

MEETING MINUTES- THE BOARD OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY
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Stephen Pugh
Darrel J. Saizan, Jr.
Daniel J. Schexnaydre, Jr.
Bobby Williams, Jr.
Dr. Woodrow Wilson, Jr.
Steve Windham
Erin Wesley for Governor Edwards
Staff members present:
Tam Bourgeois
Kristen Cheng
Frank Favaloro
Brenda Guess
Richard House
Becky Lambert
Mandi Mitchell
Joyce Metoyer
Melissa Sorrell
Hud Usie
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MR. WINDHAM:
Morning, everyone. I'd like to welcome to you to the Board of Commerce \& Industry June 27th meeting. I'll call that meeting to order at 9:37.

Melissa, please call the roll.
MS . SORRELL:
Erin Wesley for Governor Edwards.
MS. WESLEY:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Richard Hartley for Lieutenant
Nungesser.
MR. HARTLEY:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Representative Abramson.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Millie Atkins.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Mayor Brasseaux.
MAYOR BRASSEAUX:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:

Representative Pugh for Representative Carmody.

MR. PUGH:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Yvette Cola.
MS . COLA:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Major Coleman.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Ricky Fabra.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Manny Fajardo.
MR. FAJARDO:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Jerry Jones.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Heather Malone.
MS . MALONE :
Here.

MS . SORRELL:
Senator Martiny.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Robby Miller.
MR. MILLER :
Here.
MS . SORRELI:
Jan Moller.
MR. MOLLER:
Here.
MS . SORRELI:
Senator Chabert for Senator Morrell.
MR. CHABERT:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON:
Present.
MS SORRELL:
Scott Richard.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Darrel Saizan.
(No response.)

MS . SORREL:
Danny Schexnaydre.
MR. SCHEXNAYDRE :
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Ronnie Slone.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
Bobby Williams.
MR. WILLIAMS:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Steve Windham.
MR. WINDHAM:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Dr. Wilson.
DR. WILSON:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
We have a quorum.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Melissa.
I hope everyone's had a chance to review the minutes.

Is there a motion?
Made by Ms. Heather; seconded by
Mr. Fajardo.
Any discussions? Any changes?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
Mr. Favaloro.
MR. FAVALORO:
Yes. I have three new Quality Jobs applications: 20160358, NVI, LLC in Terrebonne; 20150910, Shintech Louisiana, LLC in Iberville; and 20160910, Targa Resources, LLC in Calcasieu.

That concludes the new applications.
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any comments from the public concerning these applications?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:

Questions or comments from the Board? (No response.)

MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve?
Made by Dr. Wilson; seconded by
Mr. Williams.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.") MR. WINDHAM:

All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. FAVALORO:
I have three Quality Jobs renewal
applications: 20130036, Benteler Steel/Tubing
Manufacturing Corp. in Caddo; 20121287, Procter \& Gamble Manufacturing in Rapides; 20121255, SE Tylos Louisiana, LLC in Iberville.

That concludes the renewals.
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any comments from the public concerning the renewals for the Quality Jobs Program?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:

Questions or comments from the Board members?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion to approve?
Made by Dr. Wilson; seconded by
Mr. Fajardo.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.") MR. WINDHAM:

All opposed with "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. FAVALORO:
I have two requests for change in
location only: 20140273, Pod Pack International, LTD from 11800 Industriplex Boulevard to 17100 Manchac Park Lane, Suite B, Baton Rouge, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20161571 Waitr, Inc. from 537 Cajundome Boulevard to 1100 Bertrand Drive, Lafayette in Lafayette Parish.

And I have one request for change in ownership: 20140273 Pod Pack International, LTD to Pod Pack International, LLC in East Baton Rouge Parish.

That concludes the specials.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Favaloro.
Any comments from the public concerning the special considerations for change of location or change in ownership?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion is made by Mayor Brasseaux;
seconded by Representative Pugh.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. FAVALORO:
That concludes Quality Jobs.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, sir.
Ms. Lambert, Restoration Tax Abatement Program.

MS . LAMBERT :
Good morning. I have eight new
applications. First one is 20160032, 1068, LLC in
Orleans; 20170077, Bayou Acquisitions, LLC in Orleans; 20161897, Copech Properties, LLC in Ouachita; 20161643, Copech Properties LLC in Ouachita; 20151383, GPD 839 Jackson Avenue, LLC in Orleans; 20170384, CR Apartments, LLC in East Baton Rouge; 201705, Fern Hills Investments, LLC, St. Tammany; and 20160881, Rampart and Clio, LLC in Orleans.

This concludes the total new
applications for a $\$ 9,020,453$ investment.
MR. WINDHAM:
I assume all of the resolutions are in place as they should be?

MS . LAMBERT :
All resolutions are on record and all applications have met all rules of compliance.

MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any comments from the public concerning the Restoration Tax Abatement Program applications?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Questions or comments from the Board?
$\square$ (No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve?
Made by Dr. Wilson; seconded by
Mr. Moller.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MS . LAMBERT :
We have four renewal applications for
Restoration Tax Abatement: 20101113, 139 Huey P. Long Avenue, LLC; 20103714 Huey P. Long Avenue, LLC, both of these are in Jefferson; 20100872, 2622-2632 Metairie Lawn, LLC in Jefferson; and 20090816, 139 Huey P. Long Avenue, LLC in Jefferson.

That concludes the renewal applications.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Lambert.
Are there any comments from the public concerning the renewals for the Restoration Tax Abatement Program?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
I'll entertain a motion.
Mr. Schexnaydre; seconded by
Mr. Williams.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.")

MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MS . LAMBERT :
Mr. Windham, I would like to add one thing on the renewal applications, that these also go through local governing authority review and the resolution approval before they come back, so they are re-reviewed and approved locally.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you.
Next we have Ms. Metoyer for the Enterprise Zone Program.

MS . METOYER :
I have 18 new applications: 20150892,
Baton Rouge Hotel Manager, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish: 20151002, Baton Rouge Jet Center, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20160635, JNR Hospitality, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20141203, Laitram Machine Shop, LLC, Jefferson Parish; 20150056, Opulence Krishan Hospitality, LLC, Calcasieu Parish; 20141021, Pecan Haven Adolescent Addiction Center, LLC, Ouachita Parish; 20131376, Red Stick Armature Works, Inc., West Feliciana; 20141293, Riverside Hospital, LLC, Rapides Parish; 20161395, Southern Designs \& Gifts, LLC, Concordia Parish; 20160725, Turner Industrial Maintenance, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20160724, Turner Industries Group, LLC, West Baton Rouge Parish; 20160723, Turner Industries Group, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish; 20160721, Turner Industries Group, LLC, Calcasieu Parish; 20160720, Turner Industries Group, LLC, West Baton Rouge Parish; 20160028, Walmart Stores East, LP, Tangipahoa Parish; 20161769, Walmart Stores East, LP Distribution Center, St. Landry Parish; 20161838 Westport Linen Services, LLC, Acadian Parish; and 20161835, Westport Linen Services, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Metoyer.

Can you tell us a little bit more about the Turner Industries because there's a lot of zeros on there?

MS . METOYER :
These are just jobs. There's no building. It's just for new jobs.

MR. WINDHAM:
Okay. All right. Are there any
comments or questions from the public?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Questions or comments from the Board?
Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER:
Yes, sir. Looking at the jobs, the new, permanent jobs and salaries, some pretty incredible annual salaries.

MS. METOYER:
You mean for Turner salaries?
MR. MILLER:
Because I can't do math very well. I'm using the one with the 10s. Turner, 1.5-million with 10 jobs, if I'm not mistaken, that's $\$ 150,000$ average. Then there's 15-million at 100 jobs, that's \$150,000 average. So it's 220 jobs, all of them 150 ground. I'm
thrilled if that's what it is. I think that's incredible.

MS . METOYER:
I can check them for you.
MR. MILLER :
Then you go up to Baton Rouge Hotel Manager 777,000, eight jobs. The next one, eight jobs at 1.9-million, five -- all of these are 100-plus-thousand, $\$ 200,000$ annual jobs. Is that accurate?

MS . METOYER:
This is what's on their application, yes, sir.

MR. MILIER :
Okay. That's potential?
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative from Turner with us today?

Please come forward and identify yourself, sir.

MR. WEGE :
Good morning. My name is Robert Wege. I'm with Summit Credits. We represent Turner Industries, and we help assist them in filing these applications.

So the information that's provided on the applications is an estimate the jobs numbers. We have an idea of the number of jobs that are going to be created and the amount of annual salaries that are going to be created with those jobs, but it's an estimate at this point. Until we get to the end of the year payroll data and go through that information, we cannot provide an accurate number, if you will.

MR. CHABERT :
So when you say that, I mean, are you like in a variance of plus or minus four or five, I mean, or are you just throwing darts at a wall? You can't come up here and just say we're guessing.

MR. WEGE :
Okay. So what we do is we put together an estimate based on what we think the company will do.

MR. CHABERT :
Previous year-to-date data? I mean, how do you come up with the estimate?

MR. WEGE :
It's fluctuates, to be honest with you. With these applications, what we do is we put the minimum because we were certain the company will meet the minimum.

MR. CHABERT :

Okay. There's a floor, you just don't know the ceiling?

MR. WEGE :
Correct.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Chabert.
And also to point out, just to make sure everyone is still aware, if they don't create the jobs, there are no benefits.

MR. WEGE :
Correct.
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Secretary Pierson.
SECRETARY PIERSON:
It's possible that they're domiciled in Louisiana but may be deployed overseas in for away locations; is that correct?

MR. WEGE :
So there's a number of different things that could make an employee ineligible, and living out of state is one of them. If they don't hit the average hours required, there's another. There's several different things that can make an employee ineligible.

SECRETARY PIERSON:
Well, I get that, but they're domiciled
in Louisiana, but they may have an assignment to go to the Middle East and work there for six weeks, and that may be attributing to the higher-than-normal salaries because of the conditions in which they have to deploy. I'm not asking the question --

MR. WEGE :
No, sir. In that case, that employee would not be eligible for the incentive because it's based on their hours and wages earned in Louisiana. So if they're working out of state or out of the country, they would not be an eligible employee. Does that answer your question?

## SECRETARY PIERSON:

Yeah. We're still trying to get to why the average is so high.

MR. MILLER:
Mr. Secretary, it's not just Turner. Turner is the easier one to do because the math's there. If you just go down to the very bottom, the total 18 Enterprise Zone applications, it's 499 jobs, \$89,187,000 worth of payroll. That's an average of $\$ 178,732$ a year. That's phenomenal. I think, if that's the truth, then $I$ think we're going to the top of a bunch of those lists that we're at the bottom of right now. It just doesn't seem accurate. And it's not just Turner. It seems
everybody's got an estimate. That's just the way -- I don't know.

MR. WINDHAM:
It seems to be inflated.
Ms. Metoyer, are these 10-year -- is
this for 10 years or for five?
MS. METOYER:
No. All Enterprise contracts are for five years only.

MR. WINDHAM:
No. I'm asking the accumulation of salaries, that summation at that, is that over a five-year period or --

MS . METOYER :
Five. That's a five-year.
MR. MILLER:
That's a five-year number. Okay. So now the average is $\$ 35,000$. Okay. Thank you very much.

MS . METOYER:
In any of these applications or contracts, there's no benefit until the ECRs are actually processed and we see the true numbers. No benefits are given out.

MR. MILLER:
I'm not questioning that at all. I
believe that we do -- that the program is monitored properly. I think y'all are doing a great job with it. It's just, you know, whenever you see these numbers -MS . METOYER :

Right.
MR. MILLER:
It makes much more sense now that you've said it's a five-year --

MS . METOYER :
That's a five-year. It is.
MR. MILLER:
That makes much more sense.
MR. WINDHAM:
Any other questions or comments from any of the Board members?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM :
All right. Thank you, sir.
Is there a motion to approve the
Enterprise Zone?
Made by the Mayor; seconded by Dr. Wilson.

All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:

All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
Please proceed.
MS . METOYER:
I have eight contract terminations:
20140994, Shiv Shakti Lodging, LLC, Calcasieu Parish, existing contract period, May 1, 2015 through April 30, 2020. The requested term date is $12 / 13 / 2017$. The program requirements have been met and no additional jobs are anticipated.

20150175, Southern Hospitality Group, LLC, Rapides Parish. The existing contract period is May 1, 2015 through April 30, 2020. The requested term date is April 30 of 2018. The program requirements have been met and no additional jobs are anticipated.

The next one is 20140969, OS Restaurant Services, LLC, Jefferson Parish. The existing contract period is June 10, 2014 to June 9 of 2019. The requested term date is $12 / 31$ of 2016 . The program requirements have been met and no additional jobs are anticipated.

20130119, GSTVBR, LLC, East Baton Rouge Parish. January 1 of 2014 to $12 / 31$ of 2018 is the
existing contract period. The requested term date is June 30 of 2016. The program requirements have been met. No additional jobs are anticipated.

20130114, SWVP New Orleans, LLC, Orleans Parish. The existing contract period is October 1 of 2014 to September 30th of 2019. The requested term date is May 1 of 2017. The program requirements have been met. No additional jabs are anticipated.

20121119, Providence Classical Academy, Incorporated, Bossier Parish. The existing contract period is September 26th of 2012 through September 25th of 2017. The requested term date is March 25th of 2015. No additional jobs are anticipated and the program requirements have been met.

20130050, Monsanto Company, St. Charles
Parish. January 16 of 2013 through January 15 of 2018. The requested term date is July 15 of 2015. The program requirements have been met. No additional jobs are anticipated.

The final termination is 20140830, Crest Operations, LLC, Rapides Parish. The existing contract period is April 22nd of 2014 to April 21st of 2019. The requested term date is December 31, 2016. The program requirements have been met. No additional jobs are anticipated.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Ms. Metoyer.
Are there any comments from the public concerning the contract termination requests regarding the Enterprise Zone Program?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Questions or comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve?
Made by Representative Pugh; seconded by Ms. Erin Wesley.

All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MS . METOYER :
I have one contract cancelation. It's 20150273, Parc Lafayette, LLC in Lafayette Parish. The program requirements were not met by the company and the contract needs to be canceled.

MR. WINDHAM:
Did the company send anyone to discuss this or they've accepted the reality?

MS . METOYER :
At the last Board meeting, the lady that made the request, this is that company.

MR. WINDHAM:
Okay. All right.
MS . METOYER :
She didn't meet it within the 24 -month period.

MR. WINDHAM:
Got you.
MS . METOYER:
Yes.
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any comments from the public concerning this contract cancelation?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Questions or comments from the Board
members?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion is made by Mayor Brasseaux;

```
seconded by Mr. Moller.
```

All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.") MR. WINDHAM:

All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
Thank you, Ms. Metoyer.
All right. Mr. Hud Usie for the Industrial Tax Exemption Program assisted by Ms. Kristin Cheng, or accompanied by.

MR. USIE:
We have 20 new pre-EO applications. We do have one correction: 20152022, Marathon Petroleum Company, LP should read Garyville Refining Logistics, LLC.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Please proceed.
MR. USIE:
20141325A, Almatis Burnside, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20141325B, Almatis Burnside, LLC, Ascension Parish; 20161534, Cabot Corporation, St. Mary Parish; 20130076A, Conrad Shipyard, LLC, St. Mary Parish; 20151426A, Denka Performance Elastomer, LLC, St.

John the Baptist Parish; 20150973A, Diversified Foods \& Seasonings, LLC, St. Tammany Parish; 20161536,

Diversified Foods \& Seasonings, LLC in St. Tammany Parish; 20150280B, Eagle US 2, LLC, Calcasieu Parish; 20151466, Flopam, Inc., Iberville Parish; 20141329A, G.E.O. Heat Exchangers, LLC, Iberville Parish; 20161511A, Gulf Crafts, LLC, St. Mary Parish; 20120977B, Haynes International, Inc., Bienville Parish; 20150227, Marathon Petroleum Company, LP, St. John that Baptist Parish; 20152022 Garyville Refining Logistics, LLC, St. John the Baptist Parish; 20151161, MGB, LLC, St. Landry Parish; 20161175A, Newpark Drilling Fluids, LLC, Lafourche Parish; 20141259, NuStar Logistics, LP, St. James Parish; 20140795A, Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC, Iberville Parish; 20151126A, UTLX Manufacturing, LLC, Rapides Parish; 20151279, Westlake Vinyls Company, LP, Ascension Parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Usie.
Are there any comments from the public concerning any of these applications for the Industrial Tax Exemption Program that were submitted prior to the Governor's Executive Order?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:

Any questions or comments from the
Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve these?
Made by Ms. Erin -- well, Ms. Wesley;
seconded by Mr. Moller.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next we have five new post-EO
applications: 20170164, Cortec, LLC, West Baton Rouge Parish; 20170362, Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Washington Parish; 20161827, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, dba Shell Oil Products US, St. James Parish; 20161827A, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, dba Shell Oil Products US, St. James Parish; 20170088, Foster Poultry Farms, Union Parish. MR. WINDHAM:

Thank you, Mr. Usie.
And I know the Board members received in
their packages all of the required documentation, the CEA, the resolutions, and everything is good to go on that front.

Are there any comments from the public concerning any of these applications?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any questions from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion?
Motion made by Mr. Hartley to approve; seconded by Mr. Miller.

All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.") MR. WINDHAM:

All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next we have one timely renewal:
20130577, International Paper Company, Lafayette Parish.
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any comments from the public
concerning a timely renewal for the Industrial Tax Exemption Program?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Very good job, whoever is out there representing them or is doing the paperwork for them.

Any questions or comments from the public -- I mean, from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM :
Motion is made by Dr. Wilson to approve the renewal timely filed; seconded by Mr. Fajardo.

All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next we have eight late renewals, four of which requested to be deferred: Cornerstone Chemical Company, Contracts 20130474, 20130475, 20130476, 20130477, again, Cornerstone Chemical Company, and they're all in Jefferson Parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
Is there any objection to the deferral
for consideration of these four?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to defer?
Made by Dr. Wilson; seconded by Senator
Martiny.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.")

MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM :
Motions carries. Those are deferred.
Please proceed.
MR. USIE:
20120244, Bercen, Inc., a division of Cranston Printworks Company, Livingston Parish. Contract expiration date December 31st, 2016. Late renewal request date April 30 of 2018; 20130293, Bercen, Inc., a division of Cranston Printworks Company, Livingston Parish. Initial contract expiration, December 31st, 2017. Renewal request date April 30th, 3018 .

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Hold there.
All right. Is there a representative from Bercen, Inc., a division of Cranston Printworks Company with us?

Please come forward, identify yourself and explain the tardiness of filing for the renewal.

MR. MORGAN :
My name is Craig Morgan. I'm the manager of manufacturing for the Bercen division of Cranston Printworks Company in Livingston Parish.

We don't really have an excuse. Our girl from accounting normally handles these functions, and they're out of Cranston, Rhode Island. There's been some personnel changes and they somehow missed it. We brought it to their attention, and they've assured us that it's been rectified and it won't happen in the future.

MR. WINDHAM:
That's a good thing.
There are two of them. One of them is two -- one of them, in light of what we've done in the past, has been 20 percent reduction for each year late or portion thereof. There is one that is one-plus year late, and there is one that is one late, so $I$ would
entertain a motion, $I$ guess separately, to reduce the two-year one by 40 percent.

Is there a motion?
Made by Ms. Cola; seconded by
Mr. Williams.
All in favor -- any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
For the second one that was less than $a$ year late, it's a 20 percent reduction, and I'd entertain that motion.

Made by Dr. Wilson; seconded by Ms. Cola.

Any questions or comments from the

```
public?
```

(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
From the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.)
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
Thank you, Craig.
MR. MORGAN :
Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Please proceed.
MR. USIE:
Next we have 20120690, WestRock, CP,
LLC, Jackson Parish. Initial contract expiration December 31st, 2016. Renewal requested March 28th, 2018; 20120691, WestRock CP, LLC, Jackson Parish. Contract expiration December 31st, 2016. Renewal requested March 28th, 2018.


Well, it was oversight on our company. Back in 2016, we were late filing the PCR and the AFC. We got acknowledgement back from LED in December of '17, and in that acknowledgement, it said that our renewal date was 2016. At that time, our corporate tax group looked and we did not have that renewal, so we submitted it in March of this year.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. I don't know if you've been involved in this or watched it.

MR. PYLES:
I have.
MR. WINDHAM:
The precedent that has been set with
this Board has been to reduce them by 20 percent for each year or portion thereof.

So is there any questions comments from the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to that effect?
Made by Dr. Wilson; seconded by Ms.
Malone.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.")

MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
Thank you, sir.
MR. USIE:
Next we have seven change in location only: Phillips 66 Company, Contracts 20130488, 20130489, 20130490, 20130491 and 20130492, previous location 15551 South Highway 23, Belle Chasse, Louisiana 70037 in Calcasieu Parish, new location 15551 South Highway 23, Belle Chasse, Louisiana 70037 in Plaquemines Parish. It was the parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
Explain that.
MR. USIE:
The initial application, they selected the wrong parish, and they're getting it corrected. So the actual address is the same. It's just the parish. MR. WINDHAM:

Okay. Please proceed.
MR. USIE:
RBS Asset Finance, Inc., dba Dolet Hills Lignite Company, 20130160, previous location 377 Highway 522, Mansfield, Louisiana 70052 in DeSoto Parish, new location 2002 Crow Lane, Pelican, Louisiana 71063, DeSoto Parish.

Next is Regions Commercial Equipment Finance, LLC, dba Dolet Hills Lignite Company, 20130162, previous location 377 Highway 522, Mansfield, Louisiana 71052, DeSoto Parish, new location 2002 Crow Lane, Pelican, Louisiana 71063, DeSoto Parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any comments from the public concerning change in locations?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Question or comments additionally from
the Board?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve the change
in locations?
Made by Mr. Hartley; seconded by Mr.
Schexnaydre.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.)

MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next we have 13 transfers: Bank of
America Leasing Lessor to Graphic Packaging
International, Inc., Contract Number 20110855,
transferring to Graphic Packaging International, Inc. in Ouachita Parish.

Marathon Petroleum Company, Contracts 20080069, 200800776, 20101221, 20121330, 20121345, 20141607, 051357, 062527 and 062528, transferring to Garyville Refining Logistics, LLC in St. John the Baptist Parish.

Norris Industries, LLC, Contracts 20141535, 20140848 and 20140849, transferring to Norris Holdings, LLC in Iberia Parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any comments from the public concerning the transfer of these tax exemptions under the Industrial Tax Exemption Program?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Questions or comments from the Board concerning the transfers?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve the

```
transfers?
```

Made by Ms. Cola; seconded by Ms.
Malone.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye." (Several members respond "aye.")

MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. USIE:

Next we have 34 partial transfers: JMS Food Service, LLC, 201408886 is retaining 981, 621 in assets and Community Coffee Company, LLC is receiving 184,541 in assets, and this is in Jefferson Parish; Marathon Petroleum Company, LP, 20152027 is retaining 25, 893, 788, Garyville Refining Logistics, LLC is receiving $32,744,284$, and it's in St. John the Baptist Parish.

MR. WINDHAM :
Excuse me. Let's stop you for a second. Are all of the remaining ones for Marathon?

MR. USIE:
All of the remaining ones are from
Marathon.
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there anyone who would like to hear
the details of all of these?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a motion to approve them in globo?

Made by Senator Chabert; seconded by Dr. Wilson.

Are there any comments from the public or would the public like to hear the list?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
All right. All in favor of approving these in globo with the noted amounts, assuming there aren't any typos or corrections, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
MR. USIE:
Next are the appeals: Calumet
Lubricants Company, LP, Application 20170189 in Bossier Parish; Calumet Shreveport Lubricants \& Waxes, LLC, Applications 20170190, 20170191 and 20170192 in Caddo Parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
Is there a representative from Calumet with us today?

Please step forward, identify yourself and then give us an update of where we are.

MS . SADLER:
Jennifer Sadler, Tax Manager, Calumet. MR. MURPHY:

1

Philip Murphy, Vice President Tax for
Calumet.
MS . SADLER:
We are going to withdraw the Calumet Lubricants one. We did not receive local parish support.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Thank you.
MS . SADLER:
And we did receive support from Caddo Parish. We have a resolution for the three contracts. MR. WINDHAM:

From just the parish, from the board -from the school board, from the Sheriff?

MS . SADLER:
From Caddo. Not from the sheriff or the local school, but from the Caddo Parish.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right.
Tam, can you answer a question or two related to this in case if there are any? I know I have one.

Please identify yourself.
MS . BOURGEOIS :
Tam Bourgeois, Executive Counsel for

LED.
I would just like to point out that the motion that the Board passed back at the December -MR. WINDHAM:

Can you get a little bit closer to the mic?

MS . BOURGEOIS :
Sure.
The motion that the Board passed back at the December meeting required approval from all of the local governing entities, which would include the sheriff, the parish council, the school board and the municipality, if applicable, and we do have a letter from the sheriff's office indicating his disproval of the approval of this application.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Are there any questions from the Board members concerning the motion that was made, which was that all three be obtained, and now we have before us the fact that all three were not obtained and there was specific opposition? Any questions?

Sir?
MR. MURPHY:
So I was at that Board meeting, and the way I understand the wording was that we just had to
have local support, which is consistent with, you know, Title 13, Chapter 5, Section 501, wherein it says that local support just needs to have input on the applications.

So we received, you know, as a resolution, approval from Caddo Parish, and it doesn't have to be a full exempt in order to get the exemption. It can be a partial exemption. So I guess it's odd that it would be more stringent for the pre-Executive Order applications than it would be for post-Executive Order applications.

## MR. WINDHAM:

I would have to go back and look at the specific wording from the minutes, but, Tam, if you have that available.

MS . BOURGEOIS:
What I have is the letter of correspondence that LED sent out after the Board meeting informing all of the companies what they needed to provide to the Board, and Item Number 4 specifically says that they need to provide -- that "The project subject to the IT application must have created jobs and have secured the approval of local government officials," open paren, "parish council, school board and sheriff," closed paren, open paren, "and
municipality if applicable."
MR. WINDHAM:
So that means to me the "and" is all-inclusive.

MS . BOURGEOIS:
Right.
MR. WINDHAM:
It's not an "or."
MS . BOURGEOIS:
Right.
MR. WINDHAM:
So there's no wiggle room in that.
MS . BOURGEOIS:
That is not how we would interpret the motion that Mr. Miller made back in December.

SECRETARY PIERSON:
If I'm not mistaken, we began this process maybe in February and provided an extension period in April, and then Dr. Wilson, again, extended the opportunity by deferral to this date. So I think that also -- the date of the letter that was sent, Ms. Bourgeois?

MS . BOURGEOIS:
Yes. It was sent on December 13th. The initial deadline was January $29 t h$,

| $\square$ | Torres Reporting \& Associates, inc. | Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 <br> 225.752.7308 FAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SERVICES <br> www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free | New Orleans, LA <br> 504.392.4791 <br> 504.392.4852 FAX |

and then they received an extension till February 15th. They requested additional extensions at both the February and the April meeting, with which the Board graciously provided.

SECRETARY PIERSON:
I have no further questions.
MR. WINDHAM:
Senator Chabert.
MR. CHABERT :
So just to be clear, we mandated that they get local approval in two separate parishes for two separate projects?

MS . BOURGEOIS:
Correct.
MR. CHABERT :
Correct?
MS . BOURGEOIS:
Two applications.
MR. CHABERT :
And had they not received the two separate approvals from two separate parishes, then we would not give them the benefit?

MR. WINDHAM:
No. I think we're a little confused. It's two projects. One is Calumet Lubricant, the other
is Calumet Shreveport. So they've withdrawn Calumet Lubricants.

MR. CHABERT :
So we're not even discussing Bossier?
MR. WINDHAM:
So we're not even taking about Bossier.
It's only Caddo.
MR. CHABERT:
Because I was about to say, if you're trying to get Caddo and Bossier to agree on what color the sky is, we've got a problem.

MR. WINDHAM:
This is strictly Caddo Parish
activities, so...
Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER:
I just want to make sure $I$ have this right because I believe this has to do with -- I was arguing with a small project, not a miscellaneous capital addition that got caught in that gap of the Executive Order, and this is one of those 16 or 17 that we reviewed.

MS . BOURGEOIS:
Yes.
MR. MILLER:

So we believe this is a good project, but we required them to get the local support, basically meet the new rule, we gave them kind of a hybrid -MS . BOURGEOIS :

Correct.
MR. MILLER:
-- to give them an opportunity. Instead of just being kicked out completely, we gave them an opportunity to come back, and we don't have all bodies of the local government supporting this.

MS . BOURGEOIS:
Right. It sounds like we only have one. MR. MURPHY:

Yes, but -- sorry -- under the new rules, all bodies are not required to obtain -- not required to obtain approval from all bodies in the parish. So if the parish, if Caddo Parish approves the exemption, then that portion will be subject to tax and Caddo Parish will be exempt, and if the school board doesn't support it, then that portion will not be -MR. MILLER:

I don't disagree with you, and, quite frankly, my argument, I never dreamed that when we sent this back, got these small projects to go back to the locals, that the locals would ever turn it down,
especially when you create, if I'm not mistaken, 89 jobs.

## MS . SADLER:

Eighty-three.
MR. MURPHY:
Eighty-three.
MR. MILLER:
Eighty-three jobs, that that would be turned down. I'm sorry I wasn't clear enough to make it happen. I thought just getting it rerun would make it -- would give you what you deserved. And creating new jobs, creating investment, I believe that's what this Board is supposed to do. That's what our parish government is supposed to do is help create jobs in our communities. I'm sorry that your local officials don't see it that way.

MR. WINDHAM:
And I guess, just in response to the comment that you made, we're not trying to apply any new rules to this application. So we've kind of drawn lines in the sand saying the old program was the old program. This one was in between. And as you were saying, you know, if it were this, but it's not. The motion was what the motion was.

Are there any other questions?

Yes, Senator Martiny.
SENATOR MARTINY:
I'm just curious, we know that the sheriff's office objected and the parish approved it. Did you attempt to contact with the other entities to see whether or not they had a position on it and they turned you down or they put no position?

MR. MURPHY:
I flew down for the school board meeting, and it was, I guess, tabled or the meeting was postponed, so we weren't --

SENATOR MARTINY:
So they took no action?
MR. MURPHY:
Yeah, no action taken on that.
SENATOR MARTINY:
Either good or bad?
MR. MURPHY:
Right.
MR. WINDHAM:
Are there any other any other questions or comments?

Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER:
So our only action is, according --
because they don't have all of the 100 percent local support, we can't grant it?

MS . BOURGEOIS :
As the -- I would say, as the current motions stands.

MR. MILLER:
If we do, if we were to say yes --
MS . BOURGEOIS:
Well, then I would think you would want to --

MR. WINDHAM:
Mr. House, please identify yourself.
MR. HOUSE :
Richard House. I'm the lawyer for Economic Development.

Mr. Miller, this is the motion that was put together in December, and it had certain qualifications to it, among them being that you had to accomplish all of this by February. And it did include the approvals and everything else that all of the other people that fell into that unit were eligible, and I think we ended up approving five of them.

Is that correct?
MR. WINDHAM:
I think so.

MS . BOURGEOIS :
Four.
MR. HOUSE :
Four. We approved four. This was the fifth one, and the other four were taken care of long ago .

I don't believe, with all due respect, and I appreciate these people being here and appreciate what you have to say, but $I$ don't think we're being unfair here. And I think the motion was clearly stated so as to accomplish an exception that the Board ultimately determined it wanted to have in order to try and be fair to a group of 17 people that sort of fell in between. Four took advantage of it, and they took advantage of it within all of the parameters of your motion.

So, again, sometimes we have unfortunate results after trying hard not to get them, but at the end of the day, everybody was given a fair shake on this one. More than a fair shake.

MR. WINDHAM:
Any other comments or questions?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Anything in closing, sir?

MR. MURPHY:
I guess I would just ask maybe two questions. I guess is that a summary of an accurate depiction of the minutes or of the, $I$ guess, resolution that was made? That's a question for Mr. Miller, I guess.

When I was there, I asked if a resolution was required, and they said no, just a show of support, and we definitely received the show of support by obtaining a resolution from Caddo Parish.

And I guess I would also maybe ask if that's the intention to override the wishes of Caddo Parish to fully tax that project when the parish approved an exemption of the project?

From the way I read the spirit of the law, it says prior rules are supposed to be treated in fairness of the current rules and applicability of the project at the time it was started. So $I$ just want to just request either a partial abatement or a full abatement of those three that were not (inaudible).

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. What's the pleasure of the Board?

MR. CHABERT :
Your name again?

MR. MURPHY:
I'm sorry. Phil Murphy.
MR. CHABERT :
When you come and give the recollection of -- you attended the meeting where the motion was made, were you able to go back and refer to the minutes verbatim on the motion made by Mr. Miller?

MR. MURPHY:
No, sir. That was my request to see if that's available.

MR. CHABERT :
And staff had to go by what they interpreted the motion by Mr. Miller was based on that, but that was the correct --

MR. WINDHAM:
If $y^{\prime}$ all want to delay this for $a$ moment, $I$ think $I$ have the minutes with me. I can pull the minutes.

MS . BOURGEOIS :
My recollection is that we pulled the language for this letter directly from the motion that Mr. Miller had that day.

MR. CHABERT :
It seems like this is a real clearcut unfortunate circumstance, but if we are going to honor
what the reforms of this Board have intended, this would be one of those unfortunate circumstances that come up.

MR. WINDHAM:
All right. Is that a motion?
MR. CHABERT :
Yes.
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion has been made by Senator Chabert
to deny the appeals.
Is there a second?
Seconded by Senator Martiny.
Any further discussion?
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
Thank you.
MR. USIE:
That concludes the ITEP portion of the agenda.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Usie.
Great job, Kristin.
All right. Now, next we have
consideration of Industrial Tax Exemption Program rules that have been published, and I'm going to hand the table over to Secretary Pierson for discussion and presentation.

SECRETARY PIERSON:
So at this time, we'll consider ITEP
rule changes. Hope you're familiar with it. I want to thank the many organizations and individuals that worked very diligently to provide input to the Board, both at our public hearing, which was held on May 22nd, and at our more recent public hearing that was held on June 25th. Also those that responded with written comments for the period that ended June 22 nd, those being more than 200 received, which were overwhelmingly, the majority of those comments that were provided to LED, urge this Board of Commerce \& Industry to approve the proposed rules without amendment at this time.

I would say to you that this does not close the door on program improvements in the future. We think that process review is important in industry business and in state government as well, and so we will
intend to continue to review this program and bring back the Board improvements when we see that those are merit and warranted.

So at this time, $I$ would now make a motion that the Board of Commerce \& Industry approve the proposed ITEP changes as published in the Louisiana Register on May 20, 2018.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Secretary Pierson. Is there a second?

Seconded by Ms. Heather Malone.
Are there any comments from the public concerning the rules that were published that have not already been made?

And we do really appreciate all of the comments that came in. And I know the Board members received a number of letters, contacts, e-mails for those, and I believe the majority of them, you know, they saw what we're doing is a good thing.

Mr. Bagert, please step forward and identify yourself.

MR. BAGERT :
Morning. I'm Broderick Bagert with Together Louisiana.

And I know you've had two hearings on
this and I don't want to duplicate anything that we've submitted in writing or testified at those hearings. I did want to say that, as there often is, there can be a tension between the time horizon and getting everything right, and that's a tension that we're dealing with.

It's our interpretation that there are some ambiguities and some areas where things are not clear enough in terms of definitions, what constitutes a job, what shall be counted as a job. If you have somebody who's employed for one month at 31 hours for an indefinite period of time, that, according to these rules, could be considered the same as somebody who's employed for 10 years.

That's our interpretation of it, and hopefully those are things that won't turn out to be the case and things that can be fixed later on. And there are others that $I$ won't get into details around.

What we would ask is, if applications come through and show evidence of these ambiguities being -- "exploit" is the wrong word; right, because if there is lack of clarity in the rules, it's not necessarily on the applicant companies to go over and above those. But if there are effects and terms of what's being counted as a job, as job creation, about what's being counted as eligible for an exemption and
others that look like they reflect problems and lack of clarity in these rules, we would ask that there be sort of special scrutiny of those to understand exactly what's a new job, exactly what's a retained job, what is a contract job, what is a full-time job, many things that can be handled administratively throughout an application process, even before you do a rule change, so that we don't get in a situation with the public or local entities or anybody is looking at exemptions that are claiming to create jobs according to these rules, but there are no new jobs and no new people employed in those jobs.

So that's just something that we would ask as sort of a consideration going forward is that there be an equal line as to whether or not some of the vagueness of this gets reflected in applications that may come before you.

Thank you.
MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Bagert.
I can almost guarantee you there will be an eagle eye, if not from your organization, also from the local economic developers, the companies, the Governor's office. All of these Board members up here are very interested and concerned about how these rules are implemented.

If we needed to make changes, I am sure, and I've heard it before, there may be a 3.0 down the road version for the Industrial Tax Exemption. We do have an end goal in this whole process. We want capital investment in Louisiana; we want jobs created. That is not to put up hurdles for companies to overcome. It's to provide some calculatable certainty for companies so that they can make decisions hopefully in Louisiana's favor, but one way or another, if it's an unknown, it's hard to put anything in the box other than a zero.

So with that motion made --
MS. WESLEY:
I'd like to make a comment on behalf of the Governor's office, and I'm thankful to be on the panel today. So I just want to read a brief statement.

Governor Edwards made a commitment to reform the Industrial Tax Exemption Program in 2016, and we've fulfilled that commitment. The Governor made improvements to that program and provided for a local voice and accountability, and certainly we truly believe that today we're considering further improvements to the program, which will, one, streamline the approval process, secondly, provide for the predictability that this industry needs, and, thirdly, provide revenues for
local governments from day one. And so we certainly believe that this represents a win for all stakeholders involved.

To the point that Mr. Bagert made, we certainly believe this is a work in progress, and I know the Secretary and the Chair have spoken to that. So as we need to further improve the program, we take to heart your comment, certainly the written comments, I believe over 200 comments, provided to LED and their team. But, again, this is a work in progress to improve the program to further create growth and opportunity for the State of Louisiana.

So, excited to be here today in consideration of these new proposed rule changes. MR. WINDHAM:

Thank you, Ms. Wesley.
Are there any other comments from any other Board members?

Mr. Miller calls for the vote.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. WINDHAM:
All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:

Motion carries.
Mr. Secretary, you have comments? SECRETARY PIERSON:

Thank you to the Board and all of the stakeholders that have helped us achieve this improved version of our ITEP rules. I'll try to be brief. That's a bit difficult because I'm so passionate about economic development and the success that our state is presently enjoying, but I'll move quickly through this.

But since our last Board meeting in
April, we've seen CGI announce a 400 direct new job expansion of their IT center in Lafayette. We've joined in New Orleans with the mayor to announce Accruent, 350 new IT related jobs there. The DXC project is moving forward quite well, and we've cut the ribbon on their facility on Poydras.

Great aviation announcement for Chennault, Lake Charles area. A US Foods expansion in Jefferson Parish that we're pleased with. Certainly Shreveport's Western Global Airlines, which we just had a job fair of, needed that, in Northwest Louisiana. You won't fly on Western Global Airlines probably because it's mostly cargo, and their Number 1 and 2 customers are UPS and FedEX.

We also were recognized with a Community

Impact Award for Champion Manufactured Homes over in Leesville. Particularly proud of our efforts in our rural communities across the state where it's tougher to make progress.

Certainly LED has been active in the Association of Defense communities working with the Roosevelt Group in Washington DC relative to efforts and important issues with Barksdale, Fort Polk, the Marine Corps Reserve Facility, Belle Chasse, Coast Guard, National Guard.

Our entertainment division continues strong filming activity across the state. And then certainly we put a lot of muscle against small business. We're preparing for a 20 September Small Business Summit to be conducted in Shreveport, again, Friday, the 20th of September. We're working across the governmental agencies at the Governor's direction and leadership to make sure we get full support from all divisions of state government to enhance the opportunities for small businesses and government-related contract work. So we're looking forward to the execution of that.

Certainly our International portfolio of investment coming to Louisiana remains strong. Our group participated with the U.S. Department of Commerce last week in Washington DC at Select USA. Certainly a
strong business development pipeline out there with a lot of new projects that we have under management, and certainly we're calling on our existing companies representing our best opportunity to grow new investment and new jobs in our state.

Certainly worked at the request of the legislature to provide a lot of information throughout the budgeting process, and we're pleased that we have the funding that we need to also move our department forward with its important efforts.

Wrapping up, really just in the small business and community activities out there, we're working with the Edwin Lowe, a quality foundation, and we were supporting 10 companies that are part of growth leaderships opportunities that are identified to, again, growing these midsized companies. We have three second-stage companies that have been brought into the program already, which we call economic gardening.

Also roundtables for small and emerging businesses that we're conducting around the state. You may hear about those. They're peer-to-peer sessions that allow participating businesses to troubleshoot in a private manner, but help solve their issues without having to reinvent the wheel, certainly with local entities, trying to strengthen these rural communities.

Speaking about, we're kicking off
initiatives through Louisiana Development Ready
Communities. We've been operating this program for a number of years, but this year is Amite, Gonzales and Ruston, as well as the Parish of St. John the Baptist, and participants, so they'll join Sterlington, Columbia, Winnsboro in St. Joseph up in Northeast Louisiana, as well as Lutcher and Gramercy. And this is a program that helps these communities organize and be prepared to grow business and industry at their location.

And then also we step back and congratulated eight companies from around the state who were cited for manufacturing quality and community service at our Lantern Awards function.

So this concludes my report. I'll be happy to visit with you, but I appreciate your presence here today, the important work that you do on behalf of business and industry in our state that allows us to continue to build a vibrant economy.

MR. WINDHAM:
Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
Is there a motion to adjourn?
Made by Mr. Miller; seconded by
Mr. Hartley.
All in favor, indicate with an "aye."
(Several members respond "aye."

```
MR. WINDHAM:
```

All opposed with a "nay."
(No response.)
MR. WINDHAM:
Motion carries.
Thank you for coming.
(Meeting concludes at 10:38 a.m.)
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