufacturing process.

MR. MOLLER: I understand that. Maybe I'm not making myself clear. Has anybody from Louisiana Economic Development gone out to inspect Genesis to make sure that they are claiming the -- asking for an exemption on the actual portion that is manufacturing to verify their claim?

MS. CHENG: Not anyone that's here today.
MR. MOLLER: So we just don't know?
MR. USIE: Whenever they sought exemption -this is Hud. Whenever they sought their first exemption
for the initial five years, the previous program administrator did do a site inspection, but five years later, they're now up for renewal, so there was no need to do another inspection when the questions were already cleared up.

MR. MOLLER: Okay. Is there a paper record created when such a site inspection takes place?

MR. USIE: We would have to look at the actual physical file. We've changed FastLane systems since then, so there might be a record from the previous administrator in that physical fie, but we would have to pull it to know for sure.

MR. MOLLER: Okay. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Any other questions or comments?
We do have some Zoom questions.
Please speak.
MS. COLA: Hi. This is Yvette Cola. Can you hear me?

MR. JONES: Yes, we can. Thank you.
MS. COLA: It's extremely hard to gather and to hear all of the exchange that's going on in Zoom, so I've been trying to listen carefully.

I just want to make sure $I$ understand what $I$ think I heard correctly. The question on the table was if an inspection had been conducted on Genesis to
confirm that they are indeed manufacturing a product, and I just want to make sure $I$ heard correctly that an inspection was done initially when they were first approved, but nothing to verify production thereafter; is that correct?

MR. USIE: That is correct. Kristen and I were not there in person at that time. It was the other previous ITEP administrator that would have done that at the time the company sought their initial contract.

MS. COLA: Okay. I'm sorry. It's still very hard to understand everything. I just want to ask one other question.

So at the initial inspection, it was determined that Genesis was manufacturing a product?

MR. USIE: Correct. They would not have had an approved contract if it was not verified at that time.

Mr. Moller also asked for --
MS. COLA: I'm sorry to interrupt you.
Honestly it's just so hard to understand. Can you lead with a yes or a no for me. I couldn't --

MR. USIE: Well, it's not an easy yes or no question. I apologize. Can you hear me now at this volume?

MS. COLA: I can hear you, but it's really
muffled and extremely hard, so just bear with me.
MR. USIE: So, yes, an inspection was done at the initial time they sought the ITEP exemption, but another inspection has not been done since they applied for a renewal.

MS. COLA: Okay. And after that initial inspection, did you say -- I'm sorry. I just can't quite understand everything. Did you say that it was determined that manufacturing was being done?

MR. USIE: Yes, correct.
MS. COLA: Okay. Thank you. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Additional questions from Zoom participants?

MS. RANDALL: May I speak? This is Kathleen Randall.

MR. JONES: Please do.
MS. RANDALL: Can you hear me?
MR. JONES: Yes. Please speak.
MS. RANDALL: Thank you. My name is
Kathleen Randall. I'm a resident of East Baton Rouge Parish, 19535 Cape Hart Drive, Baton Rouge. The ZIP code is 70809.

I would like to thank Mr. Havard for raising this issue initially about whether or not this company is a manufacturing company. I would like to thank

Mr. Jan Moller for raising the questions about whether or not proper inspections and analysis has been made to determine whether it is truly manufacturing a product. I think this is an innovative way of approaching giving Industrial Tax Exemption to a company that is not primarily -- and I emphasize "primarily" -- involved in manufacturing a product. And I understand the niceties of the blending process, although, of course, not being a petroleum engineer, $I$ can't really follow it completely, but the crude oil that the Genesis representative mentioned comes from all over the United States, he said. It wasn't manufactured here. It may have been blended here, and I believe that insufficient inspection has been given to the concept of whether or not blending is manufacturing.

The ITEP rules are specific about a manufacturing process. They have to meet the constitutional requirements of being a manufacturer. And the ITEP process is also geared toward creating jobs, and we note on this application it's for the creation of 11 new jobs and we don't even know whether or not they're considered manufacturing rather than blenders.

I would suggest that this Board defer this consideration until enough information has been garnered
by the company and by the staff to address the question
 interest of the State of Louisiana, 11 employees is not a lot for such a big international transportation, slash, manufacturing, quote, unquote company.

Those would be my comments, and thank you so much for letting us participate in this hearing.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your participation. Thank you for your comments.

Other comments?
MR. HARMER: Hello. Yes. Can you hear me?
MR. JONES: Yes. Please speak up.
MS. HARMER: This is Josiah Harmer again from East Baton Rouge Parish. I am not going to attempt to speak to the petroleum or the manufacturing side of this question, but $I$ would like to relay a personal experience that is directly relevant this exemption and its costs.

So I volunteer as a CASA, a Court-Appointed Special Advocate in East Baton Rouge. I represent the needs of a child in Baton Rouge juvenile court, and I'll preserve confidentiality that that child's being taken care of by a single grandparent, who is a teacher and now that COVID has happened is also earning money in their spare time as it a tutor. Just absolutely a
wonderful, kind human being who has taken on this grandchild from a mother who was mentally ill, homeless and refusing prenatal care, and the child was taken into the custody of the state.

Now, from my developed relationship with this person, I understand, you know, what the cost will be in terms of real human lives if teachers' salaries are cut, if these employees are not paid what is already kind of an unreasonably low salary and in an already struggle public school system, you know, that when these exemptions happen, they are directly connected -directly connected -- to the ability of the state to pay such salaries. And your actions today are connected to her salary and the ability of public schools to serve citizens of Louisiana, amongst other public services, in East Baton Rouge Parish.

That's all I have. Thanks for letting me participate.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Additional comments from Zoom participants?
Please speak up. Please speak up.
MR. DIGRE: Yeah. This is Peter Digre. My question is about the Folgers one for later.

MR. JONES: I'm sorry. For Folgers, which has already been deferred, so we will not be addressing

Folgers today.
MR. DIGRE: Thank you.
MR. JONES: You're welcome.
Next comment.
MR. MORELAND: Hello. This is Rich
Moreland.
MR. JONES: Can you take us off the speaker, please? Please speak directly into your telephone or your computer.

MR. MORELAND: Hello?
MR. JONES: Much better. Thank you.
MR. MORELAND: Okay. This is Rich Moreland. I live at 1723 Blouin Avenue in Baton Rouge 70808.

I have another question to raise about this and the 48 other Genesis applications. I'm trying to figure out why there is so many of them. I noticed that they're all under $\$ 5-\mathrm{million}$. Apparently that makes the difference in whether a company has to give advance notice of their application, but I'm wondering whether it has other advantages, like decreased scrutiny or falling under the 2015 application date before Governor Edwards' Executive Order. We seem to have no written record of any specific analysis of its status as a manufacturing company.

Can someone on the Board please explain to
the public what the advantage of keeping all of these under $\$ 5-$ million might be?

MR. USIE: The reason for the under 5-million is they were all miscellaneous capital addition projects and they are not required. Miscellaneous capital additions were not required to file an advance notification like other applications.

MR. MORELAND: Is there an advantage -- my question session is whether there is an advantage in keeping -- taking these applications apart and putting all of them at or under \$5-million?

MS. CHENG: No. They just did not have to file an advanced notification, and the cap was at \$5-million. So if they can separate it out into separate projects that were $\$ 5-\mathrm{million}$, they could file however many applications they wanted under \$5-million. There was no advantage or disadvantage to it.

MR. MORELAND: I guess to me as a citizen, if $I$ tried to do this on an expense report by filing 49 different expense reports for a trip I take that, you know, I wouldn't dream of it costing as much as these exemptions do, but that would seem at least fishy to my superiors. Is this something that the Board of Commerce \& Industry is used to approving?

MS. CHENG: Well, this practice is no longer
allowed as of 2016 when the Governor issued his Executive Order. Now you would see this as a whatever 40 -- how many is that -- whatever that added together times five is, one application for a larger investment amount. That's what it would be in front of you instead of 33 applications at $\$ 5-m i l l i o n$.

MR. MORELAND: Yeah. There's 49, I believe.
I suspect that I'm not the only one who finds this a questionable business practice both for the company and for the Board of Commerce \& Industry to be giving away so much money to reward.

MS. CHENG: Well, this is an exemption, and they would just not be paying taxes -- property taxes on those assets that they're seeking exemption on.

MR. JONES: All right. Thank you for your comment.

Next question or next comment?
MR. BAGERT: Yes, this is Broderick Bagert with Together Louisiana. I just want to address two things.

First, the point that Greg (sic) Moreland raised, so the rule in place when these were applied for stated that miscellaneous capital additions can be applied for for accumulations of capital assets under 5-million. What this applicant has done is to take
accumulations of capital assets of 143-million and break them into 49 parts. And going along with that, not only originally, but now violates the intent of that why prohibit or put a cap if you -- on the accumulation if they allow the accumulation to become 49 accumulations.

Second, the constitution prohibits this Board from granting Industrial Tax Exemptions to any company that's not a manufacturer. The Governor in his Executive Order are reiterating the importance of this stating "I will not approve contracts unless the Board of Commerce \& Industry" -- now, that's not LED. That's Board of Commerce \& Industry -- "has specifically determined that the establishment meets the constitutional definition of manufacturer."

The staff is presuming the property being exempted is related to manufacturing by virtue of the fact that the exemption was granted, but that is a beg to question. What is under contention is, is it in fact manufacturing and is it manufacturing on a scale significant enough, that is to say that the 49 properties that's dedicated to manufacturing is substantial enough to meet the constitutional definition.

So the Board has an obligation here specifically to determine whether the activity is
manufacturing, as was raised four months ago, and no analysis or inspection has been conducted in the interim to determine whether or not that the agreed-to which the property being exempted constitutes manufacturing under constitutional definition.

That is a big problem, and it's a problem because when questions are raised to what degree of the properties' being exempted is actually manufacturing, the answer from staff cannot be "Well, we presume all of it because it would be illegal to do something otherwise."

What we're saying is "Hey, this really might be illegal because it sure doesn't look like this is a manufacturing company to any substantial degree." And so to presume the very question that's under discussion by members of this Board and members of the public, when \$5-million in additional subsidies from the public are at stake just seems irresponsible. It would seem now, having deferred this for four months, that the obligation of this Board at least to defer the matter and have an analysis be conducted as the Governor required or to reject them outright since that analysis has not been conducted.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for those comments.

Any additional comments?
Please speak. Please speak.
MS. WASHINGTON: Thank you. I am Alice
Washington, a resident of East Baton Rouge Parish, and I'm also a social worker.

So many have already cited many of the concerns that $I$ have about the Genesis project, and they've done a fine job of clarifying to what these concerns should be and what you should consider in you evaluation as well. But $I$ want to speak to you quickly just about what $I$ see through the prism of a social worker's eyes when $I$ look at not just the Genesis, all of these exemptions.

As a social worker, when $I$ see monies going away from the city, the state, the parishes, $I$ worry because I am so aware of so many needs in our school systems and, of course, our governing bodies that it pains me when $I$ se us making decisions to grant exemptions when they're not constitutionally sound and they're not deserving. I realize that many will be deserving, but $I$ see some in the Genesis product project that are clearly not so.

First of all, as has been noted, this doesn't appear to be a manufacturing company. I did some research and I looked at them and their own
definition of themselves. They're calling themselves an infrastructure and logistics service. That seems to me to be a move-and-store-type of company. And they are not considered by many to be a manufacturing company, and that is a constitutional requirement that they be so.

Now, perhaps, even if they are, there's so many reasons why you need to look very carefully at every dollar that you decide to approve, and look at especially if it's not legal, if it's not constitutional or outside of the rules, but we need to consider the dollars that are leaving our state because it means there will not be the resources that we need to support our schools and our other bodies and entities that need the funds for services in our cities and in our parishes.

Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comment.
Any other comments from the public?
One more. Please speak. Please speak.
MR. LAWSER: All right. Can you hear me now?

MR. JONES: Yes.
MR. LAWSER: Thank you. My name is Joel Lawser (spelled phonetically). I am concerned that you
have a large number of applications and a very, very conclusive statement from the company or the entity itself regarding whether it meets the manufacturing -the definition of manufacturing establishment. That definition would have to be met for each of the 40 -however many, for each of the applications, and for each of them, they would have to meet the constitutional definition.

It doesn't appear that there's any record evidence, and your decisions have to be made on the record. It does not appear that -- I can't find any record evidence that this is in fact, these applications are in fact related to the blending operation. It's -you know, most of the project descriptions simply say general expansions, additions and improvements.

I don't know how you would parse that out between them any manufacturing, if it is in fact manufacturing between the blending element and the storage element. Storage clearly is not manufacturing.

So the Supreme Court and the courts have taught us at we need to construe our -- you, the Board, needs to construe its authority narrowly because this is an exception to the rule, the constitutional rule that everybody has to pay taxes basically, and you have to have a record of decision on each of these facilities --
on each application. The record has to contain evidence that proves that this particular application is tied to a manufacturing process or facility. And it's not evident from the public record that $I$ can find, nor is a conclusory statement that "Yes, well, we have petroleum engineers and (inaudible) and we do do blending," whatever that means.

If their blending is in fact simply, you know, taking materials from different pipes and sending them at the same time and through a single pipe to a facility, I really highly doubt that that is manufacturing under constitutional definition of the Supreme Court.

So I would very much like to hear more information about the process itself and how these different applications relate to that manufacturing process, specific information, and I would like you guys to consider each one of those applications and go through them before granting them and not grant them in globo or altogether.

I think in the absence of that, I believe that you will have violated the procedural and fiduciary responsibilities y'all have to us, the citizens.

So I'm going to pause and ask that maybe the facility spokesperson can explain what blending is in a
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manner that's going to clear up some of this, and then sigh tie in each of those particular applications to that, but at the absence of that, either defer it and ask for more information as it relates to each specific application and create a record and create a reason for the decision if you are going to be fronting these and if where you're going to be granting any Industrial Tax Exemption the public deserves to know why you did. And so that we would ask that you create a reason for decision. And my name is Joel Lawson. I'm also a member of Together Louisiana, Together Baton Rouge.

Can you answer that, please?
MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other comments?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There are no further comments.
At this time -- forgive me. Mr. Fudickar,
do you have any further comments?
MR. FUDICKAR: No.
MS. CHENG: Chairman Jones?
MR. JONES: Yes, ma'am?
MS. CHENG: I did want to state for the record that staff was prepared to -- staff was prepared with the manufacturing process, we got that from the company because that's what was requested from

Mr. Havard at the February meeting. We weren't -that's what we had. We weren't requested to go do a site inspection, but if that's what $y$ 'all would like. MR. JONES: I understand. I think it is clear that we have a cup flounce of situations here that are creating concern for a number of people. Frankly, me included. You've got a number of individual applications that are no longer allowed by the rules. They are appropriate because they were under an original approval that allowed for breaking them out into several applications, but $I$ think the net effect of breaking them out is that each one does have to proven as an individual manufacturing process, and so I think, rather than act on these applications today, I think it is appropriate that -- and, Mr. Fudickar, I think you need to tell your client that these applications are under intense scrutiny at the Board level, and there is going to have to be considerable cooperation with the staff in order to establish that each separate application is in fact in and of itself a manufacturing facility otherwise entitled to an Industrial Tax Exemption. And I would suggest that we defer action on these until the August meeting, but at the August meeting, I fully expect this Board to act one way or the other.

So I think it would be appropriate to get
with staff and affirmative determinations be made concerning meeting the manufacturing requirement for each separate application.

MR. FUDICKAR: Yeah, that shouldn't be a problem. And I will mention that we would have been definitely prepared if $I$ would have had these additional questions, but we answered the questions we had at the time. So would be glad to cooperate and collaborate with whatever team members need to be, and we'll expedite at your level of expedition for this purpose.

MR. JONES: And I appreciate that. My suggestion is that there may be additional questions in August that we haven't thought of, that people haven't thought of today. So my suggestion is that you anticipate the unasked questions, much like the King asked Joseph, I not only want you to interpret my dream, I want you to tell me what I dreamed, then interpret it for me.

MR. FUDICKAR: Yeah, I know that passage.
MR. JONES: Okay. Great. So I think your client needs to anticipate these questions so that we can be prepared to address the concerns that have been raised at the August meeting.

So at this time, $I$ would entertain a motion to defer all Genesis matters that were read on the
record earlier, both Genesis BR and Genesis Crude Oil. I'm not going to read all of the numbers, but they were the ones that were read in the record earlier by Mr . Usie.

I think $I$ have a motion from Representative Moss.

Do I have an --
MS. COLA: Second from Yvette Cola.
MR. JONES: A second from Ms. Cola.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
Mr. Leonard.
MS. COLA: Second by Yvette Cola.
MR. JONES: We're about to have a rollcall on that issue once Mr. Leonard makes his comments.

MR. LEONARD: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, my name is Jimmy Leonard. I'm with Advantous Consulting. Just a comment to make regarding miscellaneous capital additions, which I would expect many more renewals related to miscellaneous capital additions coming before the Board in future Board meetings.

Historically those applications were filed not as individual manufacturing establishments or
plants, but as component projects or related projects to a manufacturing processes within the plant. So an application was not necessarily standing alone as an independent manufacturing establishment. It was being presented as an accumulation of capital projects related to a manufacturing facility.

MR. JONES: Thank you for that clarification.

All right. Are we ready to vote on the deferral? Rollcall vote, please, Ms. Simmons. MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.

MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. HAVARD: My mute button was on. I would like to vote yes.

MR. JONES: Thank You, Mr. Havard.
The Genesis applications for renewal are deferred to the August meeting. Thank you.

All right, Mr. Usie. What's next?
MR. USIE: Next we have 20131321, Georgia Pacific Consumer Operations, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20150340, Mezco Fabrication, LLC, Lafayette Parish. We have 13 for Sasol Chemicals (USA), LLC all in Calcasieu Parish. They are: 20150765, 20150766, 20150767, 20150768, 20150769, 20150770, 20150771, 20150772, 20150773, 20150774, 20150775, 20150776 and 20150777; and 20151759 for Southern Recycling, LLC. That on is in Orleans Parish.

And that concludes the timely renewals.
MR. JONES: I would entertain a motion to approve the renewal applications that were just read
off.
Do we have a motion?
MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from Representative Moss.

Do we have a second?
MS. COLA: Second for Yvette Cola.
MR. JONES: Second from Ms. Cola.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS . COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
MR. W. WILSON: Dr. Wilson was yes.
MR. JONES: Thank you. Thank you, Dr.

## Wilson.

All right. We have now, Mr. Usie, the late renewals.

MR. USIE: We have seven late renewals. The first is 20150650, Cal-Chlor Fluids, LLC in Lafourche Parish. Initial contract expiration date is 12/31/2019. Late renewal request date is March 3rd of 2020.

MR. JONES: Do we have anybody here from Cal-Chlor Fluids, LLC?

Please come to the table. Please get as close to the mic as you can. Speak loudly and state your name and your position with the company, please.

MR. ZEHNDER: Jimmy Zehnder, Lafayette,
Louisiana. I'm the COO of the company.
MR. JONES: Great. We have a situation where your renewal request came in late to LED. It was due December of 2019, came in in March of 2020. Can you explain the reason for the tardiness?

MR. ZEHNDER: It's like the dog at the mail. The CPA didn't give us advance notice until the February time. I contacted Didier Consultants and engaged their services to help us from this point forward.

MR. JONES: Okay. So to make sure I understand, and I'm just trying to get this clear on the record, you did not realize it was due till the end of 2019?

MR. ZEHNDER: Correct.
MR. JONES: So then you've now hired a consultant to assist with these filings in the future?

MR. ZEHNDER: That is correct.
MR. JONES: Okay. For the Board, historically what we do, we have the options: We can approve the five-year renewal, we can approve with a penalty or we can deny that request for approval. Historically when we have a late renewal request that is less than a year, the penalty has historically been one year.

I would entertain a motion to that effect.
DR. W. WILSON: So moved, Dr. Wilson.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from Dr.
Wilson.
Do we have a second?
MS. COLA: Second, Yvette Cola.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. Cola.
We have a motion and a second to approve the
renewal of Cal-Chlor with a one-year penalty.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Brigs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS :
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: The motion carries.
Thank you for being here today, and we'll get it straight next time.

MR. ZEHNDER: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Thank you very much.
All right, Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: Next we have 20131142, Gnarly Barley Brewing, Tangipahoa Parish. Initial contract expiration, $12 / 31$ of 2019 , late renewal date February 28, 2020.

MR. JONES: Do we have someone here for Gnarly Barley Brewing?
(No response.)

MR. JONES: Do we have someone here from
Gnarly Barley Brewing?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Do we have anybody on Zoom
representing Gnarly Barley Brewing?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any requests to speak from Zoom participants?

MS. BOOKER: No requests.
MR. JONES: Okay. Board members, historically when we do not have someone here to explain the late renewal, historically we have looked closely at denying the request for renewal, and I would entertain a motion to that effect.

MR. W. WILSON: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Dr. Wilson.
Do we have a second?
MS. COLA: Second, Yvette Cola.
MR. JONES: We have a second from Ms. Cola.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: To the Board members, we still
need you to unmute your phone so that we can record your vote and so that everyone in this room can hear you. MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor David Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Travis Holley.
Mr. HOLLEY: Yes.
Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Thank you.

MR. JONES: Motion carries.
Next, Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: We have two for Intracoastal Iron Works. The first is 2113497, Intracoastal Iron Works, Inc., Lafourche Parish. Initial contract expiration, $12 / 31$ of 2018 , late renewal request date, March 13th of 2020. And the other is 20150605, Intracoastal Iron Works, Inc., Lafourche Parish. Initial contract expiration, $12 / 31$ of 2019 , late renewal request date March 12th of 2020.

MR. JONES: Do we have anyone here from Intracoastal Iron Works?

Please come to the table, please.
Would you state your name and your position with the company, please?

MS. VIGUERIE: Thelma Viguerie.
MR. JONES: Pull that real close to your mouth, please. You can pull it. It will move.

There you go.
MS. VIGUERIE: Themla Viguerie.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. Viguerie.
And your position with the company?
MS. VIGUERIE: I am part owner.
MR. JONES: Okay. You understand that we had late renewal applications filed? Can you explain
to us what happened?
MS. VIGUERIE: It was just an oversight. I didn't know it had expired until $I$ was contacted by the assessor's office in Lafourche Parish.

MR. JONES: Okay. Did they take the property off the -- or put them back on the tax rolls?

MS. VIGUERIE: No. He said was going to hold off until this meeting.

MR. JONES: I'm sorry. He said?
MS. VIGUERIE: He said he was going to hold off on doing anything until after they had a decision on it.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you very much.
So moving forward, $I$ don't know if you have any other applications that may be up for -- have you taken any steps inside the company to ensure you don't miss these kind of renewal applications in the future?

MS. VIGUERIE: Yes. We're going to set it up on the computer to remind us.

MR. JONES: Very good. Thank you.
Now, Mr. Usie, we have two applications, one is two years late and the other one is one year late?

MR. USIE: Right.
MR. JONES: Is that correct?
MR. USIE: That's correct.

MR. ONES: And I understand you have asterisk that said the jobs -- multiple applications same site. These are two different applications and two different projects at the site; is that correct?

MR. USIE: Two different projects, two different contract numbers. The asterisk is just to not double count the jobs.

MR. JONES: Got it. Okay. Thank you.
I would entertain a motion on the first one, 20140497, that would have a two-year penalty. And what that means, Ms. Viguerie, is you would get three years, three years remaining on the renewal, but you lose two of them. And chances are -- what historically will happen on the second application, you'll lose one year of the renewal.

MS. VIGUERIE: All right.
MR. JONES: All right. But the Board still has to vote, so we'll see.

We have some Zoom questions from a Board member. Okay. Who is that?

Ms. Malone?
MS. MALONE: Yes. I was just wondering, it states that there was zero investment on Project Number 20150605. Is that just an error or is there no investment on that project?

MR. USIE: That has to be an error when we compiled the agenda. I'm sorry. Yeah. It has to be an error from when we compiled the agenda.

MS. MALONE: Okay. Do we happen to know what that is?

MR. USIE: We don't have the application on hand, no.

MS. MALONE: Okay. I'll look and see. Thank you.

MR. JONES: Thank You, Ms. Malone.
Any other questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: All right. Listen carefully. I'm going to surprise y'all with a two-part motion. We want to have a motion -- I would entertain a motion that grants the renewal for 20140497 (sic) with a two-year penalty, but within the same motion, grant the renewal for 20150605, but with a one-year penalty.

DR. W. WILSON: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from Dr.
Wilson.
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: We have a second from Representative Moss.

Any other questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor David Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Travis Holley.

MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Thank you. That motion carries.
Thank you, Ms. Viguerie. Thank you for being here today.

MS. VIGUERIE: Thank you.
MR. JONES: All right. Next, Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: 20140439, JMI Manufacturing, Inc. in Lafayette Parish. Initial contract expiration, 12/31 of 2019, late renewal request date May 8 th of 2020.

MR. JONES: Do we have anyone here from JMI Manufacturing?

MS. BOOKER: Yes, on Zoom.
MR. JONES: I'm sorry?
MS. BOOKER: Yes, on Zoom.
MR. JONES: So we have representative here participating by Zoom. Great.

Would that person identify themselves and their position with the company.

Please.
(No response.)
MR. JONES: You may have your computer muted.

MS. BOOKER: Alex, can you please speak?

Your computer is on mute.
MR. JONES: Are we having a technical problem, people?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Let's skip JMI Manufacturing right now. Y'all try to work out the technical issues, and let's take up Mammoth Properties, LLC.

MR. USIE: 20150619, Mammoth Properties, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish, initial contract expiration $12 / 31$ of 2019 , late renewal request date March 5 th of 2020.

MR. JONES: Do we have anyone here from Mammoth Properties?

Please come forward.
Thank you, sir. Please get as close to the mic and speak loudly and clearly and give us your name and position with the company.

MR. KELLY: My name is Kevin Kelly. I'm one of the two owners of Mammoth Properties.

The building was built in 2015 specifically for a company called Mezzo Technologies. It's a manufacturing building. I'm also the President of Mezzo.

Mezzo filed the paperwork back in 2015 because it's them on the lease, and Mezzo has no excuse.

They just missed the filing deadline in December. We filed all of our paperwork in March, and we have no excuse for missing other than we missed, and we're in that position right now too.

MR. JONES: As far as implementation of controls to make sure that doesn't happen again -- I'm sorry -- can you help me with that?

MR. KELLY: We do deal with the state on a number of Quality Tax jobs programs. We're on the top of our game usually. This was a relatively unusual situation and we just missed it. This the only renewal for that particular property, but, in general, $I$ feel like we have good controls in place and we just made a mistake in this particular case.

MR. JONES: Okay. We're not here to beat your or anything. Basically we try to use this process to help everyone understand the importance of being on top of this. Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

All right. We have a three-month delay that would normally correlate a one-year penalty, so $I$ would entertain a motion to approve the renewal with a one-year penalty.

Motion from Representative Moss.
Do we have a second? Do we have second?
MR. MOLLER: I'll second. Jan Moller.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Moller.
We have a motion and a second.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, any comments
from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Hearing none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.

MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
Dr. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: That motion carries.
Thank you, sir. Appreciate you being here.
MR. BRIGGS: Don Briggs, I'll vote yes also.
MR. KELLY: Thank you very much.
MR. JONES: Reynolds Metals Company.
We'll come back to him.
MR. USIE: 20120080, Reynolds Metals Company, Calcasieu Parish, initial contract expiration, $12 / 31$ of 2019 , late renewal request date April 17th of 2020.

MR. JONES: Do we have a representative from Reynolds Metals Company?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Representative from Reynolds on Zoom?

MS . BOOKER: Yes.
MR. JONES: We do?
Please state your name and your position with the company.

MR. MARSH: John Marsh, Manager of Property Taxes.

MR. JONES: I'm sorry. Your last name?

MR. MARSH: Marsh.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Marsh.
Can you explain to us what happened to result in the late renewal?

MR. MARSH: Unfortunately it was just an oversight on our behalf. Back when Alcoa Inc. separated into two companies, Reynolds Metals came to Alcoa Corp, and the internal control documents just mislabeled the filing date. We actually had two ITE extensions that were dues in 2019, and we're typically just on a one-renewal-per-year rolling schedule, so we just had to go in and update the records.

Thankfully the FastLane website was able to identify the contract that we did miss, so appreciate that.

MR. JONES: All right. Well, thank you very much for that explanation, and it sounds like there are controls in place to preclude that happening again; is that right?

MR. MARSH: Absolutely.
MR. JONES: Great. Thank you.
Entertain a motion to approve the renewal with a one-year penalty.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from

Representative Moss.
Do we have a second?
MR. BRIGGS: Don Briggs.
MR. JONES: Second from Don Briggs.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Travis Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Thank you.
MR. JONES: That motion carries.
Thank you very much.
Let's move back to JMI Manufacturing. We have someone from JMI through Zoom?

MS . BOOKER: Yes.
MR. JONES: Okay. Please state your name and your position with the company.

MS. LATULAIS: My name is Alex Latulais (spelled phonetically). I am the accountant at JMI Manufacturing.

MR. JONES: Great. Thank you very much. I'm glad we were able to get the technical issues resolved.

Please explain to us what happened with the late renewal.

MS. LATULAIS: Yes. We had a change in ownership the beginning of 2019, so we were not aware of the deadline, but going forward, we've made note of the
deadline dates and we will make sure this does not happen again.

MR. JONES: Very good. Thank you.
Appreciate your help there.
I would entertain a motion to approve the renewal with a one-year penalty.

Motion from Representative Moss.
Do we have a second?
DR. W. WILSON: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Dr. Wilson.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
We have a comment from Zoom. A Board member
or public? The public.
Please speak.
MR. LLAWSER: My name is Joel Lawser. I was trying to comment on the last one, but $I$ couldn't. I guess $I$ wasn't quick enough or -- $y^{\prime}$ all have moved on with the vote, so $I$ apologize.

MS. BOOKER: He said he tried to make a comment for the last one, but...

MR. JONES: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

Are we ready to vote? Let's go.
MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
MR. USIE: I'd like to make one clarification too, on the one that Ms. Malone inquired about, the 20150605, Intracoastal Iron Works, Inc. with
the zero investment and zero first-year depreciation. Kristin was able to look it up in FastLane to get the numbers. The total investment for that application is 228,374, and the first year renewal with depreciation estimate is 4,299.

MR. JONES: Great. Thank you. That helps clear up the record.

All right. We have some changes in location.

MR. USIE: We have four changes in location: Cypress Machine Shop, Inc., 20150456. Previous location is 102 Jared Drive, Broussard, Louisiana 70518 in Lafayette Parish. New location is 4530 Decon Road, Youngsville, Louisiana 70592 in Lafayette Parish; LA3 West Baton Rouge, LLC, 20161942. Previous location, 30 degrees, 27 minutes, 58.3 seconds north, 91 degrees, 19 minutes, 4.78 seconds west. New location 568 Wilbert Road, Port Allen, Louisiana 70767, and this is in West Baton Rouge Parish; LA3 West Baton Rouge, LLC, 20170443. Previous location 30 degrees, 27 minutes, 59.25 seconds north, 91 degrees, 20 minutes, 1.90 seconds west. New location 7809 Rosedale Road, Port Allen, Louisiana 70767 in West Baton Rouge Parish; LA3 West Baton Rouge, LLC, 20170504. Previous location 30 degrees, 28 minutes 21.10 seconds north, 91 degrees, 19 minutes, 5.31
seconds west, and the new location is 6930 Rosedale Road, Port Allen, Louisiana 70767, and this is also in West Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. JONES: I'll entertain a motion to approve these four changes in location.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion from Representative Moss.
Second from?
MR. MOLLER: Jan Moller.
MR. JONES: Mr. Moller.
Any questions or comments from the Board? (No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public? (No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote. MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS . SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS . SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Woodrow Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Thank you. Motion carries.
MR. USIE: We have five transfer of tax exemption contracts for Bercen, Inc. a division of Cranston Printworks Company, Contracts 20110151, 20130293, 20140295, 20170240, and 20160705, new owner, Bercen Chemicals, LLC, Livingston Parish.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to approve.
Motion from Representative Moss.
Second from?
MR. TOUPS: Toups .
MR. JONES: Mayor Toups. Thank you, Mayor.
We have a motion and a second.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mayor Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS . COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Wilson.

DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: Motion carries. Thank you. Mr. Usie.

MR. USIE: We have 19 cancelations, five of which are requesting deferral. The Phillips 66 Company, 20110054, 20120528, 20120529, 20120530 and 20120531. These are all in Calcasieu Parish. The reason is that the Calcasieu Parish Assessor contacted Kristen and I this morning saying that the assessor's office is going to work with the company to refund them the taxes that were paid so that they could renew the contracts.

MR. JONES: Okay. So they're asking for a deferral?

MR. USIE: Yes.
MR. JONES: First let's see if we have a motion on the floor. I'm not going to entertain questions until we have motions.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have motion to approve to defer.

Do we have a second?
We have a second from Dr. Wilson.
Now, do we have questions?
MS. BOOKER: From the company.
MR. JONES: From the company. Okay. Great.

Zoom, please identify yourself and your position with the company.

MR. CISNEROS: Hello. This is Chris
Cisneros. Can you hear me?
MR. JONES: Yes, we can. Thank you.
MR. CISNEROS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I would like to respectfully request that the deferral be -- that the contract cancelation be deferred until August. I am still working on getting a refund from the assessor's office so that we can go forward with the renewal. That's one of the contingencies for doing it.

It's not the assessor's fault. It is my fault. They're working as hard as they can, and I'm trying to get the information as quickly as possible.

I would respectfully request that you defer this cancelation until the August hearing so that $I$ can have a chance to -- another chance to work and get this done. Thank you.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Cisneros. We have a motion to that effect on the floor.

Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any other comments from the
public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, all in favor say "aye."

Excuse me. Scratch that. We're doing
rollcall. Old habits are hard to break.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.

MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Motion to defer carries.
MR. USIE: Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Contracts 20141421, 20141422 and 20141423. These are all in Ascension Parish. LED requests cancelation due to notification by the parish assessor of the removal of the assets related to these contracts upon the site being shut down. The company has been notified about the cancelations.

Venture Global Calcasieu Pass, LLC, 20151141-A in Cameron Parish, and company requests cancelation.

MR. JONES: And just to be clear on the record, Board Member Holley, Travis Holley, will be recusing himself for any consideration of the Air Products and Chemicals contracts.

Other than that, do we have a motion to -MR. MOSS: So moved.

MR. JONES: Motion from Representative Moss.
Do we have a second?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Do we have a second?

DR. W. WILSON: Second.
MR. JONES: We have a second from Dr. Wilson
to approve these cancelations.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Havard.
MR. HAVARD: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.

SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES: That motion carries.
Let's go over your special requests,
Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: We have four special requests. There is one requesting deferral. It is JMS Foodservice, LLC, Contract 20140886-A.

MR. JONES: Entertain a motion to defer the JMS Foodservice.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have motion from
Representative Moss.
Second from?
MS. MALONE: I second. Heather Malone.
MR. JONES: Thank you, Ms. Malone.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.

MR. TOUPS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS . COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Wilson.
(No response.)
MR. JONES: That motion for deferral
carries.
MR. USIE: The first one we have s Hexion Inc. They request the consideration of the below full transfer of Contract Number 20140858 to Stonebriar Commercial Finance, LLC with a 9/26/2017 effective date
of change. The transfer request was e-mailed on $12 / 13$ of 2017 , however, the payment was not received until 1/25/2018. This was done in paper form. Forms are not considered received until payment is submitted. Because of this, it was beyond the three month time period allowed per ITEP rules.

MR. JONES: Okay. And for clarity of the record, I'll be recusing myself from any consideration of this contract. However, I will continue to facilitate the meeting for purposes of the consideration.

Do we have a representative from Hexion?
MR. WHITTAKER: Yes.
MR. JONES: Would you state your name and your position with the company, please?

MR. WHITTAKER: I'm Scott Whittaker from the Stone Pigman Law Firm. Address is 301 Main Street, Suite 1100, Baton Rouge, and I want to thank the Board. The reason why this transfer is a special request is because of a clerical error in delivering the payment. That application itself was submitted timely, but the payment was not mailed until after the deadline, and for that, we apologize.

We've got here, this is -- the transferring connection was a sale leaseback transaction. Hexion
continued to operate the facility after the transaction as it did before.

I've got letters here from Mert Smiley, the Assessor for Ascension Parish, in support of the transfer, as well as from the Ascension Economic Development Corporation in support and the Louisiana Chemical Association in support. I also got a letter from BRAC just this morning, which I e-mailed to the staff, in support of this contract name change and transfer.

On the phone via Zoom is Rick Schumacher, who is the Senior Vice President of Hexion in charge of their global tax program, and he has some words for the Board as well.

Rick Schumacher. Mr. Schumacher.
MR. SCHUMACHER: Hello.
MR. JONES: Yes, we can hear you.
MR. SCHUMACHER: My name, as Scott mentioned, is Rick Schumacher. I am the Senior Vice President of Tax for Hexion, Inc. Our address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Thank you for the opportunity to provide some comments.

As Scott previously mentioned, I am the Senior Vice President of Tax, and as a representative of Hexion's global tax affairs, $I$ also apologize for the
delayed payment. It was not meant to be disrespectful in any way, shape or form.

Hexion's operated manufacturing facilities in Louisiana for many years. We're currently operating from three facilities in the state, employ a total workforce of around 100 with an annual payroll of just under 11-million.

Hexion's relationship with the Department of Economic Development has been very good, and the property tax incentives provided have played an important part in our decision to construct our newest facility in Louisiana wherein in 2015 and 2017. This represented a combined investment of over $\$ 60-\mathrm{million}$. The transfer, as Scott mentioned, was a sale leaseback financing. This is a tantamount to a bank financing with the exception that the bank holds the property title instead of the mortgage. As you may be familiar with a lease, the property under the leaseback reverts to the lessee, which is us, Hexion, upon payment of a small amount at the end of the lease term.

I thank the Board for considering Hexion's request, and we're happy to answer any questions.

MR. JONES: Is there a motion?
MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion to approve; is that your

```
motion?
```

MR. MOSS: Yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Representative Moss made a motion to approve.

Do I have a second?
Let me see if $I$ have a second first.
MS. CHENG: You need to approve the special request first before you can approve the transfer because this is outside the three-month timeframe.

MR. JONES: I'm sorry. I'm not following you.

MS. CHENG: Because this is a special request, you have to approve the special request prior to you considering the transfer.

MR. JONES: Okay. All right.
Then we have a motion to approve the special request.

MR. BRIGGS: Don Briggs, yes.
MR. JONES: Don Briggs has a motion to that effect.

Do we have a second?
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second, Representative Moss.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
We have a comment or question from the

Board? I can hear it.
MS . BOOKER: Yes.
MS. MALONE: Sorry. This is Heather Malone, and my question may be better suited whenever we consider approval, but $I$ think this is more of a question from an administrative standpoint.

Just looking at the dates of the change and then the transfer request, I mean, it was several years ago. Explain to me, $I$ guess, why we're just now seeing this, and with the delay and timing, I mean, you know, have the assets been put on the tax roles? Explain that process to me a little bit better.

MS. CHENG: We have confirmed with the Ascension assessor that these assets have not been taxed and that they've remained on the exempt roles. And it was -- I think there was just a delay in -- there were changes in staff at Mr. Whittaker's office that was handling this and at Hexion's as well, and I believe that's just why there was a delay in it coming to the Board until this time.

MR. WHITTAKER: And if I may, this also was caught up in the switch from the paper filing of the application to the FastLane, the electronic filing, and the paper filing was done right before the switchover and it was misplaced by the staff of LED. And that did
not come -- it didn't come to the attention of the company for about a year that that had occurred until after the actual sale leaseback transaction had occurred. So that was the cause of that big gap between the filing of the application in 2017 until the actual filing in 2019 for the transfer -- I mean, for the electronic filing in 2019, rather.

So we didn't do it twice. We had to correct that.

MS. MALONE: Okay. And so how many years are left on the contract?

MR. WHITTAKER: It comes up for renewal at the end of this year.

MS. MALONE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Any other comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote on the special --

MS. BOOKER: Yes, sir, there is one. I'm sorry. There is a question or comment from the public.

MS. BOOKER: He just lowered his hand.

Never mind. Sorry.
MR. JONES: All right. We have a vote on the approval of the special request.

MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: To all of the Board members, I
need you to unmute your mic so we can hear your vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Yvette Cola.
MS. COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Stuart Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Jerald Jones.
MR. JONES: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Kenneth Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MR. JONES. And, Ms. Simmons, I have removed my vote, so we need to --

MS. SIMMONS: We need to remove Mr. Jones' vote.

MR. BRIGGS: Don Briggs says yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Thank you.
MR. JONES: That motion carries.
So now we are -- there is -- do we have a motion on the transfer itself?

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Is that a motion to approve the

## transfer?

MR. MOSS: Yes, sir.
DR. W. WILSON: So moved.
MR. JONES: We have a motion from
Representative Moss; second from Dr. Wilson.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: There being none, let's vote.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: Yes.

MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS COLA: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MR. MOSS: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Malone.
MS . MALONE: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: Yes.
MS. SIMMONS: Thank you.
MR. JONES: Motion carries.
Thank you Mr. Whittaker and Mr. Schumacher.
All right. What's next, Mr. Usie?
MR. USIE: Next we have Service Machine \& Supply, Inc., Application 20180110. It was approved by the Board of Commerce \& Industry at the June 28th, 2019
meeting. Following which, Notice of Board Approval was sent to the Lafayette City Parish Council, Lafayette Parish School Board and Lafayette Parish Sheriff for their consideration.

The Lafayette City Parish Council initially denied this application within the 60-day allowed timeframe. However, upon restructuring of the former consolidated council, Service Machine \& Supply and the Parish Council jointly request that the Board reconsider this application to be approved again, and, subsequently, resend it to the local governing authorities for reconsideration.

MR. JONES: So it was denied within the 60-day timeframe, but now they want us to send it back? MR. USIE: Correct.

MR. JONES: For reconsideration?
MR. USIE: That's correct. And the contract was issued initially saying that the Lafayette Parish City Council had denied their portion of the exemption. And now they have restructured that city parish council, and they want to jointly request that they have the opportunity to reconsider that denial and reverse -- I guess possibly reverse it to approval.

MR. JONES: So what's happening here is both the company and the parish council is asking for the
opportunity to reconsider?
MR. USIE: Correct. Jointly requesting.
MR. JONES: Got it.
Entertain a motion to approve this special
request?
MR. BRIGGS: Don Briggs.
MR. JONES: Motion from Mr. Briggs.
Second?
Do we have a second?
MR. MOSS: Second.
MR. JONES: Second from Representative Moss.
Any questions or comments from the Board?
MS. MALONE: I just have a question. This
is Heather Malone again.
So, again, just looking at the timeframe of when this happened, have any of the assets been placed on the tax roles to date? Do we know?

MR. USIE: They would have just been billed for them at the end of last year for the 20 percent. That is not something that $I$ have on me confirmed for the 20 percent that they would have paid, but under the 2018 rules, they would always pay some portion of the property tax.

MR. JONES: Up until the time it's approved.
MR. USIE: Up until then.

MR. JONES: Ms. Malone, does that answer
your question?
MS. MALONE: It does, thank you.
MR. JONES: Any other questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Any comments from the public?
Yes, there are comments from the public?
MS . BOOKER: Yes.
MR. JONES: Please speak.
MR. BAGERT: This is Broderick Bagert with Together Louisiana.

A few meetings ago there was a request for a reconsideration from a school board that had rejected an exemption, but did so outside of the timeframe and they were told rules were rules. What this request seems to be doing is to say, okay, they did not get the results they wanted, and now, either because of newly-elected officials or because of a change in mind of those officials, those companies get a redo.

What this can of worms would open is it would say after a company has an exemption rejected, plow your money into the next election and get the majority vote, and if you can do that, then you can go back in time and have a redo, which is not something
that's provided for under the rules and not something that should be provided for. It creates an incentive to politicize this process.

One question we would have is why, for an investment that's now a couple years old, the property has not gone into the tax roles, if, in fact, it has not? Is it because companies are not reporting their property for years on end in the hopes of getting an exemption down the line? And this would open up yet another door to allow companies to try to use the political process to get favorable elected officials in placed and then once they do, to revisit the exemptions that have been requested by duly-elected representatives through this Board.

We think that's really bad policy and a can of worms that's going to lead to an erosion of the trust of this program and the politicization of this process at the local level. So we would be opposed this and the subsequent request to have a special process for reconsideration once a company has managed to gin up a change of officials to support something that was previously rejected.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Bagert.

Any other comments?

We do have other comment from Zoom.
MS. COLA: This is Yvette Cola. I do have a question regarding the -- was there a reason why the sheriff changed his position with regards to this application?

MR. JONES: Do we have anyone here from Service Machine?

Do they have a representative here? Is there a representative from Service Machine on Zoom?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Do we not? Do we have a representative or not?

MS. BOOKER: He is on. We're trying to get him set up.

MR. JONES: While they're trying to fix the technical issues there, Mr. Usie, do you know whether originally the council and the school board and the sheriff declined or was it just the council?

MR. USIE: We believe it's just the council the denied it. The contract was issued, and I believe the other two were approvals. The school board and the sheriff approved it. The City Parish Council denied it.

MR. JONES: Okay. Ms. Cola, does that answer your question?

MS. COLA: No. I'm sorry. I could not
understand him.
MR. JONES: What we were saying was -- what Mr. Usie said is that originally the sheriff approved and the school board approved, but the parish council denied.

MS. COLA: That, I understand. I guess I was wondering was there a reason for the change in position?

MR. JONES: Yeah. The only one that would have changed -- that apparently has changed their mind would be the parish council.

MS. COLA: The parish council?
MR. JONES: But we're assuming that by the fact that they're asking for a redo.

MS. COLA: Okay. All right. Thank you.
MR. JONES: Does that answer your question?
MS. COLA: Uh-huh, it does.
MR. JONES: Great. Thank you.
Now, do we have a representative from
Service Machine?
MS. BOOKER: We're still having some technical difficulties.

MR. JONES: Okay. Do we have any other comments from the Board or the public on this issue?

MS. BOOKER: The public on Zoom.

MR. JONES: We do have comments from the public. Okay. Let's see if we can get them to speak.

MR. LAWSER: Thank you very much.
My concern is a procedural one.
MR. JONES: I'm sorry. I need a name, please. A name.

MR. LAWSER: My name is Joel Lawser. I spoke earlier with Together Louisiana, also appearing on my own behalf.

My concern is a procedural one. If the decisions of the Board are to be considered final and you move to reconsider, reconsideration under the LAPA, the Louisiana Administration Procedure Act, reconsideration on any motion that was decided into any court, you know, there are standards by which agencies act; right, and there's standards by which we move forward.

My concern is proceeding with a reconsideration, $I$ second that it will open the flood door, the flood gate, but, most importantly, it would be an action that's not authorized in the procedural regulations, at least -- and forgive me if I'm wrong. I haven't seen them. I mean, I've read the regulations. I haven't seen the reconsideration. And, further, it really is something that needs to be carefully
considered, lest you open up the flood gates, that there has to be some sort of, you know, consideration by the agency, by your body about, you know, if we're going to be granting reconsideration, under what conditions, what showings people have to make in order to get that reconsideration.

In the absence of that, I think moving forward on this particular application, though I'm all in favor of solar energy, would be -- personally -would be an unauthorized act by -- and/or an unauthorized rulemaking, the fact that we're rulemaking because there is no current process for that.

That's my comment.
MR. JONES: Thank you, sir.
Any other comments?
MS. BOOKER: Comment from a Board member.
MR. JONES: Okay. Who is that?
MS. BOOKER: Ms. Malone.
MR. JONES: Ms. Malone.
MS. MALONE: Yes. So if I'm not mistaken, we have already approved this application, and then it was sent back to the local governing authorities; correct?

MR. JONES: That is correct.
MS. MALONE: So do our rules allow for any
kind of, you know, process for the local government to go through since we've already approved it?

MR. JONES: I'm going to speak, and then I'm going to look to the lawyers to correct me if I'm wrong, but it's my understanding that, no, that the rules do not allow for it. That's why it's coming before us as a special request.

MS. MALONE: I understand. Okay. Thank you.

MR. JONES: Is that fair, Mr. House, Ms.

## Bourgeois?

I'm asking Ms. Bourgeois to come to the mic, please, our attorney for the Board.

MS. BOURGEOIS: Good afternoon. Tam Bourgeois for LED.

What the rules of the Board allow is that anyone can appeal an action, a prior action of the Board. So while you are absolutely correct there isn't a procedure in place saying what steps might need to be taken in order to do that, they've made this appeal, which is before you right now for the Board to consider whether or not you want to allow the locals to reconsider their prior position on this application.

MR. JONES: Okay. So is this technically a reconsideration by this Board or is it simply a decision
to allow it to go back to the locals?
MS. BOURGEOIS: I would see it as a decision by the Board to allow the locals to reconsider their position because you've already approved the application.

MR. JONES: Understood.
Any comments or questions from the Board?
MR. MOLLER: This is Jan Moller. I just think we would be setting a very disturbing and dangerous precedent if we allow this. You know, the new governing body down there in Lafayette can set whatever rules they want going forward, but $I$ do not think we should be allowing them to undo the decisions of the previous entity that already decided on this.

MR. JONES: Actually, Mr. Moller, this is one of those times $I$ think you and I agree on where we are on this because, much like Mr. Bagert said earlier, once we start allowing the locals to reverse themselves -- I could make an argument that the rules were intended to provide opportunities for the company, but, frankly, $I$ think the bigger concern now is, as Mr. Bagert explained earlier, is that what happens when companies are allowed to, through the political process, change membership and, therefore, change decisions? I think that certainty needs to be a matter that swings
both ways is my personal opinion.
MS. COLA: Hi. This is Yvette Cola, and just to add a voice, $I$ agree with both statements. I think it's a very slippery slope to go down to undo something that a prior governing body has had in place, on a going forward basis, should they choose to do something differently, I think that's a different matter.

DR. W. WILSON: This is Dr. Wilson. I'd like to concur with those sentiments as well. I think we need to stick to the process that we had, not reverse the decision based on what happened at the local level.

MR. JONES: Any further comments or questions?

DR. W. WILSON: Do we need to make a motion, chair?

MR. JONES: Right now we have motion, Ms. Simmons, to approve the -- to approve. So if you wish to send this back to the locals, your vote would be "yes." If you wish to deny that, your vote would be "no."

DR. W. WILSON: Okay.
MR. JONES: I'm sorry. Was there a question?
(No response.)

MR. JONES: There being no further comments or questions, let's vote, Ms. Simmons.

MS. SIMMONS: Don Briggs.
MR. BRIGGS: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Toups.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Cola.
MS. COLA: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moss.
MS. SIMMONS: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES: NO.
MS. SIMMONS: Ms. Malone.
MS . MALONE: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Havard.
(No response.)
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Moller.
MR. MOLLER: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Mr. Holley.
MR. HOLLEY: No.
MS. SIMMONS: And Dr. Wilson.
DR. W. WILSON: No.
MS. SIMMONS: Did not pass.

MR. JONES: Motion fails.
Next, Mr. Usie.
MR. USIE: Next we have South Alexander Development I, LLC, Application 20180355 was approved by the Board of Commerce \& Industry at the October 31st, 2018 meeting. Following which, Notice of Board Approval was sent to Livingston Parish, Livingston Parish School Board and Livingston Parish Sheriff for their consideration.

The Livingston Parish Sheriff initially denied this application with the 60-day allowed timeframe. However, Sheriff Ard has since changed his mind on the decision. The Livingston Parish Sheriff and South Alexander Development jointly request the Board to reconsider this application to be approved and subsequently resent to the local governing authority for reconsideration.

MR. JONES: Well, essentially we have the same situation as the previous one, is that correct?
(Interruption.)
MR. JONES: Mr. Usie, so essentially we have the same situation as previous; is that correct?

MR. USIE: That is correct.
I do believe maybe if the company is on Zoom or a representative is here. They had a clarification
that they spoke about regarding the special request directly to the Board, and the sheriff had given or issued some type of guideline saying he approved it and didn't want it sent back.

MR. JONES: Okay. I think we do have a representative from the company.

MR. USIE: They could clarify.
MR. JONES: Thank you.
You probably know the drill by now. Get close to the mic as you can and speak loudly and clearly so everyone on the Zoom meeting can understand you.

MR. MANGUM: Philip Mangum, Development Manager with South Alexander Development 1.

MR. JONES: Okay.
MR. MAY: We have now gotten approval from the sheriff, and so it would only be -- it's the same sheriff, so would we be sending it back to the same sheriff who had initially denied it.

MR. JONES: What was the reason for the sheriff's reverse in his position; do you know?

MR. MANGUM: I do not know. Robert Schmidt, the President of our company, is available on Zoom and could answer that question.

MR. JONES: Hello.
MR. SCHMIDT: Hello. This is Robert

Schmidt.
MR. JONES: Yes, sir, Mr. Schmidt.
MR. SMITH: The sheriff has since changed his mind after the initial 60-day period. We weren't able to fully communicate the benefits of the project. We were able to so afterward, after that 60-day period, and that is the reason for him changing his mind.

This was during the period of time where the rules were in somewhat of a transition and I think that there were questions all around and that is what led to really a shortened period to fully discuss the project.

And I would also like to point out, and Philip did so earlier, that this would not be a different taxing authority. It's the same sheriff, and originally two out of three of the taxing authorities approved. So our request in writing was to allow for the sheriff to reverse his original decision, which we feel did not -- was not given enough time originally given to the -- given the transitional period.

MR. JONES: Seems to me if we were to take this action and send it back, it would have to go back to all of the locals, not just a single local. It would have -- there would have to be reconsideration by them all.

MR. SCHMIDT: The locals haven't changed.

So based on the project that was just before this one, it is a slippery slope if we were to start giving the opportunity to different taxing authorities after an election to be able to reverse a decision over a prior group. So this is an important distinction that this is the same individual who originally denied the exemption.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments.
Any other comments from the --
MR. MOLLER: I have a question. This is Jan
Moller.
If we were to allow this, would this precedent work the other way? For example, if, you know, the Sheriff of East Baton Rouge Parish wakes up tomorrow and decides he doesn't think Exxon should have a tax exemption, would he be allowed to petition this Board and say, you know, "Hey, I've got a shortfall in my budget. I'd really like some tax money, and I've changed my mind on this thing that $I$ agreed to last year"?

Again, if we're going to go down that road, you know, we could have that conversation, but I think we would be setting a dangerous precedent.

MR. JONES: Thank you, sir.
Any other comments?

MS . BOOKER: Yes.
MR. BAGERT: This is Broderick Bagert with Together Louisiana.

The Board has considered an almost entirely parallel circumstance to this at its February 21st meeting when the police jury, I believe, in St. John the Baptist Parish submitted their letter to deny the rejection a few days after the 60-day period allotted. Now, in fact, it's not parallel because that's an even more immediate period where the same entity has just indicated their preference, but outside of the 60-day window allotted by the rules, and this Board determined that they did not have that right because rules are rules. It was affirmed assiduously "Rules are rules."

To approve this request would say rules are rules for some, but the rules for others are not rules. We think that's arbitrary and capricious and should not be approved.

MR. JONES: Thank you.
Any other comments? Any other comments?
MS. CARLSON: I'd like to make a comment.
MR. JONES: Yes, ma'am.
MS. CARLSON: Lady Carlson, 7640 LaSalle, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806.

I just want to say what's good for the
gander is good for the goose. If you made a decision and it was denied, to come back now and say "Well, I changed my mind, so we're going to grant it," does not make it -- it is capricious. And, again, what's good for the gander is good for the goose.

We have rules with the St. John. If this Board said we have rules and we can't break the rules because then we start -- we set a precedent that we don't want to set, and I think that's the same with this application. You're starting a precedent then that we can go back and say "Well, I thought about it, I changed my mind, and so $I$ want to grant it." So we would ask that you deny the request.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments, Ms. Carlson.

## Any other comments?

We have a question from a Zoom participant?
MR. LAWSER: This is Joel Lawser again.
I know that the applicant is asking for a very specific relief that is likely outside of the appeal period that was mentioned by the attorney for the Board, and, you know, once appeal periods expire, you're asking for any relief is suspect and would be -- would constitute a new agency action. And I think that secondly, and maybe most importantly, he's kind of
wanting his cake and he wants to eat it too. He wants you to allow him to submit evidence of the sheriff's approval but not put it back to the local governments for their approval because they would likely change their mind, and so that's even more pernicious use of the -- would be an even more pernicious use of your Board's authority that you had just voted on. So I think it should be denied.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comment.
Anything further? Any other comments?
MS. BOOKER: Not on Zoom.
MR. JONES: Not on Zoom.
Any other closing comments?
MR. MANGUM: The motion will be to only go back to the sheriff for approval?

MR. JONES: No. If there is a motion to approve as you've requested, it would go back to all of the local entities.

MR. MANGUM: In that case, we would like to proceed with the certainty of the two out of three approval and rescind this request.

MR. JONES: I'm not sure I understand your request.

MR. MANGUM: We'd like to just rescind our request for the additional approval of the sheriff and
proceed with the approval we have in place with the two out of three authorities.

MR. JONES: Okay. So you're asking the Board to take no action at this time?

MR. MANGUM: That's correct, yes, sir.
MR. JONES: Okay. Then we just spent that time needlessly. No problem. I'm picking at you a bit. I'm sorry.

So no further action on South Alexander Development.

All right. I believe we are at the end of the itemized agenda. Excuse me just a minute. Let me get the -- we are now down to Board elections. I'm sorry.

Ms. Bourgeois.
MS. BOURGEOIS: I believe kind of the thinking of the staff that maybe the recommendation would be to defer the Board elections since this is our first zoom, slash, in-person meeting, and maybe in August might be a better opportunity to have more Board members.

MR. JONES: Well, my concern right now is we're woefully lacking on Board membership and not fully represented, so $I$ would think it would be a better idea to defer them till the next meeting.

Okay. Great.
All right. Any other business?
(No response.)
MR. JONES: Seeing none, Mr. Pierson, in likeness.

SECRETARY PIERSON: I'll be very brief, sir, as the hours grow very late.

We did have a greater-than-ordinary burden today in that we had the April meeting that was deferred till now so we've had several month's sort of stack up.

So thank you to all of the Board members who worked overtime today and helped us get through this. Thank you to the staff. We've tried to design an environment that protects health and execute against that. We've tried to design a blend of in-person and participation by Zoom, and so all of this is a learning curve for us, but $I$ think it was very well-executed to the degree that we can do it today. So I appreciate your patience along the way where we did have a few technical difficulties.

We still find ourselves under a very significant cloud of COVID, and a lot of action is still necessary for the general population in order to resolve this crisis. Certainly we have assisted businesses across our state with a robust resource page that's up
at OpportunityLouisiana.com. It has everything up there from SBA loan programs to LED's loan program that we've stood up to help companies. And, also, soon, the Main Street Grant Program will be posted there. We've also initiated a strong effort to encourage e-commerce in our state a number of other activities.

Thank you for moving things forward today with the contracts and approvals that were necessary. We continue to work with a lot of industry across the state, and even though we are operating under an Emergency Order and other things, there's still a great deal of interest in locating in our state and we know that efforts right now are needed more than ever as we will be significantly impacted, many of our small businesses, particularly those associated with the tourism industry and the oil and gas industry, both sort of singled out as having some major impacts.

Also, I would report to you LED devotes a lot of energy against executing for the Resilient Louisiana Commission, which I cochair with Ms. Terrie Sterling, and some absolutely fantastic work is being done there with the participation in 15 task force by almost 300 people. So those reports are now being compiled, and we anticipate the release of the document in mid-July with a lot of strategies and approaches that
are going help build a more resilient Louisiana so that as the COVID crisis comes to a close, when it does, we will emerge in a stronger state and be better prepared and more resilient moving forward.

Those are my comments for today. I, again, want to be brief, but available if you have any questions.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Secretary Pierson.
And, again, thank you to all who joined in person and those of you who joined by Zoom. Better living through technology is going to become our mantra.

If there is no other business, I would recognize a motion to adjourn.

MR. MOSS: So moved.
MR. JONES: Motion. I'm going to declare -well let's -- everyone say "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. JONES: We'll see $y^{\prime}$ all in August. Thank you much.
(Meeting concludes at 2:09 p.m.)
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